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Shimon Angress was a rare individual who had many abilities and developed them equally over the years. Gifted in art, poetry, philosophy, the humanities, and the natural sciences, he was patiently nurturing them all to intellectual maturity when his tragic death in a highway accident ended a most promising scientific career.

Shimon's first 15 years were in the happy tradition of a typical intellectual German-Jewish family, but with the Nazi shadow darkening over them. An ardent Zionist who rightly feared the worst from his homeland, Shimon slipped across the Danish border on the first day of World War II; his parents lingered—to disappear into Auschwitz. Abandoning school of necessity, Shimon and other Jewish youths learned farm work during the first months of the war, and again Shimon got away—this time to Palestine—before Denmark was overrun by the Nazis.

In the youth group at Ramat David, Shimon worked with the livestock, and his interest in domestic animals and their origins became one more among many. Working hours were long and the labor hard, but some time for reading was always to be found. In 1942 he moved to the kibbutz of Ma'ayan Ts'wi, where he was in charge of the dairy cattle, but he patiently continued his self-education, reading particularly at this time in philosophy and the humanities. Four years thus, milking and learning, and then he was chosen by his group to attend the Kibbutz Teachers Seminary, where for two brief years he resumed his formal schooling. Here he was intrigued by the natural sciences, particularly zoology, and here he caught up educationally with the lost years.

Returning in 1948 to Ma'ayan Ts'wi, Shimon founded the first school there. The worth of a teacher is evaluated only over the long years by the achievement of his students, and one hears glowing tales of Shimon's breadth of knowledge, his infectious enthusiasm, his clarity of presentation, and the answering responses of the children. During this time he built a museum collection of zoological, geolog-
ical, and archaeological specimens; patiently accumulated much of the information contained in the bibliography published here; tamed wild animals; joined in the activities of the kibbutz; and wrote poetry, drew incessantly, taught and inspired the children, and always learned more and more.

So outstanding a record was not to be unrewarded; in 1954 the kibbutz again supported Shimon’s necessity for further education. After several months in South America, he spent slightly more than a year at the University of Chicago. In spite of having had only two years of formal education since he was 14, Shimon received his master’s degree (in zoology) by June of 1955, and two departments—Zoology and Anthropology—wanted him to continue as a graduate student. The sense of duty called him home, however—that and a desire to put his new knowledge to use—and Shimon returned to his teaching and his natural history at Ma’ayan Ts’wi, while, amongst a multitude of other activities, he continued his graduate studies at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem. His interests in zoology, archaeology, and paleontology here combined to produce a thorough student of the animal remains dug from archaeological sites, and his few technical publications are in this field.

During this time, too, Shimon married. It was a most happy marriage, unfortunately brief; barely was there time for a daughter to be born.

The simultaneous role of teacher at Ma’ayan Ts’wi and graduate student in Jerusalem necessitated much highway travel. Shimon had no control over his instantaneous death, no chance for decision, as he was not driving.

I met Shimon Angress only once, in Chicago, in the summer of 1954. I have met many people only once, but no other such meeting has led me nearly half around the world to visit the small community where the person had his life. It was in June of 1960 that I visited the small jewel of a museum that the people of Ma’ayan Ts’wi have built to honor Shimon Angress and to keep his collections intact. I went through his files, where everything is meticulously in order, and looked over the small library of the working-man-scholar. Museum and library are open for any to use, but with Shimon’s knowledge and leadership gone, few there are who do so.

If the museum was built in honor, the rock garden on a jutting promontory of cliff was built in love, for it was built by the children whom Shimon had taught. They took a bit of the natural land that
Shimon loved, and left it natural, adding but a path and stairway and a bench by a small pool. I walked down the path in the summer evening with Shimon’s widow and Shimon’s child and looked across the narrow strip of green coastal plain to the sun sinking into the Mediterranean. Below me the cliff dropped abruptly; behind me rose the limestone mass of Mt. Carmel, where Shimon had spent so many happy days studying and collecting. Wasps came to the edge of the pool, gaining mud for their nests, and a variety of small birds flitted above. Here was Shimon’s world, a world that he had helped to create, a world incomplete now because of his absence.

Charles A. Reed
FOREWORD

In the growth of cultures, as Kroeber has pointed out, there are some basic factors that have profoundly influenced many societies. One of these fundamental elements has to do with farming and stock-raising.

The taming of animals for man's use and pleasure constituted a revolutionizing innovation that enormously raised his subsistence level. Furthermore, by the process of domestication, man brought under control some of the natural forces about him. In effect, he created an artificial animal environment and by thus controlling his environment he assured himself of a more stable food supply and a great source of protein.

Since the subject of this paper has always been of great importance to anthropologists and since the literature is difficult for us to find, we welcome the opportunity to place this monograph in the Anthropology Series.

We are greatly indebted to Dr. D. A. Hooijer of Leiden, who has abstracted some of the articles from the Dutch; his abstracts are signed "D. H." Abstracts contributed by Dr. Reed are signed "C. A. R." Dr. Reed also read all proofs and made the indexes to this volume.

Paul S. Martin

Chief Curator, Department of Anthropology

February, 1962
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Origin and Descent of Domestic Mammals

INTRODUCTION

However a domestic animal may be defined, two factors at least, the animal itself and the human-controlled environment, must be included. Consequently, domestic animals, with respect to their origin and history, have been studied from two points of view. Origins of domestication, inseparably associated with the evolution of human civilization, have been investigated chiefly by students of cultural history. The descent of domestic species, their phylogenetic relationships, and the aspects of speciation under the domestic environment, have been considered mainly a subject for biological research. Additional studies on the ancestry of recent breeds have been made by students of animal husbandry.

Although these types of studies, each with its own methods, have been devoted to particular ends, it has been evident since the days of Rutimeyer, who laid the cornerstone for modern research in the history of domestication a century ago, that a co-operation of several sciences is imperative, and that the combined data of archaeological, historical and zoological research are essential to a comprehensive understanding of the fascinating problem.

At the dawn of this century, Keller (1902) included in his critical review the more important works that had been published prior to 1900 on the origin of domestic animals. Since then numerous manuals on the subject have been issued. A wealth of information, the result of extensive exploration and research, has been published; it is scattered through archaeological, ethnological, biological and agricultural literature, incorporated in historical and zoological treatments of general nature, and attached to reports of excavations. Most of the data have never been considered within the scope of any abstracting journal.

The body of this report consists of abstracts and indexes of the ethno-zoological records published in the last five and a half decades that have been available to us. The work was undertaken in the hope that this compilation would be of some help to students of
domestication by providing a collection of references, of subjects dealt with, and of conclusions reached in the study of the descent and ancestry of domestic mammals.

The nature of the study, which must include various fields of science, and the scope of this compilation set certain limits in the choice of the material to be abstracted. As indicated by the title, this bibliography is concerned with the study of the origin of domestic mammals rather than with the origin of domestication. Works based on zoological evidence form the primary listing, and few investigations of pure epigraphical or linguistic nature are included. Even among zoological treatments only those that bear directly on the topic under consideration are cited. An extensive literature on the genetics, breeding experiments, and hybridization of domestic species exists but does not bear directly on the subject. A collection of those records would demand another bibliography equal in scope to the present work. The same applies to the studies on the effects of domestication, from which we have tried to select those presentations, mainly concerned with osteological effects, that may help the zoologist to determine the domestic status of those animals whose subfossil remains have been discovered. The latter, arrayed with the stratigraphical and distributional data of the archaeologist, are regarded as the most valuable basis for the study of the rise of domestic species, and thus this bibliography depends principally upon the zoological treatments of material provided from archaeological, mainly prehistoric, sources and upon comparative studies of primitive living breeds and related wild forms in relation to those ethno-zoological facts.

Even within this defined scope, this collection is probably far from complete. The most obvious omissions are the numerous original papers in the Russian language, in particular the reports of excavations from the cultures of Tripolje and Minussinsk. These, like a number of other references, have not been available.

This work is merely descriptive. The view of the author is given without comment or evaluation. Chronologies and generic and specific nomenclature used in the original paper are retained in the abstracts, although in many cases these have been shown to be incorrect or inadequate by more recent evidence. Moreover, the recent evidence on chronology concerning the periods and regions to which most of the abstracted articles refer has been summarized by Charlesworth (1957, the Quaternary Era, vol. II), by Barendsen, Deerey, and Gralenski (Science, 1957, vol. 126, p. 917), by

The determination of the correct names of the animals, with all the involved synonyms, is beyond the scope of the present work, and in no way has been attempted. For recent breeds the spelling recommended by Mason (1951, A World Dictionary of Breeds . . .) is used throughout the text. Where possible, foreign descriptive names have been translated, but sometimes translation was impossible (e.g., Heidschnucke, Préalpes du Sud, etc.).

Wherever possible, the references are abbreviated in the form used in the World List of Scientific Periodicals, 1900-1950 (third ed., 1952). Other references have been written out more fully. The date of the year under the author's name designates in every case the year of publication of the work.
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BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ABSTRACTS

Adamez, Leopold


Detailed description of the skull, especially the horns, of a goat, found together with other Neolithic skeletal parts near Zloczow (Poland). The specimen, characterized by the homonymously twisted horns in the male, is made the holotype of a new form, which is named Capra prisca.

A comparison of the crania of C. prisca and C. aegagrus shows that the majority of the European domestic goats agree in skull and horn features with the extinct C. prisca, which therefore is considered the probable ancestor of most of the European domestic breeds.


Based on the origin and the distribution of ancient Egypt's domestic animals, conclusions are drawn as to the origin of the Egyptian people and the Hamite immigration into Africa.

The most ancient domestic breeds kept among Egyptians and also among Sumerians were sheep (Ovis signei cycloceros) and goat (Capra falconeri jerdoni). These point to Afghanistan, Baluchistan and northwestern India, where they first became tamed, as the region where the cradle of the Sumerian–Hamitic civilization should be sought. The tamed horse, not known to the Hamites when they invaded Africa, was introduced from Mesopotamia during the Eighteenth Dynasty. The earliest domestic cattle, however, were tamed by the ancient Egyptians from the indigenous Bos primigenius, whose later distribution—North and South Africa—indicates the dispersal of the Hamite race. The same is true in the case of the greyhound, which was tamed by Hamites in Africa.


A study of eleven skulls from cattle of Auvergne (Salers, in Cantal, France) refutes their supposed relation to the brachycephalid type, which was suggested formerly for this breed. The skull characters are clearly of the primigenius type, and the breed seems to have originated from Bos primigenius hahni, which latter gave rise also to the Iberian cattle of southern Spain. The race of Auvergne is considered a connecting link, indicating the route of dispersal the breed took in prehistoric times from Spain via France to England.

The first chapter (pp. 5–49) is devoted to the origin of domestic animals and gives a short summary of information about the ancestors and history of the most important farm animals.

Table 2 summarizes the origin, distribution and time of first domestication of mammals; Table 3 does the same for the important kinds of domestic fowl.


A study of the origin of the fat-rumped sheep of the Bukhara, the Karakul or "Arabi." The radiation center for the breed is sought in the vicinity of Baghdad (Arabian tribes brought the sheep to Bukhara and Chiwa from Mesopotamia in the eighth century A.D.), its wild ancestor being of an urial type, probably *Ovis vignei arkal*.


The skull-fragment of a goat, discovered in a Neolithic cemetery (end of third millennium B.C.) at Zlota near Sandomirez (Poland), is identified as belonging to the *Capra prisca* type. Other Neolithic finds of *C. prisca* from Nauenburg, Schaffis (Switzerland), and Klausenburg (Transylvania) are described.

The occurrence of descendants from *Capra aegagrus* among the European races of the domestic goat is doubted by the author, who, however, takes *C. aegagrus* to be the ancestor of certain central Asian breeds.


Investigation of shape and twisting of horns of the goats from Agrigento (Girgentini, Sicily), considered by Magliano in 1930 as a type of *Capra falconeri*. Since the anterior keel is twisted in clockwise direction (homonymous) and not counter-clockwise as in the *falconeri* type, the Girgentinian goat is regarded by the author as a special but characteristic form of *C. prisca*.


The author refutes the suggestion of a banteng ancestry for the European brachyceros cattle on the basis of similar color characters.


On the basis of a craniological study of Bukhara cattle the author contradicts the traditional view of a brachyceros ancestry for this breed. The main characters of the Bukhara cattle correspond closely to those of the Pleistocene *Bos namadicus* Lydekker.

The "goat-horned" type of the turbary sheep—previously regarded as a distinct race—is considered as the female form of Oris aries palustris or its derivative. Closely related to the turbary sheep is the southern European Zackel sheep, and since the latter is derived from the Asian Oris vignei the former is also taken to be descended from a wild form of the urial. The primitive northern European breeds (Soay sheep, Heidschnucke) bear no relation to the turbary sheep but show close affinity to the European mouflon (Oris musimon).


The goat of Agrigento (cf. Adametz, 1932) is traced back to a screw-horned form represented at Ur, and the close relationship of both to the extinct Capra prica is emphasized. The Angora goat of Asia Minor (cf. Vetulani, 1934) is seen as another surviving breed of this ancient stock, kept by Sumerians as early as the fourth millennium B.C.

Adlerberg, G. P.


On the basis of extensive, mainly craniological, material of wild boars it is assumed that the Asiatic pig (Sus orientalis) has to be considered as a mere subspecies of S. scrofa, which latter is subdivided into a western and an eastern complex. Also S. mediterraneus cannot be seen as a separate species. All European and most of the Asiatic races of the domestic pig (including the Chinese pig) originated from S. scrofa.

S. cristatus, the East Asian wild boar, is taken as the probable progenitor of the Indian domestic pig.

S. rutilus may have had a local influence on domestic breeds of the Indonesian islands, which, however, also show many characteristics of European breeds.

Albright, William F.

1940. From the stone age to Christianity. xi+363 pp. The Johns Hopkins Press (Baltimore).

After discussing archaeological records of the occurrence of the camel in western Asia (pp. 120, 121), the author concludes that its effective domestication cannot antedate the outgoing Bronze Age (end of second millennium B.C.), though partial and sporadic domestication may go back several centuries earlier.


It is shown that the dromedary was well known in Egypt down to the beginning of the dynastic age, after which it disappeared. It is suggested that the animal
became domesticated during the late centuries of the second millennium B.C. in Arabia.

Allen, Glover M.


A brief general outline of views on the origin of the domestic dog, in which a wolf ancestry is concluded, is followed by a detailed study of North American dogs and their origin.

In an extensive description of the breeds of American aboriginal dogs three main types are distinguished: (a) the large broad-muzzled Eskimo dog, (b) a large, and (c) a smaller Indian dog. The two latter types, both of which gave rise to several distinct local breeds, are compared to the large *Canis intermedius* and the small *C. palastris*, respectively, found in Eurasia from the Neolithic on, and it is suggested that these two general types of dogs were cultivated in Asia, reaching Europe as well as America at a very early period with the human immigrants. In a similar way the Eskimo dog, of a type common to Asia and Europe, has been introduced into America by the Eskimos.

Although hybridization of the larger dogs with wolf or coyote may have occurred occasionally, such crossing had no significant influence on the original stock.

Amon, Rudolf


While investigating Eurasian races of the wild boar, the author also attempts to create a background for solving questions as to the origin of the domestic pig. Three forms ("Artengruppen") of Eurasian wild pigs are distinguished: a northern group (*Sus scrofa*), a southern type (*S. vittatus*), and a third group (*S. verrucosus*). Only the first two are regarded as "hereditarily fixed."

The origin of new populations is explained by "mutual penetration" of the two groups resulting from climatic changes during the glacial periods, which ultimately also caused the restriction of the *vittatus* group to its recent range.

Amschler, J. Wolfgang


Two primitive types of the domestic goat—a saber-horned and a twisted-horned form—are described from the Caucasus. Both appear to be geographical variations derived from the same wild ancestor, the bezoar goat (*Capra aegagrus*).


The Hissar sheep, kept in Tadzhikistan, USSR, is seen as an example of the most generalized type of sheep in terms of evolution, combining almost all the main characters of primitive domestic races; all transitions from hairy to fleecy fur are found and the weight ranges from 99 up to 440(!) pounds.
Like the Tadzhikians themselves, the Hissar sheep were isolated for milleniums and retained the characters of a type that gave rise to many breeds of domestic sheep in the Near and Far East.


Summary of the results of an expedition of the Siberian academy at Omsk under the direction of the author in the summer of 1930.

In the Siberian Altai (including the mountains of the Mongolian boundary) a domestic type of *Capra prisca* is kept. Domestic forms of *C. falconeri* and *C. aegagrus* are also present, and the wild ancestor of the latter is still found in the eastern Dzungarian mountains today. *Capra prisca* was presumably imported from the north, while the dispersal of *C. aegagrus* and *C. falconeri* as domestic goats is supposed to have coincided with the spread of conquering armies from central Asia.

The Siberian Altai is seen as the primeval center and radiation area for all the forms of domestic goats.


A morphological and craniological description of the Siberian yak follows a discussion of the distribution of wild and tame yaks in general and the origin of the latter. The center of origin is found in the Kokonor region (northeastern Tibet), whence the domesticated yak spread in two main directions: westward into the Hindu Kush and via the Pamir plateau to eastern Turkestan, and northward to Mongolia, to a secondary radiation center. In a map of the area under discussion the distribution of wild and domestic yak is depicted.


Short description of the horses from the Scythian tombs in the Altai and the Sajan, found frozen and almost completely preserved and dated to the fifth century B.C. The author holds that all the horses belong clearly to the tarpan type, which fact leads him to the conclusion that the tarpan did pass the Volga-line eastward and has to be considered ancestral also to the horses of the Kalmucks and the Kirghizes.


After a short survey of the archaeological evidences for horse breeding in ancient European (mainly Scandinavian) and Asian civilizations (especially from sites in Mesopotamia and Iran, and those of the Minussinsk culture of Siberia), it is concluded that the inner Asian-Iranian area has to be considered as the area of origin of the domestic horse.

An engraved seal from 3000 B.C., discovered by De Mecquenem near Ur, Mesopotamia, is taken as a pedigree record of horses representing the oldest known genealogical table. On the basis of this and other archaeological material the author concludes that horses—descendants of the Przewalski as well as the tarpan type—were known and used in the earliest Sumerian and Elamitic civilizations. The supposition is expressed that the first crossbreeding between horse and ass took place at Ur.


This preliminary description of the equid material from Kish in Mesopotamia distinguishes two forms of true horses (*Equus caballus*) and also a type of ass. The presence of the horse in the Anau fauna (cf. Dürst, 1908) is confirmed. Finds of horse remains from various excavations—Ur, Susa, Minussinsk (Sajan in western Siberia), and Tripolje (Kiew, Ukraine)—are summarized briefly.


A horn, found among animal bones from the early dynastic levels (ca. 3000-2530 B.C.) at Kish, is compared with a goat's horn portrayed in a sculpture discovered at Ur, and both are identified as belonging to *Capra girgentana* (cf. Adametz, 1932).


Preliminary report on the bone material from Shah Tepe (cf. Amschler, 1939b). The author emphasizes the frequency of sheep remains, the presence of bones of the horse and two-humped camel and especially the discovery of the wild ancestral type for the brachyceros cattle, named *Bos brachyceros arnei*.


A detailed discussion of the animal remains discovered in the mound of Shah Tepe on the Turkoman steppe, southeast of the Caspian Sea, during archaeological excavations in 1932-33. The material, mainly prehistoric, from the fourth and third millenniums B.C., consisted of bones of wild and domestic animals. Among the latter, bones of sheep (30.1 per cent) were most frequent. Fewer remaines were found of pig, horse, ass, and Bactrian camel.

The author takes the domestic pig of Shah Tepe to be derived from the wild *Sus scrofa attila*, finding both types as well as transition forms in the material of the site. The domestic dog seems to be closely related to the pariah dogs of today.

A special part (pp. 100-120) is devoted to the wild cattle of Shah Tepe (*Bos brachyceros arnei*) in which the author finds the progenitor of the short-horned domestic type (*B. taurus brachyceros*) present in the same strata.

A short report on animal remains collected at Bludenz in Vorarlberg (western Austria), mainly from the Bronze Age and the following urn-field culture (1500–1000 B.C.). By far the most numerous bones were those of cattle, most of brachyceros type, but a larger form was also present about 1000 B.C.

Sheep possessed mouflon-like horns; among the few horse remains, a pony type and a larger “cold-blooded horse” could be distinguished; the dog bones belonged to the group of the large Canis familiaris inostranzewi. Pig remains were absent at the end of the Bronze Age.


A study of the domestic fauna of prehistoric and early historic Austria, based upon an examination of over 10,000 skeletal remains from twelve sites, dating from the Neolithic (Attersee, Fölllik) up to the Turkish period.

Domestic species kept during the Neolithic comprised cattle, sheep, goat, pig, horse, and dog. The Neolithic ox belonged to the brachyceros type, the sheep was derived from the European mouflon and is referred to as Ovis aries var. musimon, the prehistoric goat is considered a descendant of Capra prisca Adam., and the Neolithic pig was an indigenous breed of the wild European boar, Sus scrofa ferus. The domestic horse of the Neolithic shows similarity to the Oriental race, Equus caballus orientalis, and the dog is of the Canis familiaris palustris type.

From the Bronze Age on, there occurred two additional races of the domestic dog, Canis familiaris matri optimae and C. familiaris inostranzewi, and after the Hallstatt period appeared cattle of the primigenius type and sheep belonging to the Ovis vignei group.

Anderson, J. G.


Animal remains, collected from prehistoric sites in Honan and Kansu in the Hwang-Ho (Yellow River) valley, are identified by E. Dahr (p. 43).

At Chih Kou Chai, one of the Ho Yin sites (Honan), the most common remains were those of pigs. Also well represented were domestic cattle; neither sheep nor goats were found.

Bones of the domestic pig were most abundant also at the site of Ma Chia Yao (Kansu). The only other domesticated animal there was the dog, while bones of large cattle from this site belonged to the wild Bos namadicus. However, at Ch’i Chia P’ing (oldest of the Kansu cultures), bones of domestic dog, pig, cattle, goat and sheep were present.

Andreeva, E.

1933. [The structure of the metapoda of some wild and domestic animals.] (Russ., Eng. summ.) Transcript of the conference on the origin of domesticated animals, held at the Laboratory of Genetics, Acad. Sci. USSR, Leningrad, 1932, pp. 263–311.
Investigation of anatomical and histological structure of metacarpals of wild and domestic animals. A marked difference in tissue and shape (stouter and with thinner walls in domestic races) is found in bones of wild and domestic sheep, resulting in a more efficient blood supply in the metapodials of wild forms. Primitive breeds exhibit intermediate character. In the horse (przewalskii and domestic) the osteological changes due to domestication are much less obvious than in sheep.

Antonius, Otto


A short account of the paleontologic and historic evidence of the origin of the domestic horse. The Mongolian breed is derived from the Mongolian wild horse (przewalskii), and its cradle of domestication is sought either in the Mongolian steppe or in southern Asia among Aryan tribes, in which latter case the tarpan, Equus gmelini Ant., would be the ancestral type. An independent domestication of “Occidental” breeds in prehistoric central or western Europe is suggested.


A study of the origin of domestic cattle. The first part tries to give a systematic outline of wild bovids, recent and extinct; the second part deals with domestic buffalo, banteng, gayal and yak; the third section covers the wild forms of the genus Bos sensu stricto.

The fourth and main part is devoted to the earliest domestic oxen and their origin. Domestic cattle are divided into three main stocks: (a) the brachyceros cattle, derived from a small, wild European bovid, Bos brachyceros europaeus (=B. longifrons Owen); (b) the primigene cattle of Europe and Africa, derived from B. primigenius, the large urus of Europe, North Africa, and southwestern Asia; (c) the zebu cattle of southern and central Asia, the ancestor being of an unknown race related to the urus. It is suggested that the domestication of c took place much later than the taming of a and b.


The origin and history of the important domestic mammals are covered in a semi-popular manual, which is based to a great extent upon original investigations of the author.

The first section (pp. 1-50) evaluates the various sources that supply materials for the study of domestication, and the different methods by which the problem is attacked; the second section (pp. 51-71) gives an account of the morphological and physiological changes that followed domestication. Another part deals comprehensively with cattle (divided into a primigenius and a zebu type), sheep, goat, camel and llama; more briefly with swine (three hearths of domestication—two in Europe, one in Asia—are suggested); and at great length with the horse and the domestic dog. To the Oriental (Equus orientalis) and the Occidental (E. robustus) horses a third species (E. ferus) is added, considered
to be a descendant of the Mongolian wild horse. The wolf is taken to be the true ancestor of the domestic dog.

The book is supplemented with a wealth of photographs.


A discussion of recent views on the origin of the domestic horse. The author takes the tarpan ancestry as certain for the "Indo-European" breeds and as possible in the case of the Oriental horse, but as doubtful for the origin of the "cold-blooded" stock.

Special treatment is given to the taming of the half-ass in the ancient Near East, and earlier archaeological and zoological identifications of "horses" from this area are discussed.


A study of the Kladrub breed ("a horse that belongs to the past") and its history. In the last section, dealing with the origin of the ancient Spanish (later Habsburgian) breed, the close correspondence of the Kladrub skull and dentition with those of the stout cold-blooded horses of Quaternary times (Equus mosbachensis = E. abelli) is worked out.


The author considers the geographical ranges of all known species and subspecies of equids (true horses, asses, onagers, and zebras) from the beginning of human history to the present. The story is one of continually decreasing ranges and diminishing populations (sometimes extermination). Of particular interest to students of animal domestication are the original ranges of the tarpan (Equus silvestris or E. gmelini), Przewalski's horse (E. przewalskii), the Syrian onager (E. hemionus hemippus), the Atlantic ass (Asinus "atlanticus"), and the Nubian ass (Equus asinus afericus), for these are the only wild equids which have been considered as ancestors of the domesticated ones.—C.A.R.


A brief review of data on the ancestry of domesticated mammals. The domestic dog is considered to be derived exclusively from the wolf. For cattle three independent ancestors are supposed: Bos brachyceros, first tamed in Africa; B. primigenius, its probable domestication center on the Iberian Peninsula; and the Asiatic B. namicicus, which gave rise to the zebu stock.

The Iranian plateau is seen as the cradle for the domestic goat and sheep, derived from Capra aegagrus and Ovis rignei respectively. Screw-horned breeds of goats, derived from the extinct Capra prisca Adametz, and the race of the "copper-sheep," a domestic form of Ovis musimon, were developed independently in late Neolithic or early Bronze Age Europe.

The riding of horses, preceded by the use of equids with chariots, was introduced into Europe from the East about 1000 B.C.
Ash, Edward C.


The author attempts to include almost everything known about dogs, exclusive of detailed anatomy and physiology. The result is encyclopaedic but uncritical. Major emphasis is placed on the different breeds and their history, but there is much random information on the history of dogs in general, and on dogs in medicine, folklore, law, poetry, and art. The literature concerning the hybridization of dogs with wild canids is summarized.—C.A.R.

Ashton, E. H., and Thompson, A. P. D.


Skulls and skins of the domestic ferret, *Mustela putorius furo*, are compared with skulls and skins of the European polecat, *M. p. putorius*, and the Asiatic polecat, *M. p. eversmanni*. The domestic ferret resembles the European polecat in more characters than it does the Asiatic polecat, but the available data do not allow a final conclusion as to the ancestry of the domestic ferret. The skulls of the domestic ferrets are more variable than are those of the wild subspecies studied, primarily because of differences in human selection, since different breeders disagree on the proper form of a good hunting ferret.—C.A.R.

Auld, Robert


A summary of evidence about the appearance of polled cattle in ancient and recent times, and a discussion of the genetic factors involved. It is suggested that cattle were hornless when domestication started.

Baas, Josef


A dog skeleton, found near Frankfort and dated by pollen analysis to about 9000 B.C., is regarded as the most ancient domestic dog. The animal shows a close relationship to *Canis poutiatini* and to the present Australian dingo.

The author holds that a small type of wolf became tamed in the earliest Mesolithic (or even Paleolithic) times, and that this type—transition forms not yet found—gave rise to the European dogs of the poutiatini group as well as to the dingo, which was taken to Australia by man.

Bate, Dorothea M.


This is a first report on animals associated with the Natufian culture (Palestine, Mesolithic). The author rejects the possibility that the horse and ox found were
domesticated. No domestic dog was found (but see Bate, 1937). Study of the Natufian culture would seem to indicate that agriculture was developed prior to domestication of animals.—C.A.R.


On pp. 175-177 (fig. 4a, b) the skull of a supposedly domestic dog from the Mesolithic of Palestine is described. The Palestine form seems to show closest resemblance to the type *Canis matris optima* and to the dog from Anau (cf. Dürst, 1908).


Description of animal bones from tombs at Megiddo (Palestine), including deposits from late Neolithic to Late Bronze II. Domesticated specimens identified are *Bos* cf. *longifrons*, *Hircus mambricus*, *Ovis* sp. and *Sus* sp.; designated "probably domesticated" are *Canis* sp. and a small equid (*Equus hemionus*?).


Identification of a lower jaw of a domestic dog found among the skeletal material from the Mesolithic cave of Shukbah in the Judean hills (Palestine). Excavated by D. A. E. Garrod.

Remains of oxen, probably *Bos primigenius*, goat, pig and an equid (*Equus cf. hemionus*) are not considered as belonging to domestic species.


The author, who investigated the mammalian faunas of Early Khartoum and the nearby Esh Shaheinab, stresses the emergence of domestic animals in the latter site in contrast to the former, where domestic species were not found. From the presence of three forms (a dwarf goat with small horns, another goat with twisted horns, and a small sheep), all of which bear no relationship to local species and had to be imported, she concludes that stock-farming at Esh Shaheinab was a well-established custom. A northwest African origin of those three animals, which were accompanied probably by a domestic dog, is suggested (see Bate, 1953, for the full account of the fauna of Esh Shaheinab).


The site is on the west bank of the Nile, and is dated at approximately 3300 and 3900 B.C.; the climate was somewhat more humid than at present. Numerous bones of a dwarf goat and rare remains of what may be a larger goat or sheep constitute the earliest known record of domestic animals from the Sudan. Many of the goats, as indicated by the dentition, were immature at time of death. The dwarf goats were of almost the same size as present dwarf Nilotic goats but are
thought to be morphologically more like the remains of dwarf goats from Algerian
cave-sites. Horn-cores of the dwarf goats from the predynastic site of Toukh in
southern Egypt (cf. Gaillard, 1934) are quite different from those found at Esh
Shaheinab.

No remains of the domestic dog were found.—C.A.R.

Batu, Selahattin

1939. Neue Feststellungen über die Geschichte, Herkunft und Abstammung

A survey of ancient records shows that the Angora goat was unknown in its
present distribution center (Anatolia) during the Hittite period and in classical
times as well. The view of a Capra prisca origin (cf. Adametz, 1928, 1941, and
Vetulani, 1934) is accepted, and the Angora goat is seen as a very ancient breed
which originated in Asia and was already kept by Sumerians in the fourth and
third millennia B.C. It is suggested that the Angora goat reached Anatolia
only in the thirteenth century A.D. with the invasion of the Turks.

Bäumler, Hans

1921. Die morphologischen Veränderungen des Schweineschädel s unter dem
Einfluss der Domestikation. Arch. Naturgesch., Bd. 87, Abt. A, Heft 12,
pp. 140–178, 11 tables.

A cranio logical study of domestic and wild pigs, part of the latter brought up
under captivity. The skull of the Sus vittatus type is seen as a retardation of an
early ontogenetic stage of a primitive form, the further development of which pro-
duced S. scrofa ferus. The skull of the European as well as of the Indian domestic
pig resembles in its neotenic character the wild vittatus rather than the wild scrofa
type.

Belic, Jovan

XLII, pp. 151–214, 30 tables, 54 figs., 12 graphical charts.

Following an investigation of 130 skulls of wild boars from Eurasian countries
(cen tral Europe through southeastern Asia), the author concludes that a diphy letic
origin of the domestic pig from Sus scrofa and S. vittatus is indicated. Both the
latter are seen as distinct species; S. mediterraneus, however, is considered a sub-
species of S. scrofa, and the Sardinian S. meridionalis probably a stunted form of
the European wild boar.

Bishop, Carl Whiting

2 figs.

The Neolithic of Europe had domestic cattle, goat, sheep, pig, and dog, but
the Neolithic of north China had only the pig and dog. The chief activity of the
people was probably agriculture, with no evidence of a pastoral type of life. The
major source of protein seems to have been the pig.—C.A.R.

vol. 59, suppl. to no. 4, pp. 45–61.
In a brief review of Far Eastern prehistoric cultures, the earliest types of farming in the northern Chinese plains are outlined (pp. 48–49). On all save the latest Neolithic sites the only remains of domestic animals are those of dog and pig. At later sites, bones of sheep and ox also occur. Few if any of the domestic animals appearing prior to and around the beginning of the Bronze Age were of native origin. Most of the domesticated breeds—ox, sheep (derived from the western Oris vignei), horse (not derived from Equus przewalskii), water-buffalo, and jungle fowl—were acquired as culture loans from abroad.


Bisschop, J. H. R.


Summary of information on the derivation of African domestic cattle. The Hamitic longhorn is regarded as a descendant of the African urus, Bos opisthonomus Pomel, which was domesticated in Egypt before and during the Neolithic. At the end of the Neolithic, brachyceros cattle, derived from Bos namadicus, were brought to Lower Egypt and forced the longhorn breeds westward. Longhorned zebus arrived in Ethiopia and Upper Egypt during the third millennium B.C. and by interbreeding with the Hamitic stock formed the Sanga type of cattle. Short-horned zebus arrived later, by the same route.

Boessneck, Joachim


An account of domestic and semi-domestic animals kept in predynastic and ancient dynastic Egypt, based mainly upon an evaluation of animal representations from prehistoric and early historic sites all over North Africa. An extensive bibliography and numerous illustrations are appended.

Bogaevsky, B.


The second part of the book (pp. 144 ff.) attempts to reveal the origin and first stages in the development of stock-farming among the Tripolje cultures in the Dnieper basin. The study is based upon subfossil finds, animal representations on vases, and animal figurines.

Domestication began at the beginning of Tripolje B; pig and sheep (both of the palustris type), goat and a primigenius race of cattle were kept everywhere. The horse had been a rare animal among the early Tripolje settlements, in contrast with dogs, numerous remains of which were found in most of the sites.

Boicoianu, C.

A craniological, and especially odontological, study of the origin of the Belgian horse. Besides characters of the "Occidental" breeds, features of tarpan-like ("Oriental") races are evident, and a hybrid origin from both groups is assumed for the Belgian strain.

**Boule, Marcellin**


Description of the equid remains from cave deposits of the French Aurignacian and discussion of European Quaternary horses in general. The Quaternary *Equus caballus typicus*—considered ancestral to recent horses—is derived from the Pliocene *E. stenonis*. Remains of ass and half-ass (*E. hemionus*) from the caverns of Grimaldi are described and compared to those of the true horse.

**Bourdelle, E.**


Osteometric characters of *Equus caballus przewalskii* are worked out and compared to those of the domestic horse and the domestic ass. Special emphasis is given to the limb bones, their measurements and indices. A table (no. 3) summarizes the osteometric features of the horse group as contrasted to the ass group.


A study of the numerous rupestrian engravings and sculptures of prehistoric equids discovered in France. The author finds few representations of ass- or onager-like forms, but distinguishes three types of horses according to the shape of the cranial profile. Pointing to the same variations of profile in Przewalski horses kept in captivity, he finds in *Equus przewalskii* the common ancestor for all those varieties, and regards the recent Camargue horse as one of its descendants.

**Bourdelle, E., and Trombe, F.**


Equid images, depicted on gallery walls of the underground river Ganties-Montespan (in the Plantaurel range of the Pyrenees) and in caves frequented by Upper Paleolithic people, are described and analyzed.

The authors distinguish four types of equids among the representations. Most frequently portrayed and most primitive in type is the Przewalski horse, taken as the ancestor of the Arabian as well as of the Camargue breed. Other types recognized are the Celtic horse (the ancestor of the Shetland pony), the Nordic horse, and an ass-half-ass type.

**Braidwood, Robert**

Animal remains from the site at Jarmo (see Braidwood and Braidwood, 1950) are described briefly (pp. 26 and 30). Ninety-five per cent of the animal bones fall in the sheep, goat, pig and ox categories, and many of the first two are those of yearlings.


On pp. 134–136, C. A. Reed outlines the necessary training and skills for a zoo-archeologist who is studying, among other matters, the origin of domestic animals. Preliminary results are given of study of three sites in northeastern Iraq: M’lefaat (early village-farming), El Khan (archaic Hassuna), and Banahilk (Halafian). Equid remains were found at none, dog was very rare; all three had sheep and/or goat (stated to be mostly domestic goat), and all three had medium-sized cattle. Remains of pigs were common at Banahilk, rare at M’lefaat, not found at El Khan. (The supposedly domestic status of any animals from M’lefaat was later disclaimed: Science, vol. 130 [1959], p. 1639).—C.A.R.

Braidwood, Robert, and Braidwood, Linda


A brief report on the first excavation (1948) of Jarmo near Kirkuk (Iraq), a site dated to 5270–4630 B.C., and therefore preceding the earliest village assemblages of the Near-Middle East. A preliminary study of the animal bones by Bryan Patterson revealed remains of sheep and/or goat, cattle, pig, and dog, and several equid teeth.

Breuil, M. H., and Kemal el Dine


Description of animal pictures found at Ouenat, a mountain mass in the heart of the Libyan desert. Among numerous other animals the pictures show cattle, horses, camels and dogs (or jackals). Besides engravings of a Paleolithic hunting-culture and modern additions (probably the depicted camels and dogs), the pictures range from the fifth to the first millennium B.C. and are divided by the author into two main cultures: V-a (proto-dynastic and Old Empire) and V-b (starting at about the Middle Empire). The big-horned Bos africanus is the only domestic type of cattle depicted in V-a; in V-b B. brachyceros appears beside B. africanus, which latter becomes gradually displaced.

Brinkmann, August


Osteological study of the extinct Norwegian Lofoten breed of horse, which is described in the first part and compared to other prehistoric types. The author accepts the four basic types of horses, established by Ewart (cf. Ewart, 1904, 1907a, 1909), and considers the Lofoten horse a straight derivation from the small, broad-headed Equus caballus robustus, endemic in Europe since glacial times. The second part is a cranio-logical investigation of asses, in which the racial significance of the cranial index is emphasized.

A study of several prehistoric dogs from Scandinavian sites, especially of a skeleton found near Errindlev (Denmark) with close affinities to a greyhound type. The origin of the large greyhounds is discussed. They are derived from the Indian wolf, Canis pallipes, the Errindlev dog representing a link between C. pallipes and recent greyhounds and borzois. C. pallipes is regarded as a separate species clearly distinct from C. lupus and its races.


An extensive investigation of the dog remains from Scandinavian Stone Age sites, collected by the Museum of København and the Bergen Museum. A large type of dog, belonging to the Canis inostranzevi group and considered the most ancient domesticated dog, occurred in all Danish sites from the Azilien (pre-Campignien) on. Beginning with the next culture period (Campignien, the Danish “kitchen-midden” time), this form is accompanied by C. palustris ladosensis, which by that time already showed signs of advanced influence of a domestic environment. Inostranzevi and palustris types are seen as the earliest forms of domesticated dogs, living throughout millennia in northern Europe. The latter type in a dwarfed form constituted the turbary or peat-dog, Canis palustris proper, which either reached Switzerland by late Neolithic or developed there. The author holds that Canis palustris ladosensis is derived directly from a small type of wolf and is the result of thousands of years of domestication, while the inostranzevi type is identified with a wolf-dog hybrid.

A palustris type and wolf hybrid occur simultaneously in all the Neolithic sites throughout the Nordic countries until recent times, where they are represented by the gray deerhound (wolf hybrid) and the Finnish dog (palustris type) respectively, both the latter breeds described in detail in part VI.

Brogger, A. W.


In the chapter “Hunting, Catching and Farming,” the economic foundation of the Stone and Bronze Age in Norway is outlined. During the first few thousand years of the settlement of the country, when people lived in a hunting and catching stage, no domestic species besides the dog was known. Only from the third millennium B.C., with new civilizations invading from the south, additional domestic animals (cow, sheep and pig) became known and were kept.

Bronholm, H. C., and Rasmussen, J. P.


Remains of domestic cattle (Bos taurus domesticus) were found in a dwelling place dating to the end of the early Stone Age, and were identified by M. Degerbøl (p. 278).
Brunton, Guy, and Caton-Thompson, G.

1928. The Badarian civilization. British School of Archaeology in Egypt, x + 128 pp., 85 pls.

Report of excavation of the predynastic cemeteries and settlements at Badari (near Qau, Upper Egypt). Among the mammal remains found in the graves, skulls of an "ox-buffalo" and a sheep were identified by D. M. S. Watson (p. 35). The faunal remains discovered at the settlements (North Spur Hamamieh, between Badari and Qau-el-Kebir) yielded bones of sheep (or goat), pig and ox (p. 77). Pottery from this site shows figures of a bovine (pl. XXXVIII). On pp. 92-94 an account of animal burials is given. They consisted of thirteen carefully arranged piles, composed of the remains of young oxen (connected with one of the heaps was the skull of an ass), and masses of dog bones beneath blocks of limestone. All the ontogenetic stages were represented. Intermingled with the dog remains were jaw parts of (domestic?) cats.

Bryner, Jones


An account of the origin of cattle, not only of Britain but in general. The Pleistocene Leptobos with horned males and hornless females—although descendants of a remote ancestor which was hornless in both sexes—is seen as the first representative of the species from which all domesticated cattle were ultimately derived. From this early wild race sprang several forms, one of which, Bos primigenius, was domesticated at an early period in western Asia. This species has contributed the main share to the make-up of modern cattle in western Europe, including Britain, in which latter the wild Bos primigenius was found up to the Neolithic, although it was probably never domesticated there. From the late Neolithic onward there is found in abundance a smaller, more slightly built race, Bos longifrons Owen, which also originated in Asia (found at Anau; cf. Dürst, 1908). It does not constitute a separate species but is a mere domestic breed of prehistoric times derived from the same primigenius ancestor. Intercrossing between the different forms had probably occurred already in prehistoric periods.

Burkhill, I. H.


In the introductory pages to a comprehensive study on the origin and dispersal of cultivated plants, the author devotes some discussion to the earliest breeding of sheep, which probably gave rise to the first tillage, when abundant spring pasture inspired the herdsmen to try to increase the supply of vegetation.

Burns, Robert, and Moody, E. C.


An account of the origin and history of the Merino stock throughout two millenniums is preceded by a short section on the origin and ancestors of domestic sheep.
Bylin-Althín, Margit


Report of excavations in the prehistoric sites in the Hwang-Ho valley: Ch'i Chia P'ing (pre-Yang-Shao period) and Lo Han T'ang (Yang-Shao period). The bones, examined by E. Dahr (pp. 457–498), were mainly those of domestic mammals (the stratigraphic conditions are uncertain). The majority of the bones belonged to a small form of dog (one skull similar to Canis familiaris palustris), pigs (which displayed clear affinities to Sus villatus), and a large type of cattle. There were fewer remains of sheep and goat.

Cabrera, Angel

1922. The domestic animals. 94 pp. Libro de la Naturaleza, Calpe (Madrid).

This popular guide to the origin and history of the common domestic mammals and birds and their influence upon human society is provided with numerous photos and drawings.


Brief description and discussion of bones of subfossil dog and of dog mummies from South America, especially Argentina. A common wild ancestor is suggested for South American, North American, and Old World dogs; the appearance of similar types of breeds in the Old and the New World is, however, explained by convergent evolution, due to similar adaptations and mutations.

Cardas, A.


A brief survey of the important domestic species and their wild ancestors with special emphasis on the Romanian races. Treated are equids, oxen, buffalos, sheep, goats, pigs and dogs. The primitive Romanian horses (Hutsul, Moldavian breeds) are derived from the tarpan with some admixture of Przewalski's horse; the indigenous cattle are considered as derived from Bos primigenius (the descent of the Montagne cattle from a brachyceros ancestor is refuted). The ancestor of the Tsigala sheep is regarded as Ovis argali and that of the Tzourcana breeds as O. musimon. The Romanian domestic goat is derived from Capra prisca (cf. Adamez, 1915), and breeds of the primitive Mangalitsa pig are traced back to Sus fera europaeus.

Cardoso, Aníbal


A review of historical sources on the introduction of Spanish horses into South America is followed by an osteological study of the Criollo horse and of fossil equid remains from South America. The author concludes that Hippidium is the ancestor of the Pleistocene Argentinian Equus rectidens, which gave rise to the domestic Criollo horse, which consequently is taken as an indigenous breed.
Carruthers, Douglas


In the tale of his wanderings beyond the Caspian the author deals at some length (pp. 41-50) with the Bukharian breeds of sheep and especially with the distribution and habits of the wild species (Ovis vignei and O. orientalis) considered ancestral to the domestic stock. A useful synopsis of the various classifications of the genus Ovis is appended (pp. 226-244).

Caton-Thompson, G.


The presence of the camel in ancient Egypt is proved by a twist of cord made of camel hair, found among other objects from the third dynasty during excavations in the northern Fayum.

Caton-Thompson, G., and Gardner, E. W.


Report of excavations at predynastic and early dynastic sites in the desert oasis of Fayum (northern Egypt). Remains of animals from Kom W (predynastic) included those of pig and sheep (or goat), cattle, and five canid teeth or parts of jaws which may be dog or jackal; contrary to the assumptions of many later authors, there is no mention in the original report that these animals were presumed to be domestic.

Among the faunal remains from the Old Kingdom site of Umm-es-Sawan were horn cores of typical domestic longhorned cattle from the early dynasties. These contrast with the shorthorned cattle from Old Kingdom levels at Hemamiah (cf. Brunton and Caton-Thompson, 1928). Thus rock engravings of shorthorned cattle may be from the Old Kingdom period, contemporaneous with those of longhorned cattle.

A cord of camel-hair was found among the quarrymen's debris of the Old Kingdom period (cf. Caton-Thompson, 1934), suggesting fairly common use of camel-hair by the poorer laborers.—C.A.R.

Chard, Thornton


The earliest horse skeleton from Egypt, found in a tomb of the time of the Queen Hatshepsut (early in the fifteenth century B.C.), is described. On the basis of the skull-likeness and estimated height the author relates the specimen to the modern Arabian type.

Childe, V. Gordon


Chapter III ("The Neolithic Revolution") contains a description of the oldest Neolithic culture in the archaeological record of Britain, named after the site at Windmill Hill. Besides sheep (or goat) and pig, the occupants kept cattle, which
were smaller than the then native wild urus but were larger and provided with longer horns than the later Celtic shorthorn (Bos longifrons). The origin of this early breed of cattle from a cross of imported shorthorn with wild indigenous oxen is seen as conceivable (cf. also Bryner, 1932).


On the basis of equid identifications from Tepe Sialk (cf. Vaufrey, 1939) the use of horses in southwestern Iran in the fourth millennium B.C. is taken as certain. The author also finds evidence for equids in Elam and Mesopotamia during the fourth and early third millennia B.C.

Chlebaroff, G. S.  

A craniological study of the brachyerceros cattle of the Balkan breeds (Illyrian, Albanese, Macedonian, Montenegrin) and particularly of the Bulgarian Rhodope cattle. It is concluded that this race could not have been derived from the European Bos brachyerceros but is probably of Asiatic origin. The Asiatic urus (B. namadicus) or one of its varieties is considered as a possible ancestor.

Chubb, S. H.  

An account of horse ancestry, in which the view of a diphyletic origin for the domestic horse is accepted. The “Norseman’s” horse, from which both European draft horses and Shetland ponies were derived, is a descendant of the native horse of Europe and northwestern Asia, which in turn was perhaps a near relative of the Przewalski horse of Mongolia. In contrast, the “Oriental” stock (the Arabian type) originated from Equus lybicus in North Africa.

Clark, Grahame  

The introduction of horses into Asia Minor by 2500–2000 B.C., the earliest occurrence of horses in the Near East, is examined from the archaeological point of view. The area between the Baltic and the Black Sea is regarded as the home of horses and battle-axe people, who originally probably used their animals only as pack-horses. (See also Childe, 1941.)


A consideration of the position of pigs and sheep in prehistoric European husbandry, based upon a comparison of animal remains from successive levels at various archaeological excavations. Characteristic for most of the sites under consideration (mainly in the British Isles, Scandinavia, and Switzerland) is a relative abundance of the pig in the Neolithic, and a substantial decline in its frequency, together with a steady increase in sheep population, in the transition
time between the Neolithic and the Early Iron Age. It is suggested that the foliaceous forest, which formed the background for rearing pigs and also cattle, became reduced at about that period, forming thereby favorable conditions for sheep breeding.


Ancient man hunted nesting geese for food and feathers; it would seem probable that the young, after the parents had been killed, were taken to camp and kept alive for food and the down. In this way the domestication of the goose probably occurred.—C.A.R.

Clark, J. G. D.

1952. Prehistoric Europe, the economic basis. xix + 349 pp., 180 figs., 16 pls. Methuen & Co., Ltd. (London).

A survey of animal remains from prehistoric sites in northern, northwestern and central Europe (pp. 108–128). It becomes apparent that cattle and swine were the predominant domestic forms in Neolithic times, but from the Late Bronze Age sheep and goats came to play a part of increasing importance, a phenomenon explained by changes in the flora, due to settled farming. The European domestic pig (Sus scrofa palustris) is regarded as a stunted version of the European wild pig (S. scrofa ferus); the earliest European dog is considered a small race of Canis familiaris palustris; and the chief breeds of cattle kept by prehistoric farmers are divided into two main groups—the primigenius form with large horns and the longifrons group with short ones, both groups derived from the aurochs.

Coon, Carleton


A brief description (pp. 43–52, tables III–VI) of the animal bones found in northern Iranian Mesolithic and Neolithic caves (especially in the "Belt Cave"). During late Mesolithic times remains of gazelle and (wild?) ox outnumbered by far those of sheep and goat, but the latter became more abundant and were apparently domesticated in the early Neolithic period. The increase in immature bones of goats in the later Neolithic phase was caused by a selective slaughtering of the young males, while the females were kept for milking. At this time, or somewhat later, oxen and pigs probably became domesticated also. The upper Mesolithic levels of the Belt Cave (layers 24–15) also yielded fragments of canids, and some of these bones were identified as belonging to the domestic dog.


A short account (pp. 243–246) of the faunal findings from the Hotu Cave (northern Iran). In the Neolithic levels (fifth millennium B.C.) domestic oxen, pigs, sheep and goats were represented. Both of the latter were present as domestic animals throughout the occupancy of the cave but pigs and cattle became tamed (or introduced) later. Remains of the wild urus, however, which apparently had been hunted from the very beginning, were detected in the lowest levels.

A popular account of the rise of garden tilling and animal husbandry is given (pp. 114–150). Archaeological data for the earliest farming, and zoological evidences for the ancestry of domestic farm animals, are summarized briefly.

Crawford, O. G. S.
1938. The Kish goat, Bulgaria. Antiquity, vol. 12, no. 45 (Notes and News), pp. 81, 82, pl. I.

The occurrence of goats of the *Capra girgentana* type (cf. Adametz, 1932) from Bulgaria is reported. The horns correspond also to the “Kish goat” (cf. Amschler, 1937), and a *Capra prisca* ancestry is suggested.

Curwen, E. Cecil

Bones from the Mesolithic of the Mullerup cultures (approximately 6000 B.C.) indicate that the dog was the only domestic animal; the domestic ox, pig, sheep, and goat first appear at the beginning of the Neolithic, simultaneously with wheat and barley, and must have been introduced from the south. The sheep is believed descended from a species domesticated in Turkestan about 6000 B.C., and the goat is regarded as derived from *Capra aegagrus*. The similarity between domestic and wild pigs was greatest in the Neolithic, with subsequent morphological divergence. The domestic cattle were either longhorned (thought to be derived from *Bos primigenius*) or shorthorned (*B. brachyceros*). The origin of the latter is unknown. The horse seems not to have reached Denmark until the Megalithic period; poultry and cats did not appear until the Roman Iron Age.—C.A.R.


Curwen, E. Cecil, and Hatt, Gudmund

A semipopular outline of the story of food production from its earliest beginnings. In the first part of the book Curwen deals with the origin of stock-breeding in Europe and the Near East (chap. 3, pp. 36–48) and gives a brief review of the ancestry of the earliest domestic animals—sheep, goat, ox and pig. Their hearth of domestication is sought at some point within the area bounded by the Nile on the west, the Indus on the east, and the forty-fifth parallel on the north. Nomadic tribes—not identical with the settled farmers, who started the cultivation of cereals in about the same area—are considered as the first animal-breeders. Tarpan-ancestry is accepted for the domestic horse, and its first domestication center is found in southern Russia as early as the fourth millennium B.C.

The second part, by Hatt, is a study of the economic cultures of non-European peoples in modern and historic times. In America (p. 199) two independent centers of animal domestication are distinguished—Peru and Central America, llama and alpaca being characteristic of the former and never reaching the latter, where-
as domestic turkeys and tame bees were characteristic of Central America but never were found in Peru. Old World pastoralism (chaps. 15 and 18) arose in Asia. The first domestication (of bovids, reindeer, horse, camel) was done by hunters, who developed the use of animals for transport and for dairy purposes.

Dahr, Elias


An osteological treatment of dog remains from pre-Neolithic ("Miolithic") cultures of northern Europe (from among Baltic comb ceramics and Danish kitchen-middens), especially from the dwelling site at Sjøholmen in southern Sweden. In all these Stone Age cultures the only achievement in domestication is the dog, of which, however, there are several breeds, all closely related. On the basis of differences in the dentition and in other cranio-logical features (e.g., the position of the orbital plane), wolves, jackals and coyotes are excluded from the pedigree of the Miolithic dogs, which are supposed to have been derived from an extinct species closely resembling or even identical with the dingo, assuming that the latter was represented in Asia during prehistoric times.


The co-variation of length and breadth of the brain-case in wild and domestic dogs is investigated, and conclusions concerning the genealogy of the latter are drawn from the results. Neither true wolves nor typical jackals are considered ancestral to recent domestic dogs, which are supposed to have been derived from a dingo-like form, spread during early Quaternary time on the Eurasian continent.

Dalimier, Paul


Following a discussion of the opinions of Keller and of Lydekker, the author concludes that the domestic goats of Kashmir and Tibet, with heteronymous horns, are derived from the markhor, Capra falconeri; most other domestic goats (and particularly those of Europe) are descended from the bezoar or pisang, Capra hircus aegagrus. There are aegagrus-derived dwarf goats in Lapland and West Africa. Human selection has had little influence on the behavior or morphology of the goat, aside from the retention of the lop ear and the development of different kinds of pelage. This lack of change under domestication, as contrasted with profound changes in most domestic mammals, is ascribed to the fact that the goat has always been kept in small groups by the poor, never in large flocks by rich stockmen who might have practiced selective breeding.—C.A.R.

Davis, Malcolm


A popular review of domestic mammals and birds and how they originated.
Dawkins, W. Boyd, and Jackson, J. W.


Study of the faunal remains from excavations at a lake village near Glastonbury (Great Britain), dated to the prehistoric Iron Age prior to the Roman occupation. Sheep bones constitute by far the most abundant remains and represent at least two distinct breeds. Sheep are followed in frequency by cattle (*Bos longifrons*), while few remains belonged to the horse (a small breed, probably *Equus agilis*), dog and goat(?). The description of the lake village fauna follows a brief discussion on animals in prehistoric Britain in general; all of the domestic breeds—horse, cattle, sheep, goat, pig and dog—are considered as introduced species, brought by Neolithic herdsmen from the Continent.

Debono, Fernand


The pre-dynastic site of El-OMari in Egypt yielded a fauna which included pig, goat, a bovid (presumably cattle), and a canid. It is suggested that the goat and bovid were probably domesticated. The time of occupation of El-OMari probably lies between the times of occupation of Merimde and Maadi. —C.A.R.

Degerbol, Magnus


Discussion of dogs from the Danish Stone Age and description of dog skulls from the Svärdborg Moor (Ancyclus period). Those skulls, remains of the most ancient domestic animal in Denmark, are regarded as a “palustris-svärdborgensis” form distinct from the larger and stouter *Canis palustris ladogensis*. A dog skeleton from the following (pre-Roman) period is described and identified with *Canis familiaris inostranzewi*, the occurrence of which in the Danish Campignien is discussed briefly.


1933b. Danmarks Pattedyr i Fortiden. (Danish mammals of old times.) 284 pp., 21 figs., 24 pls., 50 tables in text, 13 tables appended. C. A. Reizes Forlag (København).

A detailed survey of the Danish mammal fauna from the last interglacial period up to the Neolithic, based primarily upon the subfossil material collected by the Zoological Museum of the University of Copenhagen.

The book deals almost exclusively with wild forms, but a special part (pp. 231–237) is devoted to the domestic dog, the most ancient and up to early Neolithic
the only domesticated animal. Specimens found in Zealand settlements (Lundby Bog) are closely related to *Canis familiaris inostrauzewi*. But beside this larger form the sites at Sværdborg (cf. Degerbol, 1927) and Mullerup (also early Neolithic) yielded a smaller dog related to the *palustris* type, which had been kept in Denmark thousands of years before *C. familiaris palustris* appeared at Lake Ladoga. The new type is named *C. familiaris palustris svadborgensis*. The wolf is seen as ancestor for the large breeds of dogs, the jackal as probable progenitor for the small breeds.


A detailed description and discussion of the faunal remains from a prehistoric dwelling place, Bundsø on Jylland (Denmark).

Bones of the wild urus and domestic cattle were found. Among the latter, *primigenius* and *brachyceros (=longifrons)* types are distinguished and their osteological relationship is worked out in detail; *trochoceros* and *frontosus* forms are regarded as variations of the *primigenius* type. Pig and sheep belonged to the taurine type (*Sus scrofa palustris* and *Ovis aries palustris*), and the few goat remains are identified as *Capra hircus*. The dogs at Bundsø showed closest resemblance to *Canis familiaris palustris svadborgensis*.

Dobzhansky, Theodosius


In chap. 9 (pp. 191-221) a domestic form is tentatively defined as one that regularly reproduces in captivity and whose populations are controlled by man. The horse is discussed as an example. The European forest horse, the tarpan of the steppes, and the eastern Przewalski horse are regarded as no more than sub-specifically distinct, and all three populations have contributed to the gene-pool of the domestic horse.

A table (p. 193) of domestic mammals is presented, with the place and time of their domestication, as known. The reindeer is not included.—C.A.R.

Dottrens, E.


Preliminary report of the cattle remains from Saint-Aubin (cf. Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947) and a detailed study of the phalanges from ten individuals.

Dürst, J. Ulrich


Animal representations in ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia and osteological material from recent African and Asiatic cattle are studied and compared in order to trace the history and nature of the domestic cattle kept by Assyrians, Babylonians and Egyptians. The author suggests that the domesticated longhorned
races as well as the brachyceros breeds from the Near East, from North and East Africa, and from the Swiss lake dwellings originated in India, probably from Bos namadicus Falconer.


Description of the remains of a new type of domestic sheep from a Swiss lake dwelling, but found in other European Neolithic sites as well. The stouter bones and heavier horns clearly distinguish this type from the turbary sheep (Ovis aries palustris) and it is named by the author Ovis aries studeri; since it seems to appear at the threshold of the Copper Age it is referred to as “copper sheep.”

The copper sheep is seen as an offspring from a cross of the turbary sheep with the wild mouflon.


Extensive and detailed treatment of the osteological material from the prehistoric site at Anau in southwestern Turkestan. Domestic species identified and described were dog, pig, cattle, sheep, goat, camel and horse. The dog of Anau is of the type Canis familiaris matriis optima, which resembles the dingo as well as the fossil Canis pontiatini (cf. Studer, 1906) and is derived from one of them. Remains of pig, very common in later strata, are close to Sus vittatus and regarded as the oldest trace of the turbary pig. The bovid of the lower layers from Anau is identified with wild Bos namadicus, but during later periods a domesticated long-horned type of cattle originated from this wild form. Among the sheep bones (about 20 per cent in all levels), the author distinguishes the wild Ovis rignei arkal and a domestic form, O. aries palustris, which is considered a direct descendant of the former. Domestic goat (Capra hircus) and camel yielded few fragments and only in the uppermost layers; it is suggested that both were imported from the Iranian plateau.

The numerous equid remains from Anau are designated as a desert type of horse—the oldest domestic breed of the Oriental group and named Equus caballus pumpellii. The horse of Anau, its genealogy and its connection with the other domestic horses are discussed in a special chapter (pp. 401–442). Three basic types for the domestic horse stock are suggested, all derived from E. c. fossilis (a recent form of which is found in the Przewalski horse): (a) a steppe type (E. c. robustus), which gave rise to the Occidental horses; (b) a forest type (E. c. nehringi), the ancestor of the Celtic pony; and (c) a desert type, the horse of Anau (cf. above).


Serological tests showed that the jackal and the wolf have the same affinity to the domestic dog; both are considered ancestors. The Australian Canis dingo is seen as a wild, never domesticated animal, though a related form gave rise to the pariah type, found at a domestic stage in the Anau culture.
Dürst, J. U., and Gaillard, C.  
A zoological-archaeological study of the “goat-horned sheep,” which occurred in prehistoric Egypt. It was the original prototype for the famous “Ram of Mendes,” but was replaced later, after its extinction, by a goat (probably Hircus mambricus). The study shows the similarity of the African wild Ovis longipes and the Egyptian “goat-horned sheep” named O. l. palaeaeqypticus, which is taken to be the oldest domestic form of the long-legged and horizontally screw-horned breeds (as represented by the recent Walachian sheep).  

Dyson, Robert H.  
A brief summary of early archaeological evidence concerning the domestication of cattle, pig, goat and sheep, and a compilation of references to studies on their origin. It is concluded that a Neolithic economy, based in part on those four domestic animals, was first developed in the Near East, some time during or prior to the fifth millennium B.C.  
A comprehensive bibliography is appended.  

Epstein, H.  
The South African red Afrikaner cattle evolved from indigenous breeds by severe and careful selection. The nucleus was formed by the Hottentot cattle, which did not originate from a cross of Hamitic longhorn (primigenius type) with zebus, like most of the other African breeds (Zulu, Bechuana, Watusi and Damara cattle), but represents—according to craniological and other skeleton features—a pure zebu breed (derived from Bos namadicus), which is believed to have reached Africa via Bab-el-Mandeb and Ethiopia during the second millennium B.C. The author holds that the original characteristics of the zebu race are preserved in a purer form in the Afrikaner cattle than in the zebus of Asia, which have been exposed to the influence of shorthorned (brachyceros) breeds.  

Erkes, Eduard  
Archaeological evidences are brought together to prove that the wild horse was known to man in China in early Paleolithic times and was tamed in China by the Neolithic. Also, on the basis of ethnological material, it is assumed that horse-breeding evolved in China from the taming of the indigenous wild horse. The ass, on the other hand, was introduced into China, together with mule and camel, by the Huns at the end of the third century B.C.  

Etheridge, R.  
1916. The warrigar, or “dingo” introduced or indigenous? Mem. geol. Surv. N. S. W., Ethn., no. 2, pp. 43–54, pls. x–xii.
Records dealing with discoveries of post-Pliocene dog remains from the Wellington and other bone caves in New South Wales are presented, and contradictory views concerning the status of the dingo — whether indigenous in Australia previous to the advent of man or introduced by the latter — are quoted. The "Wellington Caves Teeth" are compared with teeth of a modern domestic dog, and with those of the Tasmanian wolf and the Tasmanian devil. The author concludes that a dog did exist in New South Wales in Post-Tertiary times, and that some of the teeth are those of a dog.

Ewart, J. Cossar


An outline of the probable polyphyletic origin of domestic horses from several distinct species which persisted from pre-glacial times almost unaltered to recent days. Three distinct types of living horses are distinguished and described: the wild Equus przewalskii, the Celtic pony, and the Norse horse, the two latter called E. caballus celticus and E. c. typicus, respectively. In addition to these, several African and Oriental varieties are suggested as possible ancestors of modern breeds.


Among the horse remains from the Roman Fort at Newstead, Scotland, dated to the first and second centuries A.D., three distinct kinds of skulls are distinguished. A comparative study of the skulls of living varieties with the skulls of Newstead proved that (a) long, bent skulls from Newstead are almost identical with the skull of Equus przewalskii; (b) very narrow skulls agree with those of typical Celtic ponies (and also some Arabian horses); (c) broad-faced skulls resemble closely the skulls of horses of the "forest type," frequently met with in northern Europe and in northern and western Africa.

The new evidence confirms the previous view of the author on the origin of the tamed horse (cf. Ewart, 1904), and three groups of domestic horses are recognized: (a) The "steppe variety," which has either sprung from or is closely allied to Przewalski's horse; this group comprises the Oriental horses, including the tarpan, which is taken to be a feral horse. (b) The "plateau variety," which includes two races, the "Celtic," adapted for a subarctic habitat and widely distributed in prehistoric Europe, and the "Libyan" (identical with Equus caballus libysus; cf. Ridgeway, 1905), adapted for a subtropical region. (c) The "forest variety" (identical with the Norse horse; cf. Ewart, 1904), derived from the wild Equus robustus, found in alluvial deposits in France.

The Arabian horses are considered partly of the steppe and partly of the forest type. Most of the recent breeds, which are reviewed briefly, carry blood of several ancestors.


After a short review of the theories on the origins of the domestic horse, evidence is produced to show that the three types of recent horses, forest, plateau,
and steppe types (cf. Ewart, 1907a), were already present in prehistoric times and go back to three distinct Paleolithic ancestors.


In a brief discussion of the probable ancestors of the domestic races of horses the author claims a polyphyletic origin from several (at least five) Pleistocene forms. To the three forms previously established (cf. Ewart, 1907a, b), a “Siwalik” type is added, to include horses allied to Equus sivalensis of the Pliocene deposits found in the Siwalik Hills of India. Slender-limbed forms are derived either from E. gracilis libycus (syn., E. caballus libycus; cf. Ridgeway, 1905) or from a cross of the latter with E. robustus.


In the second part of this article the author gives a detailed description of the characteristics of ancient domestic breeds and deals at length with the origin of sheep, cattle and domestic horses. It is suggested that some of the long-tailed European breeds of sheep descended from the urial or from mouflons, but the spiral-horned varieties were perhaps derived from the argali type (cf. Ewart, 1913, 1914). The Celtic shorthorn (Bos longifrons) is considered to be more intimately related to longhorned zebus than to Bos primigenius; other British races, however (Galloway, Cadrow cattle), are regarded as of the primigenius type; Aberdeen-Angus cattle are derived from an ancient Oriental race. Gaur and banteng are considered as descendants of Bos acutifrons of the Punjab.

A full description is given of the four types of domesticated horses distinguished by the author: the forest, plateau, steppe and Siwalik types (cf. Ewart, 1907a, b, 1909). The latter is related to the Pliocene Equus sivalensis, or to its more specialized relative, E. stenonis of Europe.


After referring to characteristics and distribution of the present types of wild sheep, an attempt is made to indicate the part that the varieties of the mouflon (Ovis orientalis and O. musimon) and the urial (O. rignei) have played in forming modern breeds. Special attention is directed to the Shetland sheep of the peat or turbarry type (O. aries palustris), which retains the main characteristics of the urial ancestor, and to the semi-wild sheep of the islands of Sony, some of which resemble the urial while others appear to be closely related to the mouflon or to its early domesticated ancestor, the so-called “copper sheep” (cf. Dürst, 1904). It is suggested that a tame mouflon and the urial, the latter in the form of the domestic turbarry sheep, met and blended early in the Bronze Age.

By examining sheep remains from alluvial deposits of the Thames Valley and by studying skeletons of wild and domestic forms, primitive and improved, evidences are obtained that besides the urial and the mouflon (cf. Ewart, 1913), the wild argali (*Ovis ammon*) has also contributed to domestic breeds of sheep. Argali characters are found in subfossil sheep material from the Thames alluvium and in the fat-rumped breeds of Bukhara and Turkestan.

**Fairservis, Walter A., Jr.**


A popular summary of the history of wool and the wool-industry, from the prehistoric period into the first millennium A.D. Included is a short account of the archeologic evidence, as known, for the origin of domestic sheep and for their early history (cf. Hilzheimer, 1936; Braidwood, 1952; Dyson, 1953).—C.A.R.

**Feige, Ernst**


A brief summary of information on the early dispersal of domestic mammals is followed by a discussion of zoogeographic aspects. Particular emphasis is given to the significance of pigmentation in relation to the original environment of domesticated animals.


The wild ancestors of domestic ungulates and their geographic distribution are investigated in order to localize the areas of domestication. “Natural areas” of domestication are marked for the various groups in Africa, Asia and Europe and contrasted to “economic areas” of domestication in these continents. The dependence of pigments upon geographic and ecological factors is stressed (pp. 107–117). The author holds that the morphological influence of human culture on domestic forms has usually been insignificant in comparison with the influence of the natural environment. A geographical map schematizes the “natural areas” of domestication.

**Flor, Fritz**


This historical survey covers the origins of the domestic dog, reindeer and horse. The philological aspects are emphasized, but zoological information is considered. The author finds the cradle of the domestic dog (chap. 3) in the Protoeskimoid culture in arctic Siberia and associates with the rearing of the dog the earliest breeding of reindeer (chap. 4) among the Protosamojeds. The keeping of reindeer is considered to be the most ancient pastoral culture and it eventually gave rise to the domestication of the horse (chap. 6), practiced first in Asia by the Proto-Altaian tribes.
Forbes, R. J.


The camel and the dromedary are separate species, independently domesticated from different wild species. The camel was probably domesticated in central Asia in late Neolithic times, the dromedary in Arabia, perhaps somewhat earlier. Neither animal was adopted by peoples of historical cultures for several millennia, although both species were known to the Akkadians; the Egyptians, from pre-dynastic times onward, had rare contacts with dromedary-owning Bedouins.

The Assyrians were the first historical people to use these animals, the camel from about 1100 B.C. onward, the dromedary from approximately 800 B.C. Trans-desert traffic by means of dromedary caravans came only with the Persian Empire. Although the dromedary existed wild in northern Africa in prehistoric times, there is no evidence that it was domesticated there, and its use west of the Nile spread slowly, even after its introduction into Egypt about 300 B.C.—C.A.R.

Fraser, F. C., and King, J. E.


The Star Carr horizon of the Maglemosian (Mesolithic) culture belongs to an earlier period (a late phase of the pre-Boreal, or Zone IV) of post-glacial time than did the classic Maglemosian sites of Denmark and the shores of the Baltic. A wide variety of animal remains was found, but none were domestic species, although an earlier report (Proc. prehist. Soc., 1950, vol. 15, pp. 109–129) had suggested the presence of the domestic dog. However, all canid materials proved to belong to the wolf. The absence of a dog is particularly interesting in view of the C¹⁴ determination (9488±350 years), which is so close to the suggested date of 9000 years ascribed to the dog found at Frankfort (cf. Baas, 1938).—C.A.R.

Free, Joseph


A collection of evidences (chiefly art representations) that point to the presence of camels in ancient Egypt in predynastic periods. Many items seem to offer evidence that the animals were domesticated.

Friederichs, Heinz


Animal representations from four sites in southwestern Asia—Mohenjo-Daro near the Indus, Tell Halaf in northern and Ur in southern Mesopotamia, and Maikop in northern Caucasus—are described, and problems of domestication in the fourth and third millennia B.C. in this area are worked out. Earliest domestic animals of southwestern Asia, according to their representations, are Bos primigenius, spread over all the area and, in Mohenjo-Daro, accompanied by B. namadicus; sheep (Ovis aries in India, O. vignei in Mesopotamia and O. orientalis in Maikop); and goat. Those species are followed somewhat later by camels (at Tell Halaf), horses and asses, both the latter indicated by the occurrence of mules.
Funkenstein, Daniel H.


Domestic mammals, mammals depending upon flight for survival, and very social animals such as baboons produce a high proportion of adrenalin to nor-adrenalin, whereas aggressive animals such as the lion have a higher proportion of nor-adrenalin. The domestic cat produces about equal amounts of each. Adrenalin and nor-adrenalin are both hormones secreted by the medulla of the adrenal gland; nor-adrenalin is associated with emotional and physiological reactions accompanying rage, whereas adrenalin is associated with those of fear.—C.A.R.

Fürer-Haimendorf, C. von


The carabao, kept as an animal of the household and for cult purposes by the mountain tribes of the Philippines, cannot be derived from the only indigenous bovid (Bos mindorensis). Looking for the cradle of the domestic carabao and discussing the date of its introduction to the islands, the author concludes that Austro-Asiatic invaders brought the tamed animal from the Asian continent.


Based on recent archaeological evidence (cf. Dyson, 1953), there has been a complete reversal of ethnological theory concerning origins of domestication. Former ideas of the antiquity and independence of horse and reindeer breeding by nomads of central and northern Eurasia must be abandoned in favor of the concept of primary domestication of goats, sheep, cattle, and pigs by the early farmers (or their immediate ancestors) of southwestern Asia.—C.A.R.

Gaillard, Claude


A survey of the domestic and semi-domestic fauna of Egypt at the time of the Old Kingdom as reflected by animal figurines, sculptures and paintings from the ancient monuments. Besides the domestication of sheep, mamber-goat and longhorned cattle, which are dealt with briefly, the author finds evidence in the animal representations for a taming of the Nubian wild goat (Ibex nubiana), the dorcas gazelle, the Beatrix antelope (Oryx), and the addax (Addax nasomaculata) during several dynasties.


A detailed study of the fossils from the Paleolithic site at Kom Ombo (north of Aswan) and the faunal remains from a Neolithic kitchen-midden deposit at Toukh (Upper Egypt). Besides remains of wild horse, ass, and buffalo among the faunal assemblage from Kom Ombo, those of Bos primigenius and B. brachy-ceros were identified; both species were considered to belong to wild and indigenous
races. Most of the species represented in Toukh are regarded as probably domesticated; they included cattle, pig, dog, buffalo, goat and sheep. Cattle remains were most frequent and belonged to the *brachyceros* type, the pig was of the turbary race (*Sus scrofa* aff. *palustris*), sheep were identified as *Ovis longipes palaeoaegeypticus* (cf. Dürst and Gaillard, 1902), and among the goat remains two species were distinguished—the mamber goat (*Hircus mammarius*) and *H. reversus*.

**Galbreath, Edwin C.**


The Indian dog is listed as being present with the following extinct mammals: giant beaver, ground sloth, American mastodon, and an undetermined ovibovid. The coyote was separately identified.—C.A.R.

**Gandert, Otto F.**


An archaeological-chronological analysis of the Neolithic comb-ceramic culture of northeastern Europe (third millennium B.C.) is followed by an investigation of its domestic stock. The finds from the Russian site at Bologoe (Gouv. Novgerod) are described and treated in detail. The only domestic animal of this culture was the dog, used for hunting. It also provided food and fur. The dog remains belong to two forms: *Canis familiaris palustris* and the larger *C. f. inostranzewi*. The author opposes the view that the larger gave rise to the smaller turbary form and suggests that the reverse may have been true.

**Gehl, Otto**


Remains of Neolithic canids from northern Germany, especially from sites in Schleswig-Holstein, are described and discussed. In the early Neolithic sites (Kiel, Klausdorf) two forms appeared: *Canis palustris ladogensis* and *C. intermedius*. In the high Neolithic (Ellebeck, Husum) *C. palustris* appeared as the result of a more intensive domestication. A dog (the body preserved in peat) from the early Iron Age showed affinities to *C. pallipes* and a marked influence of the north European wolf.

The first part of the paper contains a discussion of the customary craniometric methods for canids, with a guide to new ones.

**Gejvali, Nils G.**


Preliminary report on the animal bones collected at Troy during the excavations from 1932 onward. From Troy I (early third millennium B.C.) the genera *Bos, Sus, Ovis, Capra* and *Canis* are recorded. In Troy II an increase in cattle breeding becomes evident. Equid remains, first recorded from Troy IV, belong probably to the domestic ass, while the horse appears only in Troy VI.

The second preliminary report on the faunal remains from Troy (cf. Gejvali, 1937–38) confirms the occurrence of the horse in early Troy VI. The domestic horse seemed to become abundant soon after its first appearance. From Troy IX a skull of a domestic (?) cat is recorded.


A detailed investigation of a skeleton belonging to a domestic horse of the Viking period, found in Uppland (Sweden). The measurements and indices point to a close affinity with the Arabian horse or the tarpan.

George, Naguib


Cervical vertebrae and ribs of camel were found in excavations at Helwan near Cairo, Egypt. They are regarded as evidence that the camel was present in Egypt during predynastic periods.

Gerbes, Eduard


On the basis of a study of the cattle remains from a Celtic site (400–58 b.c.) on the Engel Peninsula near Bern the conclusion is reached that the stout bovid of this site also belongs to the brachycephalus race, as cattle of primigenius type are lacking.

Gromova, V. J.


Detailed description and summary of the animal remains collected from five sites in the central sphere of the Tripolje culture (near Kiev, Ukraine). The great majority of the bones (86 per cent) belonged to domestic species, among which cattle were represented most frequently (37 per cent), followed by pig (23 per cent) and sheep or goat (15 per cent). Other animals recognized were domestic horse (6 per cent) and dog. The preponderance of cattle over pig, sheep and goat and the insignificance of horse-keeping are seen as main characteristics for the domestic fauna of this Tripolje (B) culture.

Among the wild fauna, Bos primigenius, apparently hunted in Tripolje A, was identified, and most of the domestic oxen from Tripolje are taken to be the direct result of domestication of the wild urus, though some cattle of brachyceros type were recorded as well.

Haag, Wm. G.

The study is based on large collections of skeletons of domestic dogs from North American archaeological sites. These dogs resemble Old World domestic dogs, not native wild North American canids. North American aboriginal dogs are classified into 8 morphologic breeds, distinguishable primarily on a size basis (the husky is the largest). For any area, small size of dog is correlated with older archaeological horizon and also with poverty of human cultural remains. The necessity is stressed of making statistical analyses of large series before attempting conclusions. The study lends support to the idea that the dog was derived from a small wolf-like form not approximated by any of the living boreal wolves, and that domestication occurred not long before 6000 B.C. It is thought that the ancestors of the dog, as scavengers, adopted man long before man adopted and domesticated the dog. Probably the domestic dog was introduced to North America about 500 B.C. by a people with a late Mesolithic culture. — C.A.R.

Hahn, Eduard


In his ethnological study the author sees in religious rites and cult associations the origin of domestication of oxen, and, following it, the origin of plough-culture in general. Herd animals (and eventually pastoralism) as well as horse-breeding and camel-breeding came out of this early seed-and-plough agriculture, practiced first in Mesopotamia.

Haltenworth, Theodor


A systematic treatment, based on morphology, of the Old World wild cats. In the last chapter the origin of the domestic cat is dealt with. The only truly domesticated cat is Felis silvestris libycus Forster of Egypt, which appears in a stage of domestication from the beginning of the Middle Empire (2000 B.C.). When it was introduced into Europe, it may have mated with European wild cats occasionally.

Hancar, Franz


A comprehensive survey of the Russian literature concerned with the latest archaeological excavations of the Tripolje settlements, the Neolithic complex of the Ukrainian steppe. Special attention is directed toward the change in the composition of the domestic stock from the “classical” stage (Tripolje B), in which tillage appeared to have been the principal economic base, to the final stage (Tripolje C, ca. 2100–1700 B.C.; demonstrated by the sites at Horodsk and Usatovo), which is characterized by a marked increase in the number of domestic animals (identified at Usatovo): sheep (48 per cent), cattle (28 per cent), horse (13 per cent), and goat, pig and dog (only a few fragments). The great increase in the frequency of sheep and horse (associated with a decrease of pig) becomes evident by a comparison with the faunal composition from Tripolje B (cf. Gromova, 1927).
The author traces in detail the transition from a culture of settled farmers and cattle-breeders to a nomadic pastoralism, based upon the rearing of huge herds and change of pastures.


A compilation of ethnological, historical and zoological data forms the background from which a picture of horse-breeding in Bactria and adjacent inner Asia is drawn. Such breeding reached a high level in the early first millennium B.C. Inner Asia is seen as the radiation center for all the mounted invaders that haunted Europe.

Hatt, Gudmund


A collection of references on the biology of the reindeer and on the history of reindeer nomadism, based largely on Scandinavian literature. The nature of reindeer nomadism is seen as responsible for the slight degree of domestication in the tamed reindeer (when compared to other domestic animals), and therefore does not indicate the recent origin of their domestication; however, it is not considered to be a very ancient achievement.

Havesson, D.

1933. On the domestic pigs of Tschuwasia (Russ.). Transcript of the conference on the origin of domesticated animals, held at the Laboratory of Genetics, Acad. Sci. USSR, Leningrad, 1932, pp. 313–373.

A primitive, small breed of pig from Tschuwasia (Chuvash, former Gouv. Kazan) is investigated (46 skulls) and compared with wild and domestic pigs (fossil and recent) of other races. The Tschuwasian pig exhibits a close affinity to the Neolithic turbary pig (Sus scrofa palustris). It is distinct from the surrounding domestic breeds but resembles wild forms. Presumably the breed was brought in by the ancestors of the Tschuwans (of Turkish origin) and probably it was originally domesticated in the area of Kuen-Lun or Tien-Shan.

Hediger, H.


A treatment of the psychical background of the origin of domestication. The author shows the transition from the wild via the tamed to the domestic stage and suggests the presence of a "psychical preadaptation" for the status of domestication present in certain species and usually associated with a biological inferiority of those forms in their natural biocoenosis.

Hehn, Victor

ANGRESS AND REED: DOMESTIC MAMMALS

The work (first edition, 1870) is an attempt to investigate the history and dispersal of civilization in general and of cultivated plants and domestic animals in particular on the basis of a study of comparative linguistics. The author comes to the conclusion that the domestication of numerous animals was started in the Orient, and that from there the idea of domestication together with the animals themselves spread to Greece, Italy, and later to the remaining European countries. Among the domestic mammals the story of the horse is examined at greatest length (pp. 19–54). Its cradle is found among Iranian tribes, whence it was received by Indo-Europeans only after they became established in their historical places of residence. Rabbit, cat and cattle are dealt with more briefly.

Heinrich, E.


The animal images from Uruk-Warka (Mesopotamia) are described in detail (pp. 17–28) and discussed from a zoological point of view by M. Hilzheimer (pp. 48–54). Major emphasis is given to the domestic sheep in comparison to the wild form. Goat and cattle are mentioned briefly.

Hermanns, Matthias


This book, based to a great extent upon original exploration, deals extensively with the sources and developments of the herdsman-cultures in A mdo (= Tsing Hai, northwestern Tibet) and with the origin of cattle-breeding in general. The cradle of stock-farming is sought in western Asia (probably western Turkestan). The earliest breeds are sheep and goat, followed soon afterward by domestic oxen (in the ancient herdsman-culture of A mdo only sheep and yak were known as breeds). Somewhat later, ass and onager became domesticated; much later, camel, horse and reindeer.

Appended are tables on the origin of the most important domestic breeds, chronological lists, maps and a comprehensive bibliography.


A survey of various domestic animals of India and their related wild forms: gayal, hanteng, water buffalo, yak, zebu, sheep, goat, camel, pig and kiang (Equus onager indicus). The gayal (Bibos frontalitis) is considered an offspring of the wild gaur male and the domestic cow. For the Indian humped cattle (zebu) a specific wild, probably indigenous ancestor (not Bos namadicus) is suggested. Cattle and sheep constituted the oldest domestic stock of prehistoric Asia, followed later by horse and reindeer.

The northwest Indian highlands together with the Iranian and Tibetan plateau are seen as the center where cattle-breeding originated, perhaps as early as the Mesolithic (9000 B.C.).

Hermes, Gertrud

A compilation of data on horse remains, horse representations and finds of artifacts associated with horse-breeding, from prehistoric Europe. Although of a doubtful character, the Neolithic "evidences" and those of the Bronze Age lead to the assumption that the practice of horse-breeding was introduced into Europe by that time.


Archaeological records of equids from the ancient Near East back to the fourth millennium B.C. are collected, and their historical background is traced. The development of the harness, especially the bridle, from the primitive halter to the bridoon-bit, is outlined in detail.

Herre, Wolf


A consideration of methods for solving problems of the origin of domestic animals in general, and a study of some aspects of the distribution of wild horses and their relation to domestic species in particular. The author discusses the status of the Russian tarpan and considers it as a form of the przewalskii horse (now restricted to Mongolia).


A description of the most ancient cattle of primigenius type from northern Europe, found in Schleswig-Holstein (Moor of Satrupholm) and dated to the early Neolithic (3000-1800 B.C.). The find seems to indicate domestication in northern Europe, and though the primigene character is dominant the skull shows also a slight resemblance to the brachyceros type. The author assumes that at the same time and in different localities primigenius and brachyceros groups were developed from the domesticated urus. Animals with mixed characters from the Neolithic are seen as the primitive material and not as results of later crosses.


A comparative study of lower jaws and teeth in wild and domestic animals. The author points to the great variability in size and form of teeth and in size and powerfulness of lower jaw bones, which characters cannot be associated per se with general size and skull-form of domesticated races or their wild ancestors. Effects of domestication are considered to be due to selection rather than to physiological factors.


More than a hundred skulls of the wild and domesticated typlopods of South America—guanaco and vicugna on the one hand, llama and alpaca on the other—
are examined, in order to find the phylogenetic relationship of both of the ancient domestic breeds. On the base of the craniological differences, the wild vicugna is excluded as possible ancestor, and both the llama and the alpaca are traced back to the wild guanaco, *Llama guanicoe*.


This is an important summary of the literature on domestication, as evidenced by the bibliography of 11 pages in small print. The 44 pages of text are in themselves an abstract of this literature. The subjects covered range far beyond the origins of domesticated mammals and birds to include much material on the effects of domestication upon the different species, parallel evolutionary trends under the influence of artificial selection, heredity in domestic animals, and other subjects.
—C.A.R.

Hescheler, Karl


The first part (pp. 248–281) gives a review of earlier investigations of the Swiss lake-dwelling fauna; the second part contains a description of remains from wild and domestic animals found in the palisade dwellings (Neolithic) of Lake Wauwyl in Switzerland. Goat and sheep—the former appearing in the lower strata more and in the upper ones much less frequently than the latter—are both of the turbar type; the "copper sheep" (*Ovis aries studeri*) is absent. Remains of the domestic turbar type pig (*Sus palustris*) are very distinct from those of the wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) found in the same levels, so that a relationship is doubted. All the dog material belongs to *Canis palustris*, and cattle also are represented by the turbar type (*Bos taurus brachyceros*) only. The few fragments of equids are probably those of a wild horse.

Hescheler, Karl, and Rüger, J.


Report on the excavations at a settlement of lake-dwellers (Egolzwil, on Lake Wauwyl, Canton Luzern). The remains of domestic animals (33.4 per cent of the total fossil material) belonged to dog, pig, sheep, goat and cattle.


A description of the faunal remains from Neolithic (Seeematte) and Early Bronze Age (Baldegg) sites around Lake Baldegg in central Switzerland. Among the remains from Seeematte those of cattle are the most frequent, followed by pig bones; there were fewer remains of sheep, goats and dogs. In Baldegg (Canton Luzern) bones of cattle are still dominant, followed closely by sheep and goat.
Pig remains are rare. Two new arrivals, which appeared from the Late Bronze Age on—the horse and a new, larger race of dogs—are described in detail (see also Hescheler and Rüger, 1942).


A systematic study of the remains of domestic animals from two Neolithic sites—Egolzwil (cf. Hescheler and Rüger, 1939) and Seematte (cf. Hescheler and Rüger, 1940). Five main forms—cattle, sheep, goat, pig and dogs—are described in detail. The remains of dogs (skulls of which are the best preserved, since the animal did not serve for food) constituted about 9.5 per cent of the material; all belong to the *palastris* group. The turbarry pig—remains of which were found in addition to those of the European wild boar—were 28 per cent of the total remains and were outnumbered only by cattle, the latter identified as *Bos taurus brachyceros*. Osteological differences between the brachyceros oxen and the wild urus are worked out. Goats were all of the sable-horned *Capra hircus* type.

**Hildebrand, Milton**


This paper, useful to the anatomist working with bones from archaeological sites, is concerned with the post-cranial skeletons of domestic sheep and goats, and a North American deer, *Odocoileus*. The applicability of the information on the latter to Old World deer remains to be tested. Domestic sheep are best set apart from domestic goats by characters of the metacarpal, scapula, pelvis, and ulna. The femur is the bone least distinguishable. Individual variations are striking, and the necessity of using statistical techniques on large series is stressed.—C.A.R.

**Hilzheimer, Max**


After a detailed morphological (esp. craniological) examination of North African races of jackals and a discussion of their classification, skulls of domestic dogs from sites of ancient Egypt are described, and conclusions are drawn as to the origin of the breeds. One of the jackals, *Canis lupaster*, is considered to be certainly the ancestor for certain Egyptian domestic dogs, two others probable progenitors for the other ancient breeds in Egypt.

In four tables craniological data of wolves, jackals and domestic dogs are compared.

A popular guide to domestic mammals and birds, their origin and history. After an introductory chapter, presenting general trends in the evolution of domestication, the book describes each of the domestic stocks and its history. Dog and horse are treated at considerable length; cat, rabbit, ass, pig, camel, llama, reindeer, cattle, sheep and goat are covered briefly. The text is well illustrated, from zoological and archaeological sources.


A critical survey of the literature on the origin and history of the domestic cat, camel, llama and reindeer. A bibliographical list of the literature on the origin of the first two animals is added.


Problems, evidences—based largely upon investigations by the author—and recent views on the ancestry of domestic mammals are brought together in a semi-popular manual.

In the first section, which deals with the concept of domestication in general and the morphological changes in the domestic stage, special attention is devoted to the phenomenon of developmental arrest in skull-form due to domestication, which trend is traced through the various domestic groups and treated in the next section of the book.

The second part deals at length with the origin of dogs, equids, cattle, sheep, goats and pigs. The wolf is taken as the only progenitor of domestic dogs. The Russian tarpan is accepted as ancestor of the Oriental breeds, the Celtic pony regarded as a special type. For the domestic ass a monophyletic origin is suggested; any connection with the half-ass (onager) group is contested.

A discussion of buffalo, Indian oxen and yak is followed by a detailed treatment of the relationship between the urus and domestic cattle and of the post-embryonic development of the bovine skull. The urus is taken as the only ancestral form for domestic cattle, though domestication took place repeatedly in different localities and at various periods. Domestic sheep are derived mainly from the Oris vignei group, argali and European mouflon being of only minor importance as ancestral forms. The domestic goat is traced back to Capra aegagrus, C. prisca and C. falconeri, the Kirghiz goat (cf. Philipschenko, 1928) being seen as the only living derivation from the latter. The existence of a wild Mediterranean form of pigs (Sus mediterraneus) is doubted, all the domestic breeds of pigs being descendants of Sus scrofa or S. vittatus. Camel (the question of monophyletic origin is left open), reindeer, cat and rabbit are treated more briefly.


A brief survey of the origin of European cattle and the cattle tribe in general. Since all the ancient as well as the now living races of domestic cattle (genus Bos) belong to the taurine group (parietals and interparietals displaced from forehead), all of them must be traced back to the only taurine wild ox known so far—the urus.

All primigenius, frontosus and longifrons (= brachyceros) forms are products of domestication; the hornless domestic forms, appearing in various groups of bovids
(yak, buffalo, etc.), cannot be derived from a single hornless ancestor (e.g., *Bos taurus akeratos*, as supposed by Arenander).


Investigating the postembryonic development of the skull in domestic pigs, dogs and cattle, the author finds that wide variations in the form and shape of the skull of domestic mammals are caused by developmental persistence in different ontogenetic stages. This phenomenon of domestication is taken to explain cranio-
logical distinction between certain races in spite of their monophyletic origin.


A discussion of certain problems related to the history of the domestic dog. The status of the dingo and of the pariah dog is examined, and a number of types belonging to different periods and countries—terriers from the Swiss lake-dwellings, mastiffs from the Neolithic Baltic countries, greyhounds from ancient Egypt—are described, mainly on the basis of pictorial evidence. It is suggested that all breeds of dogs, with the possible exception of ancient Egyptian races and their derivatives (the greyhounds), have evolved from the wolf.


A study of skulls and horns reveals three sharply distinct groups of wild goats: *Capra prisca* Adam., *C. hircus* L. and *C. falcouerii* Wagner. Being readily crossed mutually and giving rise to fertile offspring, the three types could have produced many transitional forms by cross-breeding; only the first two, however, are taken into consideration as ancestors for the domestic breeds of goat.

The goats in central Asia, with markhor horns and bezoar characters, originated probably from a later cross-breeding with goats of the *Capra prisca* type.


Short preliminary report on the faunal remains from Tell-Asmar (cf. Hilzheimer, 1941) and on the frequency of domestic species at the site. A brief account is presented of the position of the tamed onager in Sumer.


The author deals briefly with the taming of the Asiatic half-ass (*Equus hemi-
onus*) by Sumerians around 3000 B.C. and with the occurrence of the domestic ass in the early periods of Egyptian history; the origin and dispersal of the domestic horse are also examined.

The habitats of the types under consideration—Przewalski’s horse (*Equus equiferus* Pallas) and the tarpan (*E. gmelini* Antonius)—are traced throughout
the critical periods, and the author concludes that both recent groups of breeds, the Oriental as well as the Occidental, are derived from the Russian tarpan.


A survey of the living groups of wild sheep is followed by an examination of archaeological and philological evidence concerning the origin of the domestic sheep. The author finds three possible lines of ancestry for them, all to be looked for in Asia: the Asiatic mouflon (Ovis orientalis), the urial (O. vignei) and the argali (O. ammon); the latter is not considered to have been important for European breeds.


A study of fossil material collected at the Northern Palace in Tell Asmar (Mesopotamia) in 1932, 1933 and 1934–35. The position of the Asiatic onager or half-ass (Equus onager hemippus)—bones of which constituted 9 per cent of the total skeletal material—and its relationship to the domestic ass and the domestic horse are discussed in detail in the light of the osteological material. Other domestic species identified and treated are: Sus sp. (29.1 per cent of the total), Ovis sp. and Capra prisca (together 27.7 per cent), Bos taurus primigenius (13.5 per cent) and Canis familiaris palustris (8 per cent).

Table VIII (pp. 49–51) summarizes the findings and their sources.

Hooijer, D. A.


A collection of subfossil mammals is dated at 650 B.C. on the basis of pollen analysis. A large dog is recorded, of the Great Dane-Newfoundland-St. Bernard type. The domestic cat is listed, on the basis of right humerus and tibia and left os coxae, all smaller than those of Felis silvestris, the European wild cat. This is the earliest record of the domestic cat in northern Europe, and also the earliest record of such a large breed of dog.—C.A.R.

Houbard, Albert


Brief discussions of representations of dogs from ancient Egypt, starting with a hunting scene on a vase from the fourth millennium B.C., which shows canids resembling a greyhound type. The author holds that by early dynastic times a great variety of dog breeds was known in Egypt.

Hrozny, Bedrik

The famous Kikkuli text from the fourteenth century B.C., found in Bogaz Köy (Anatolia), is translated and explained. The text—one of the earliest literary evidences of horse breeding—is written by a Mitannian and contains a detailed guide for the treatment of race- and draft-horses. The technical terms are, in part, of Indo-European origin.

Hue, Edmund


Description of a dog-skull from the Neolithic lake dwelling at Clairvaux (Jura) and a comparison with other prehistoric canid skulls (Canis f. palustris, C. f. matris optîmæ, C. f. leînerï, etc.). The new dog is craniologically distinct from all the other forms (high, convex forehead, broad jugals, slender palate) and is taken as a new type, called Canis le mireï Hue.


Study of a mandible and teeth of a prehistoric domestic dog from the Neolithic dwelling places at Lake Chalain (Jura). Although few specific odontological characters are worked out, the dog is identified as belonging to the type of Canis familiaris palustris Rutim.

Hummerlink, Paul


Some data are given about two ancient dogs from the West Indies, not dealt with in most treatments. The extinct "alco," remains of which were found in caves on Jamaica, Haiti and San Domingo, was the domestic dog of the Arawak Indians and is regarded as ancestral to the Mexican pug. The "xibaro" (=hibaro) is very similar to the Aguara dog of Surinam (Netherlands Guiana), and its identity with the Brasilian roe-dog is suggested.

Isserlin, B. S. J.


Several cases of skeletal finds (mainly teeth) belonging to camels, discovered at Palestine sites (Gezer, Megiddo, Taanek) from the Early and Middle Bronze Age, are listed and seen as possible evidence for the occurrence of the domestic animal by that time.

Jackson, J. W.


Evidence from Neolithic domestication in Britain and other European cultures is summarized briefly and problems connected with prehistoric animals—especially ox and horse—are posed. The identity of the domestic cattle of prehistoric England (the longifrons type) with the Bos brachyceros stock of the Continent is questioned. The domestic status of horses, remains of which were found
at Neolithic sites in Great Britain (Cotswolds, Glamorgan, northern Wales), is doubted, and the possibility of an independent domestication of at least two wild species of horses—somewhere north of the Iranian Plateau and somewhere near or in Scandinavia—is suggested.


Bones of ox, sheep, and pig, from cultural levels of the Amratian period in the prehistoric cemeteries of Armant (near Luxor, Egypt) are assumed to be those of domestic animals. There is a useful summary of prior finds of animals (domestic and other) in prehistoric Egypt.—C.A.R.

Jettmar, Karl


In a short summary of publications (mainly from Russian literature) on the Karasuk culture of Minusinsk (northern Siberia), the author presents some details on the domestic stock as reflected by remains of animals sacrificed as mortuary food gifts. In graves from eighteen sites sheep constituted by far the major part of the remains, followed in frequency by cattle. Few bones belonged to horses. In one site forelegs of camels and in a grave from another site the full skeleton of a domestic dog were found.

The sudden importance of sheep-raising and its predominance in the Karasuk culture (1200–700 B.C.) contrasted with the former (Andronovo-) period, during which domestic animals were distributed about equally, is emphasized and seen as a significant feature, showing affinities to a similar process in northern Chinese cultures.


A review of the results of numerous Russian excavations, and a survey of recent views concerning the origin of the domestication of reindeer. All the data seem to prove that the taming of reindeer could never have influenced the domestication of cattle, sheep or horses; the reverse could have been possible.

An extensive bibliography, especially from the Russian language, is appended.

Johansen, K. F.


Among the numerous animal remains from an early Stone Age settlement in the moors of Svärdborg (near Vordingborg, southwestern Zealand, Denmark), identified by H. Winge (pp. 127–134), there were bones of domestic dogs.

Jones, F. Wood

A craniological (mainly odontological) investigation of the dingo on the basis of much material (22 skulls). The author concludes that the dingo is not indigenous—that it arrived relatively recently in Australia. It was brought by a sea route by man from the Asian continent as already a variety of the domestic dog, and it became feral thereafter. The name *Canis dingo* is therefore rejected, and the name *Canis familiaris dingo* is suggested.

**Josien, Thérèse**


Description and evaluation of the skeletal remains collected during the excavations near Beer-Sheba (southern Israel) and dated to about 3000 B.C. The domestic fauna (95% of the determined material) is considered as typical for a semi-nomadic population at the dawn of domestication. It comprised mainly sheep (60.2%), followed by goat (16.7%) and ox (12.8%). Dog and horse were represented by a few fragments only.

**Kacrkowski, B.**


Serological (iso-agglutination) methods were used to determine the origin of the European sheep. Two main groups, A and O, could be distinguished, the latter divided into two sub-groups, one with and the other without anti-A.

Mouflons (from the zoological gardens at Vienna and Budapest) proved to belong to group A. Since the majority of the Polish domestic sheep belong to the same group, a relationship to the mouflon is probable. English Southdown sheep show no serological affinity to mouflons.

**Keller, Conrad**


A comprehensive manual on the derivation and origin of most domestic mammals. Chap. 1–4 explain the methods used and give the cultural background, the zoological aspects and a review of 19th century literature and research. Each of the next eight chapters is devoted to a specific animal group and is divided into three sections: (a) an account of the fossil and archaeological evidence; (b) information on the distribution of the related wild form; (c) a discussion and a phylogenetic summary.

Dogs and cattle are dealt with extensively. Races of Old World dogs are traced back to two species of wolves (*Canis sinensis* and *C. niger*) and the jackal (*C. aureus*); the ancient New World dogs are regarded as indigenous and derived from the North American wolf (*Lupus occidentalis*). Domestic bovines are classified into a European (*Bos taurus*) group, derived from *Bos primigenius*, and an Asiatic zebu group (*B. indicus*), descended from the banteng (*Bos sondaicus*). The banteng is also considered the ancestor of the southeastern European *brachyceros* type. For sheep a triphyletic origin is suggested, for goats and for horses a diphylectic descent; Frank’s classification of the latter into Occidental and Oriental breeds is retained. The camel and the domestic cat are also treated.
A discussion of the species concept and nomenclature for domestic animals is appended.


A popular discussion of general problems of domestication is followed by a treatment of specific animals and their phylogeny. Described are the "ancient domestic mammals" (dogs, equids, pigs, ruminants), the "more recent achievements" (reindeer, rabbit) and finally the domestic birds.


Brief description of methods used to investigate the origins of domestic species. The author distinguishes the following methods: (a) zoogeographical; (b) anatomical; (c) prehistoric (by investigation of fossil material); (d) physiological (using fertile hybrids as criteria of close relationship and serological methods); (e) ethnographical; (f) archaeological; and (g) linguistic.

Kelm, Hans


The wild boars of the *Sus scrofa-vittatus* group are shown to belong to one species (*formenkreis*). Skulls of *Sus scrofa scrofa* (as representative of this wild form), from the new-born to the old animal, are compared with a corresponding ontogenetic sequence of skulls from the highly modified domestic Berkshire pig. Even at birth the skulls show a characteristic difference, but in the course of postembryonic development distinct tendencies in growth cause increasing discrepancy between the skulls of the wild boar and the Berkshire.

The author contests a "retention of juvenile characters" as solution for the characteristics of domestication, which latter he seeks to explain on the basis of a changed balance in the endocrine system.


A survey of the wild pigs of Eurasia. The *Sus scrofa-vittatus* group is seen as a single species, the allopatric races of which form a clear taxocline from Europe (*Sus scrofa scrofa*) to eastern Asia (*Sus scrofa vittatus*).

Klett, B.


A comprehensive treatise, dealing mainly with the origin of domestication in terms of the general aspects involved. The first part ("genesis of domestication") tries to outline the human motives that led to the rise of domestication, and the zoological background that made it possible. In the second part ("effects of domestication") the general morphological and physiological trends characteristic
of domestic animals are illustrated by rich comparative material, and an attempt is made to reveal the special evolutionary factors that seem to operate under the conditions of domestication. The third part ("history of domestic animals") is subdivided into a general section, which deals with the various methods of research (zoological, culture-historical and philological), and a specific part, wherein is outlined the origin of the main domestic mammals (in particular the dog and the ungulates).

1948. Haustier und Mensch. 95 pp., 33 figs. Richard Hermes (Hamburg).

A discussion of the evolutionary mechanism operating under domestication. After a short survey of the oldest historical civilizations and their domestic animals, the pamphlet investigates the conditions of the domestic environment in relation to the morphological modifications recognized among domesticated animals. The parallel occurrence of these modifications is regarded as the cardinal point in domestication and is explained by similar alterations of the hormone system, which becomes affected by the human-controlled environment.

Koby, F.


The cattle depicted in the cave-paintings at Lascaux have been identified by prehistorians as Bos primigenius (the large forms with curved horns) and B. longifrons (smaller, thinner, and with horns shorter and more horizontal). This latter, however, is nothing more than the female of B. primigenius. There was no separate small species of Bos in the European Pleistocene. If the domestic cattle of the Neolithic and later are actually derived from small wild cattle these must have lived elsewhere, probably in western Asia, but there is no evidence for this supposition. (Zeuner [1953, Man, vol. 53, pp. 68–69] had already mentioned, in a discussion of the color of Bos primigenius, that the so-called Bos longifrons of Lascaux was actually the female of B. primigenius; Koby was presumably unaware of Zeuner’s paper.)—C.A.R.

Koch, W.


On the basis of differences in the shape of the horns, the markhor, Capra falconeri Wagner, is excluded as an ancestral type for any domestic form of goat. The heteronymous twisting in the horns of the markhor is distinct from the homonymous type of the screw-horned domestic breeds, and the cross section of the horn (keel at hind edge) is contrary to the domestic Capra hircus type (keel at front edge).

Kolesnik, N. N.


A paleozoological survey of the evolution of the Bovinae in general and the genus Bos in diluvial and alluvial times in particular is followed by a study of
recent breeds of cattle, their geographical distribution, and their history. The author accepts the view that *Bos primigenius* and *B. brachyceros* are both immediate ancestors of domestic cattle, and he adds two more species: *B. indicus*, which is considered forefather of the Asiatic humped cattle, and *B. turano-mongolicus*, which gave rise to the different breeds of central Asia (Kalmuck, Mongol, Yakut and Kirghiz cattle).

Cattle-breeding probably originated in several regions, but southwestern Asia (i.e., Assyro-Babylonia) and northwestern India are regarded as the most ancient centers of domestication.

Koppers, Wilhelm


In a brief discussion of the beginnings and motives of animal domestication the author holds that hunting tribes were the first breeders, that the first domestic animals were reindeer and horse, and that the cradle of domestication was the subarctic region of inner Asia; the motives were mainly practical and economic.

Koppers, Wilhelm, and Jungblut, L.


Anthropological observations on Indian zebras and buffalos. In conclusion J. U. Dürst sketches the zoological characters of both the forms and then discusses their origin (pp. 661–666). The tame Indian water-buffalo (*Bubalus indicus macroceros*) is seen as the direct descendant of the wild arni buffalo (*Bubalus arnii*), which became domesticated in India and was brought to Persia, where it appeared in the second century B.C. Much earlier (in the third millennium B.C.), domestic cattle of the *Bos taurus* type—direct descendants of the wild *Bos primigenius*—were introduced into India by the nomadic Chorwa from the Anau region via the Zufilcar Pass, and gave rise to the zebu stock, the marked hump being a result of selection on religious bases.

Krämer, Hermann


A history of domestic animals in Switzerland, outlined on the basis of the faunal remains from Vindonissa, which are described. The author compares the species of the Swiss lake-dwellings with the later forms introduced by the Romans, found in Vindonissa—large types of dogs, a new kind of sheep and big-horned goats. The discussion is based to a great extent also on representational art from early Roman times.

Krieg, H.


Information on the pre-Columbian domestic stock of South America. Llama, alpaca and guinea pig are dealt with briefly; the domestic dog is treated at length. A consideration of the dogs of the Indians from Gran Chaco, which live in a semi-
domesticated stage, leads to the conclusion that the desired properties of advanced breeding in the dog are deficiencies ("defect mutants") in terms of natural selection.

Kroll, Hubert


A detailed treatment of domestication, its role and significance, and the origin of domestic stock not only among the Bantu, but in southern and eastern Africa in general. Associated with the domestic forms all over the area, and therefore seen as the most ancient domestic animal, is a type of dog of African origin; only later a greyhound type was introduced, probably by the Hamites.

Besides the dog, cattle and goat are considered the most ancient breeds, the former being the animal of the stock-farmer, the latter associated with cultivation of plants. The first herdsmen invading the Bantu area did not possess sheep, which were introduced later. Much later, the ass, pig, horse and cat were brought. With the exception of the ass, which was descended from an indigenous form, all the breeds of southern and eastern Africa (horse, cat, pig) are regarded as of European origin.

Kronacher, C.


The second section (pp. 59–478) is devoted to the origin of domestication and to the descent and the prehistoric and historic evolution of domestic animals. Chap. 2 and 3 consider general aspects of domestication in terms of its origins, and the morphological, physiological and psychological changes caused in the process of domestication. In chap. 4 (pp. 183–478) extensive material on the origin of the important domestic species and their early evolution is brought together, and recent views are summarized. Horse, ass, mule, cattle, sheep, goat, pig and rabbit are treated at length.

Krüger, W.


A popular account of the domestic horse and the origin of its races.

Krumbiegel, Ingo


In a popular pamphlet, the origin, significance and earmarks of domestication are discussed and a brief history of the domestic species is given. In a tentative table (p. 34) the ancestry of the important domestic mammals and birds is summarized.

Kuhn, Emil


A survey of the Swiss prehistoric fauna, based on a study of animal remains from ten sites: seven Neolithic, three Celtic-Roman, and one from the La-Tene
period. Domestic animals constituted most of the material, among which cattle were most numerous (often more than 50 per cent) in Neolithic times, followed by pigs (about 30 per cent). Sheep and goats were of minor importance. In Roman times sheep (the heavy-horned Oris aries studeri), pigs and cattle constituted most of the domestic fauna.


Systematic study of new animal remains, excavated from Obermeilen (Lake Zurich) in 1933. This was the first site of lake-dwellings, the faunal remains of which were described by Rütimeyer as early as 1860-61.

The faunal assemblage points to a late Neolithic period. Cattle and pig constitute the bulk of the domestic stock. The former is represented by two forms—a brachyceros and a primigenius type. The latter is identified as the turbarv pig. All the dogs as well as the sheep belonged to the palustris type. The few equid remains were probably those of wild animals.

Kuschel, Paul


A historical survey of how cattle, camel, sheep, goat, dog, cat, horse, ass and pig were reared in ancient Egypt.

Kwaschnin, Samarin N.


A detailed study of twenty skulls of the Lithuanian (Shmudic) horse breed, which is nearly extinct in its pure form. The skull of the Lithuanian horse shows it to be an autonomous, ancient branch of the Oriental group, which shows many affinities to Equus przewalskii and especially to the tarpan type and shows also some similarities to the Arabian horse.


A detailed osteological treatment of recent Lithuanian horses and of fossil remains of horses from Baltic sites forms the basis for an investigation of the origin of the East European domestic horse, especially its relation to the tarpan and the Przewalski horse.

All types of recent and prehistoric horses can be traced back to two basic types—Oriental and Occidental. The Lithuanian-Polish-Esthonian horse group is seen as one unit belonging to the Oriental type, with close affinities to the Przewalski horse. The South Russian tarpan is considered a feral horse.

La Baume, Wolfgang

A review of the literature on the first occurrence of domestic animals. Only the dog is surely known from Mesolithic time. It belonged to a culture of hunters and gatherers. The beginnings of all the other domestic animals (cattle, pig, sheep, goat and horse) are found in earliest Neolithic (proto-Neolithic) times, their origin probably associated with the first cultivation of plants.

**Langton, N. and B.**


Essentially a catalogue of the Langton Collection (cat figures from ancient Egypt), but additional comments and suggestions give a framework of the earliest culture of the cat. *Felis chaus* and *F. ochreata* are suggested as probable originals for two distinct types—one long-eared and sharp-nosed, the other short-eared and blunt-nosed—which can be distinguished among the images of domestic cats from ancient Egypt.

**Latcham, Ricardo**


An account of the domestic stock of ancient South and Central America based upon archaeological excavations. Treated in detail among the mammalian fauna are dogs (chap. 1); species of the genus *Auchenida*—llama, alpaca, vicugna and guanaco (chap. 2); and the guinea pig (chap. 4). All those animals, kept as domestic breeds prior to the Spanish invasion, are without exception derived from indigenous wild forms. The dog had the widest distribution and therefore is regarded as the first animal that was domesticated. It is represented among the various cultures by many varieties.

**Laufer, Berthold**


On the basis of ethnographical data (Russian and early Chinese sources) an attempt is made to determine where and when reindeer breeding originated. It is concluded that the first domestication of reindeer took place in the Baikal region and was practiced originally by Samoyeds in the early period of their history (prior to their migration into the present northern habitats). It was later transmitted to the Lapps.

**Lawrence, Barbara**


A description and craniological study of dog skulls and mandibles found among the mammal bones discovered at Governador, New Mexico. Most of the bones belonged to young individuals, suggesting that the animals were used as food. Three breeds of dogs are distinguished: Basket Maker, Techichi, and a short-nosed form.

This paper, with its lists of comparative characters and numerous sketches of the bones, is an aid to the archaeologist or mammalologist identifying fauna of the larger Artiodactyla from North American excavations. Only generic differentiation is attempted of Ovis, Capra, Antilocapra, Odocoileus, Bison, and Bos, except for a few characters where the mountain sheep (Ovis canadensis) can be distinguished. No characters of the post-cranial skeleton were found that would separate domestic sheep and goat, so they are grouped as a unit. Little or no reliance can be placed upon characters of ribs, vertebrae or many of the smaller bones of the carpus and tarsus, so such bones are not considered. Bos and Bison are very similar in their skeletal parts, although not to the degree of Capra and Ovis.—C.A.R.

Lebel, L. D.


Short account of the Don-Danube goat, which is kept all over the Don Valley down to the Sea of Azov, and which is markedly distinct, not only from the breeds of the surrounding areas but also from all the other forms described in the literature. The goat shows characters, especially in the skull and horns, very close to the extinct Capra prisca Adametz.

Leister, Claude W.


Popular guide to domestic cattle, their wild relatives, and their probable ancestors. Urus, zebu, and Celtic shorthorn (Bos longifrons) are seen as ancestors of present-day (taurine) domestic cattle. Brief discussion is also devoted to the yak, to the Bibovine group (gaur, gayal, banteng) and to the domestication of the water-buffalo.

Lengerken, H. von


A monograph on the evolution of the urus and its bearing on man’s civilization. The author deals extensively with the role of the urus as the ancestor of domestic oxen and concludes that all existing cattle except yak, buffalo, and Bali cattle originated from Bos primigenius.


A comprehensive survey of the knowledge about Bos primigenius, its speciation and domestication among various cultures. Compiled from zoological and paleontological as well as archaeological and ethnological sources. Hundreds of pictorial representations of the urus and domestic oxen throughout all ages and cultures are added.
Lhote, Henri

A detailed description of the horse and camel representations in the rock paintings and rock engravings in the Sahara Desert forms the background for a discussion of the place of these animals in North African civilizations during early historical times.

Liang, Ssu-Yung

Human occupation at this site in Shantung Province continued approximately from 2000 to 200 B.C. Nine genera of mammals were identified: rabbit, pig, dog, horse, sheep, ox, and three kinds of deer; only the dog, Canis familiaris, could definitely be regarded as domestic. The sheep and ox are assigned to extinct species, and horse and pig were not specifically identified. Pig and dog bones were most numerous, horse and ox bones next, deer and sheep bones next; rabbit was rare.—C.A.R.

Linton, Ralph

This is a non-technical summary of what an anthropologist regards as essential knowledge concerning domestication. As such, there are correlations with human culture not always known to zoologists, as the possibility that chickens may have been kept originally to guard against ghosts.

Except for the dog and the reindeer, domestic animals were tamed by agricultural people. Man's association with reindeer at first was that of herder only; all other domesticated animals are thought to have been kept first as young animals, probably as pets for children.

There were two major centers of animal domestication in the Old World: The dry, open country of Egypt and southwestern Asia and the jungle environment of southeastern Asia. In the latter area occurred the domestication of the chicken and probably an independent domestication of the pig. The Bactrian camel was domesticated in Mongolia, and the horse on the steppes somewhere west of Mongolia; possible sites for the origin of the domestic dog and water buffalo are not mentioned.—C.A.R.

Lloyd, Seton

The horn-cores of a water buffalo (Bubalus) are reported from the site of Grai Resh in northern Iraq, occurring with artifacts of the Uruk culture period. —C.A.R.
Lloyd, Seton, and Safar, Fuad  

Account of an excavation by the Iraq government in 1943 and 1944 at the early site of Tell Hassuna in northern Iraq. In appendix I (p. 284) there is a preliminary report on the animal bones. Most numerous among the remains were those of goat and sheep; fragments of probably domestic animals belonged to ox and ass.

Lorenz, Konrad Z.  
1955. Man meets dog. x + 211 pp., illus. Houghton Mifflin Company (Boston).

The domestic dog, as determined primarily on the basis of comparative behavior, is considered to have been derived from the golden jackal, *Canis aureus*, after a long period of symbiotic relationship. As man finally moved into the far north, he cross-bred these jackal-ancestrored dogs with wolves, *C. lupus*, thus establishing those breeds (Eskimo husky, chow chow, samoyed, and Russian Lajkas) which are mainly wolf-derived.

The domestic cat is descended with little change of morphology or behavior from *Felis ocreata* of Africa and Syria. This species is today easily tamed, even when caught adult, whereas the European wildcat, *F. sylvestris*, can never be tamed, even when hand-reared from a kitten.—C.A.R.

Lortet, L., and Gaillard, C.  

A detailed study of the mummies excavated from animal graves in Egypt—mainly from around Roda, Thebes, Sakkara, Kom Ombo, and Gizeh—dating to the first millennium B.C.

Identified among the domestic animals are dogs, cats, oxen, sheep, and goats. Dogs, which were found together with jackals, are mostly of the pariah type; a few were a kind of greyhound. Among the numerous cat mummies two forms are distinguished: A large type, identified with the wild, indigenous *Felis maniculata*, and a smaller type, considered the domestic derivative of the former. Cattle are identified as *Bos africanus* Fitzinger and are seen as the race that supplied the "steer of Apis"; sheep mummies are regarded as of two species: *Ovis palaeo-egypticus* and a mouflon type.

Luho, V.  

Finds of various types of sledges from prehistoric Finland (pre-Comb-Ceramic to post-Comb-Ceramic periods) are described in detail, and conclusions are drawn as to the introduction and taming of the draft-animals (dog and reindeer) associated with sledge driving. The earliest sledges from the pre-Comb-Ceramic sites (Heinola, Rantasalami) were probably drawn by man only; from the Comb-Ceramic culture on, a new type of sledge occurs (Saarigärvi-Tarvala), together
with an increased frequency in the remains of dogs, three breeds of which are already known from this time.

The use of the reindeer as a draft-animal began later, possibly by the end of the late Stone Age.

**Lundholm, Bengt**


An osteological comparison between fossil and recent wild horses and early domestic horses is the basis for a study of the origin of the domestic horse. Beside measurements collected from the literature, the author uses extensive new material from sub-fossil peat-bog finds—mainly from Sweden—from the Ancylus and Litorina time (7000–2000 B.C.) and from the Nordic Bronze Age (chiefly from the sacrificial site at Lake Bokarn, Uppland).

The division of the wild horse population into two groups—an eastern group, comprising the tarpan and the Przewalski horse, and a western one, represented by late- and post-glacial wild horses from central and northern Europe—is explained by the biogeographical conditions during the Ice Age. The domesticated Nordic Bronze Age horse shows a close connection to the Nordic wild horse and no resemblance to the tarpan, which fact seems to refute the monophyletic origin of the domestic horse from the latter.

In the last chapter—"domestication and its significance"—the specific effects of domestication in general are traced, and their possible causes are discussed. A comprehensive bibliography is appended.

**Lydekker, R.**


In chap. 2 (pp. 71–116) various views as to the relation of the domestic horse to the wild tarpan are examined and discussed. The author finds signs of near relationship between the Mongolian tarpan (*Equus caballus przewalskii*) and the existent breeds of western Europe and their prehistoric ancestors, all of them derived from one species (*Equus caballus typicus*), which gave rise to the Mongolian ponies as well.

The differences between the eastern and western stock are only the results of different climatic conditions, modes of treatment and selection; "Oriental" and "Occidental" horses are derived from the same ancestral form. The Arab-Barb group, however, is regarded as markedly distinct from the original tarpan-like horses of western Europe and Mongolia. The origin of the Arab stock is traced back to *Equus stenonis* in the Pliocene (chap. 5, pp. 150–170). The author finds in this Arabian breed the original type from which both "Barb" (the Libyan stock) and "Turk" (the Turkoman horses of Turkestan) were early derivatives.

When dealing with the domestic ass (chap. 9, pp. 215–225), the author accepts the view that the wild animal, whose original home may have been in northwest Africa, was probably first tamed in the eastern Mediterranean countries.

1912b. The ox and its kindred. xi + 271 pp., 23 pls. with 46 figs., 7 text figs. Methuen & Co., Ltd. (London).
The volume contains detailed information on the zoological position and structure of the ox, the distribution and history of wild bovines, and an account of the origin of domestic cattle and of the chief breeds by which they are represented. Special attention is devoted to the history of the extermination of the aurochs of Europe and western Asia (Bos taurus primigenius), taken as the principal ancestor of domestic cattle.

Humped cattle—a distinct species, Bos indicus—are regarded as a domesticated derivative from the wild banteng of southeastern Asia (cf. also Keller, 1902). Its connection with the brachyceros stock, however, is contested.


Popular information about the races of wild and the breeds of domestic sheep and the origin and history of the latter. The Himalayan urial (Ovis vignei) is considered progenitor of the ancient Oriental domestic breeds and also of O. aries palustris from the Swiss lake-dwellings, although a taming of the indigenous wild mouflon (O. a. musimon) by the prehistoric inhabitants of Europe may have occurred. The specialized African breeds—the longipes type of ancient Egypt as well as the long-legged (O. a. longipes) and long-eared (O. a. catotis) breeds of today—are considered to be of Asiatic origin.

Mackay, E. J. H.


A brief summary of the domestic animals represented on the seals of Mohenjo-daro from the excavations of 1927–31 (cf. Sewell, 1931) is given, and the identifications of H. Friederichs (cf. Friederichs, 1933) are discussed (vol. I, appendix 1, pp. 669–671). The animal that appears most frequently is a form of cattle, showing the characters of both the primigenius and the namadicus type, which fact may indicate a crossing of the two in the Indus valley. Among the figurines and model animals (pp. 286–292), domestic dog (of a mastiff type), cattle, horse(?), sheep, goat and pig are identified.

Madsen, A., et al.


A study of the kitchen midden remains from Danish Stone Age sites (Ertebølle period), collected by the National Museum of Copenhagen. Skeletal remains of domestic mammals, identified by H. Winge, belonged to two types of dogs (Canis familiaris palustris and C. f. matriis-optima), pigs and sheep (both of the turbary type), and cattle (generally resembling Bos taurus brachyceros but with some features of the primigenius type).

Mallowan, M. E. L.

The area considered is part of northeastern Syria. The horse, sometimes with its trappings, is frequently represented by clay figurines, which, together with chariot wheels, are common in levels dated ca. 1900 B.C. (see Smith, 1928) and suggest the use of both draught and chariot horses.—C.A.R.


Report on excavations from several sites in northeastern Syria in the valley of the River Balih (a tributary of the Euphrates), dated to the Halafian period. Proof of mixed farming was obtained as far back as the Chalcolithic; cows, sheep and goats were kept, and the domestic dog was also at the disposal of the herders.

Some remains of domestic animals, found in or near Tell Mefesh, are identified by D. M. A. Bate (p. 128). They belong to a large ox, a small equid and a large goat with twisted horns—considered to be an example of an early stage in the development of the domestic Mamber goat.


These sites are in northeastern Syria. From Brak, dated as not later than 3200 B.C., were identified a small Equus, pig, and ox; the latter two were probably domestic. Also from Brak, at about 2300 B.C., were identified a small Equus, and domestic dog, pig, goat, cattle, and probably sheep. The Equus could be either the domestic ass or the Syrian onager.

Remains of a goat with twisted horns are thought to be those of the typical Mamber goat. A sheep is represented by a portion of a large twisted horn core, which resembles that of some domesticated races and differs from that of the wild species. Similar horn cores associated with the Mamber goat were also found at Megiddo, in levels dated as of Chalcolithic to Early Bronze age.—C.A.R.

Mangelsdorf, P. C.


The same factors that operate in “natural evolution” (mutation, genetic drift, hybridization, selection, etc.) were effective in the speciation of domestic animals and plants, though evolution directed by man led to much quicker changes than natural evolution. Data are taken almost exclusively from cultivated plants.

Mason, I. L.


A dictionary of the names which have been applied to groups of horses, cattle, sheep, pigs, goats, buffaloes and asses on the basis of common origin, similarity or geographical proximity. Synonymous names are indicated and for each breed a brief discussion of origin, present distribution, breed characters and relationship to other breeds is given.

Mathiassen, Therkel

Among artifacts from a settlement (dating from the passage-grave period) near Trelleborg on Zealand (Denmark), a few bone artifacts, made from skeleton parts of domestic oxen, are identified (pp. 81-82).

Matthey, Robert


Since the domestic dog has a diploid chromosomal number of 78, whereas the golden jackal, *Thos aureus*, has only 74, it is concluded that dogs cannot have been derived from this species of jackal. The number of chromosomes in the wolf has not yet been determined.— C.A.R.

Meissner, B.


In the first part—a general consideration of the origins of domestication—it is assumed that the necessity for keeping animals as offerings for the gods had been the main motive for the taming of wild forms. This is followed by a brief account of archaeological evidences of dog, pig, and cattle from prehistoric Europe, and of cattle, sheep, goat, horse, ass and camel from the ancient Near East.

Melnyk, Oleska


Fossil and recent material of the genus *Bos* from Ukrainian museums is investigated. The East European domestic cattle are of *primigenius* type (although with great variability) and are supposed to have descended from *Bos urus primigenius* in the early Stone Age, their domestication being already very advanced by late Neolithic. Cross-breeding with the Asiatic urus (*B. namadicus*) or with the banteng may occasionally have occurred.


The author summarizes briefly the material (mainly figurines) of Neolithic domestic animals from southeastern Europe (especially Ukraine) and concludes that by the fourth millennium B.C. cattle (of *primigenius* type), sheep, goats(?) and horses(?) were domesticated in this area.

Menghini, Oswald


The author claims three independent civilizations, which gave rise to animal breeding at the threshold of the Neolithic.

Widespread across southern Asia and southern Europe was a swine-breeding culture, associated with hoe-agriculture and lacking draft animals, the only domestic species besides the pig being the dog. Another civilization complex, represented by the Anau culture, rose in western Turkestan, its domestic stock comprising cattle and sheep, the former derived from *Bos namadicus*, the latter
from *Ovis vignei arkar*. Later the goat was added. A third civilization is indicated by the domestication of riding animals—horse, ass and camel—its origin being sought in central Asia.


In a preliminary report on the excavations at the Neolithic settlement of Merimde-Benisalame (western Delta region of Egypt) a short account of the faunal finds is given (pp. 88, 89) and their significance in tracing early stock-farming in Egypt is emphasized. The faunal remains show close resemblance to those from Ma‘adi (cf. Menghin and Amar, 1932), especially in the abundance of bones of the domestic pig.

Pig, cattle, sheep, and goat(?) are also recorded. In spite of the great amount of skeletal material no trace of either equids or camels was detected.

Menghin, Oswald, and Amar, M.


The bone material from the Ma‘adian culture (ca. 3000 B.C.) in Lower Egypt is discussed briefly (p. 52). A considerable number of oxen, sheep, goats and pigs could be distinguished. The importance of the high frequency of pigs in early Neolithic sites from Lower Egypt, as contrasted to the few faint traces of pig-breeding in Upper Egyptian sites from the same era, is emphasized, and the marshy Delta region is considered to be the pig-breeding center of prehistoric Egypt.

Merkens, J.


An extensive series of precipitation tests was made, in order to investigate the serological relationship of cattle in the Dutch East Indies in general and of the Java-Madurese cattle in particular. The results confirmed the view that the Java and the Madurese cattle originated from a cross between banteng and zebu; both are related mutually as well, with the zebu on one side and the banteng (Bali cattle, *Bos sondaicus*) on the other.

Zebu and Friesian-Dutch (*Bos taurus*) cattle show almost the same relationship reciprocally as each of them does with the banteng, the banteng being more closely related to the buffalo (*Bos bubalis*) than the two former. The buffalo shows less affinity to the bovines *sensu stricto* than those do among themselves. It is in an intermediate position between the investigated Bovinae and the sheep.

The high titer of Shorthorn and Jersey against anti-Friesian–Dutch serum on the one hand and anti-zebu on the other seems to confirm the view of a diphyletic origin of European breeds of cattle.
Mikesell, Marvin W.


Wild Camelus dromedarius occurred in northern Africa and probably in Palestine and Arabia in Pleistocene and prehistoric times, but it became extinct in northern Africa during Early Dynastic time without having been domesticated there, leaving only a few early evidences of its presence. The most probable site of domestication was southern Arabia (Yemen and Hadramaut), where agricultural people with domestic animals (goats, cattle, asses) lived in close proximity to the desert. The dromedary first appears clearly in the historical record in Mesopotamia during Assyrian times. The domesticated animal was then introduced into Egypt in numbers by the Persians, although some individuals had probably been taken there occasionally before (cf. Free, 1944, and Forbes, 1955). From Egypt the domestic dromedary spread across the Sahara, very possibly prior to the first century A.D., the time usually assigned to this event.—C.A.R.

Mirov, N. T.


Early records and archaeological evidences of the distribution of the reindeer in past and present times are summarized, and previous investigations on the origin of reindeer-breeding are critically reviewed. A map visualizing the recent distribution of reindeer is added.

Mohapli, Franz


Skull fragments of cattle from several Neolithic sites from Moravia (especially from the lake dwellings near Olmütz) are investigated. Most of the domestic specimens belong to the brachyceros and only a few to the primigenius type. It is suggested that it had been principally the small brachyceros ox that was distributed and kept among the Slavonian settlements during the Neolithic.

Mond, Robert, and Myers, Oliver II.


The skeletal parts examined resemble closely those of the Celtic shorthorn (Bos brachyceros=B. longifrons Owen).

Morrison-Scott, T. C.


About 200 skulls of mummified cats, excavated at Gizeh and dating from 600–200 B.C., form the basis for a study of ancient Egyptian cats and their identity.
A craniological examination and statistical analysis lead to the conclusion that two forms of cat were mummified. The larger form, the domestic status of which is questioned, represents *Felis chaus*. The smaller and by far the commoner mummies appear to represent a domestic form of the wild *F. libyca* Forster, called *F. libyca* bubastis.

**Morse, E. W.**


A treatise on cattle ancestry, introduced by a historical sketch of bovid evolution throughout the Pleistocene, wherein the probable progenitors for domestic oxen are sought. *Bos namadicus* is taken as the ancestor of *B. primigenius*, which gave rise to a number of domestic varieties (*B. trochoeros, B. frontosus, B. longifrons*). *Bos africanus* Fitzinger, proposed to designate the ancient Egyptian cattle (cf. Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09), is regarded as a local race of the *primigenius* type. *B. brachycephalus* is seen as a derivative of a different species.

**Munro, Robert**


A consideration of the paleontological and archaeological evidence known at the dawn of the twentieth century on horses of the Paleolithic and Neolithic periods in Europe. The author suggests that horses were not tamed in Europe in pre-Neolithic times, but that in early Neolithic periods domesticated horses derived from wild Asian species were brought into Europe by Aryan immigrants.

**Nachtsheim, Hans**


The origin of strains in the domestic rabbit is studied on the basis of the genetics of skin and hair characters. The author lists twenty factors influencing color pattern and hair character, which rose by mutations during domestication, and which were fixed by breeding.

The ancestor of the domestic rabbit is proved to be the wild rabbit of southwestern Europe (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) which probably was first tamed by the Romans in Spain.

1936. Vom Wildtier zum Haustier. viii + 100 pp., 50 figs. Alfred Metzner (Berlin).

A survey of domestic animals and their origin. The rabbit is taken as a sample species, and its genetic characters and mechanisms are compared with those found in other domestic animals. Special emphasis is given to the parallel characters which occur independently in various groups of domestic animals, and an attempt is made to work out their genetic and physiological background.

It is explained that mere taming of wild animals never led to the stage of true domestication. The latter was reached only by selection of mutations, deleterious in the wild stage but useful for man, over many generations.

Nehring, Alfons


Investigating the origin of the Indo-European civilization, the author deals (pp. 64–117) with the sources of its domestic stock, mainly from the linguistic point of view. In some forms a local origin from southeastern Europe is conceivable, as in the case of goat and pig, the latter being domesticated usually from the wild, indigenous animal everywhere. In most cases, however—sheep, dog, cattle, horse—the domestic breeds are clearly of Asiatic origin.

Newberry, P. E.


The first sections (1–3) contain a collection of archaeological, philological and ethnological evidences for the occurrence of the domestic pig in Egypt from pre-dynastic times. In section 4, which deals with the origin of the domestic pig, current views on the subject are given, and the point is stressed that pig breeding was associated with an agricultural but not with a pastoral life. Wild, domestic and feral pigs are compared, and the last is taken to be the cult-animal of Set.

Newbold, D.


The area of this study is generally west of the big bend of the Nile at Dongola. The rock-carvings probably range in time from late Paleolithic to relatively recent historical time. In the pre-Christian era, the domestic camel was unknown in these deserts, and transportation was by horse, ox, or donkey. The hunting dogs shown are very spirited, and probably are of Dynastic times. The big-horned Bos africanus of proto-dynastic and Old Kingdom times is the commonest type of cattle represented; it was replaced in the Middle Kingdom by B. brachyceros. Some of the sites have only B. africanus represented, but others have both.—C.A.R.

Nitsche, Max


A study of three sub-fossil pig skulls found in the vicinity of Teplitz (Bohemia). Two skulls from the late Neolithic, identified as those of descendants of Sus scrofa ferus are in a stage of primitive domestication. The third skull—a peat find from Tschentschitz, belonging to the Bronze Age—is considered a feral pig and shows resemblance to a Swedish race of the turbary pig S. s. palustris.

A detailed description of three equid skulls, found in the environs of Teplitz and Aussig (northern Bohemia). One skull belongs to a tarpan-like form (wild), the second is regarded as a dwarfed horse of the prehistoric type found in Spain (the proposed ancestor of the Kladruber breed), and the third is related to a dwarfed form of the “Occidental” race, close to the type Equus caballus fossilis var. germanicus Nehr.

In the first part new craniometrical methods and indices for equids are suggested, which—though using traditional measurements—express the image of the skull characters actually seen. Former data from the literature are revised and compared on the basis of the new methods.

Noack, Th.


An investigation of north African domestic dogs and of jackals and wolves kept in captivity shows that the new environment causes rapid changes in the skull of the wild animals, resembling partly the craniological modifications typical for the domestic dog. Jackals and wolves are therefore regarded as the only ancestors of the domestic dog, with pariah dogs and dingos representing feral types.


In an investigation of the crania of certain domestic breeds of the Altai-Kalmucks (southeast of Biisk, the region around the head-waters of the River Ob) the author stresses the affinities of the Kalmuck dog with Canis familiaris inostranzevi, of the Kalmuck cattle with Bos brachyeros, and the resemblance of the Kalmuck horse to Equus przewalskii.

The identification of the Kalmuck cat with a domestic variety of the East Asian Felis microbis is followed by a discussion of the origin of the European domestic cat, the cradle of which is found in northern Africa.


A mummified head found in a pre-Spanish cemetery at Ancon (Peru) is described, and the skull identified as Canis ingae (Tschudi).

The author excludes the possibility that this type descended from an indigenous South American canid and suggests a relationship to the European peat-dog group.


Skulls and skull-fragments of dogs from Neolithic finds near Hildesheim (Germany) are compared to recent and fossil canids and studied in terms of their phylo-
genetic relationship. In the remains of three large dogs, a resemblance to the Indian wolf *Canis pallipes* is found; this type is named *C. pallipes domesticus* and is seen as a connecting link in the evolution of the large domestic dogs. An achievement of the Indo-European Aryans, it spread from east to west (contrary to the *C. palustris* group, which dispersed eastward) and became the ancestor of the shepherd-dog type.

Oppenheim, Leo, and Hartmann, L.


A translation and explanation of the famous Sumerian-Akkadian tablet which classifies sheep, goat, ox, and donkey, designating these animals with an abundance of names and attributes.

Otto, F.


A detailed study of the turbary pig (*Sus scrofa palustris*) from the Swiss lake-dwellings. In its first part the paper traces the evolution of the skull, noticeable in the different periods, from the earliest sub-fossils via the Celtic-Helvetian to the Bronze and Iron Age. In the second part, an examination of the crania of recent domestic pigs and wild boars, the turbary pig is represented as a probable derivative of the Asiatic boar *Sus vittatus*.

Page, J. W.


A popular treatment, principally an attempt to trace the origins and development of pastoralism and agriculture, the ancestry of domestic cattle, sheep, goat and pig, and the origin and early evolution of the domestic ass, horse, camel and reindeer.

Patterson, Bryan


Description of animal remains collected at Alishar Hüyük (Anatolia) during the excavations in 1927–32, ranging from Chalcolithic to Phrygian-Hellenistic layers. Most abundant among the bones of domestic animals were remains of sheep, which appeared to be represented by the "copper sheep" type in the Hittite levels, by a cross of the latter with the turbary sheep in the earlier strata.

Some remains of a domestic goat resembled *Capra prisca*, while horn-cores of cattle pointed to the *brachygeiros* type. Pig remains—present at all levels—indicated that the Alishar swine belonged to the *Sus scrofa* group. Fragments of domestic dogs are referred to as *Canis familiaris palustris ladogensis* and *C. f. inostranzewi* respectively. Equid remains, of indeterminable type, were scarce.
Peet, T. Eric  

According to the identifications of Kathleen Haddon (pp. 6–7), the Amratian levels from Abydos (30 km. north of Luxor, Egypt) yielded bones of large oxen, sheep (tentatively identified as Ovis palaeoegypticus, but these could have been goats), part of a goat (Hircus mammrienus), and a part of the mandible of a dog similar to that of a pariah dog. No statement as to possible wild or domestic status of the animals is made.—C.A.R.

Pequart, Marthe, et al.  

In the faunal assemblage from the Mesolithic dwelling place at Téviec (on an island off the Bay of Quiberon, Morbihan, France) examined by M. Boule were remains of a domestic dog (pp. 101–102). The dog resembled Canis familiaris palaestris from the Swiss lake-dwellings and the Danish kitchen middens.

Petters, V.  

The origins of South African Kaffir dogs are described and studied. Recent specimens show a strong influence of European breeds, but the original type points to a close relationship with the North African greyhounds.

Philipetschenko, J. A.  

Summary of some results of an expedition to eastern Kasakstan on the Russian-Chinese border (1926–27). The fat-tailed sheep of Kasakstan is seen as a descendant of the wild argali, first tamed in this area, where once a member of the argali group — Ovis ammon koslovi (now restricted to the Gobi desert)—had been indigenous. The Kirghiz goat is regarded as belonging to a markhor (Capra falconeri) type.

1933. [Contributions to the origin of the domestic pig.] (Russ., Eng. summ.) Transcript of the conference on the origin of domestic animals, held at the Laboratory of Genetics, Acad. Sci. USSR, Leningrad, 1932, pp. 157–185, 11 figs.

Cranio-logical studies led to the conclusion that three races of wild boars are ancestral to the domestic pig: (1) the European and Western Asiatic wild boar Sus scrofa, with three subspecies (S. s. scrofa, S. s. attila Thomas and S. s. nigripes Blanford); (2) the Eastern Asiatic wild boar S. orientalis, also with three subspecies (S. o. continentalis, S. o. raddeanus and S. o. moupiensis); (3) the South-eastern Asiatic S. vitatus.
Pia, Julius


Investigation of eleven skulls of domestic cattle from various Egyptian excavations, among them two from predynastic sites. On the basis of craniometrical comparison the ancient Egyptian cattle are taken to be of *primigenius* type, most resembling the Hungarian Grey Steppe breed. The animal sculptures confirm the view that this longhorned breed was dominant in prehistoric and early dynastic Egypt.


A study of about thirty skulls or skull fragments of goats from ancient Egypt, all of which are designated as typical *prisca*. Animal remains and representative art indicate that this species (*Capra prisca*), characterized by homonymous twisted horns, was the only one kept in Egypt from the first dynasty until the Late Kingdom. In prehistoric times, another, dwarfish breed of goat occurred in Egypt.


Description of more than fifty skulls from ancient Egyptian sheep, all belonging to a spiral-horned, fleecy race which appeared about the twelfth dynasty and completely displaced the older, hairy, "goat-horned" race (cf. Dürst and Gaillard, 1902). The horns described are similar to those of the "Ram of Ammon," represented in Egyptian art from the twelfth dynasty on.

Piggot, Stuart


A short summary of the domestic stock of prehistoric India, as reflected by the finds from Harappa (cf. Prashad, 1936) and Rana Ghundal in Baluchistan (pp. 155–158). The cradle of the domestic horse is discussed briefly (pp. 266–267).

Pilgrim, Guy E.


Essentially an outline of the evolution of the Bovidae as shown by the fossil record, but also an account of living representatives of wild oxen, sheep and goats and their probable relation to recent domestic forms. *Ovis orientalis* is seen as ancestor of the tibary sheep; some domestic sheep are derived from *O. ammon*, while *O. rignei* is taken to be the probable progenitor of the prehistoric domestic breeds from Turkestan.

Domestic goats are derived partly from the markhor and partly from the bezoar goat (*Capra aegagrus*) or from a cross of the latter with the descendants of the Pleistocene *C. prisca*.

Pira, Adolf

An extensive study of prehistoric pig remains from peat-moors and Neolithic sites in Ringsjön (Schönen), Gottland, Aloppe (Uppland) and Anneröd (Bohnslänn). Osteological differences between wild and domestic forms are worked out (pp. 344-371).

The first domestic pigs appeared in the late Stone Age, where, besides the wild boar—Sus scrofa antiquus (already hunted in previous periods)—a form occurred intermediate between the latter and the turbary pig, which in its pure type (S. s. palustris) appears only much later. The long chain of transition stages from the wild boar to the domestic turbary pig may indicate that the tamed races have been developed in Sweden as a result of a local domestication from an indigenous wild stock.


A study of the skeletal fragments from a typical Stone Age kitchen midden, excavated in the cavern of Stora Förvar on the island of Stora Karlsö off the southwest coast of Gotland.

Remains of domestic animals are absent or rare in the lowest layers (mainly seal bones), but they become by far the majority in the upper strata, comprising bones of ox, dog, goat, sheep, pig and horse. The dog is of the peat-dog (palustris) type. The goat—a rare animal on Stora Karlsö—is taken to be derived from Capra aegagrus, while the Förvar sheep (much more frequent than goat) is considered a derivative of the mouflon (Ovis musimon), the rams being horned, the ewes hornless. Cattle are of the brachyceros type, which is regarded by the author as a domestic variation of the wild Bos primigenius ferox.

Pittard, Eugene, and Reverdin, L.


A reconstruction of the domestic fauna in the Swiss Neolithic, based on a statistical review of animal remains from sites near the lake of Neuchâtel.

Five domestic species—cattle, dog, pig, sheep and goat—were present from the lowest levels, the frequency of the first three decreasing in the later strata. Horses were absent. While the majority of pig bones were those of young animals, the remains of the other forms proved to be mainly those of adults.

Pohl, A.


Camel representations in Mesopotamia from the third millennium B.C. are listed and seen as evidence that tamed camels were kept during the corresponding periods.

Prashad, B.

A systematic description of the animal remains from Harappa in the Indus valley, collected during the seasons from 1924-25 to 1930-31. The material—dated back to the third millennium B.C.—contained skulls and other skeletal parts of dog, cattle, sheep and goat, besides fragments from the one-humped camel, the Indian pig (Sus cristatus, all parts of young animals), the domestic ass, the domesticated buffalo and an apparently domestic cat.

The dog—named Canis taurus harappensis—showed marked skull-affinities to the Indian wolf (Canis pallipes) and is considered the ancestor of the Indian greyhound. Cattle found were of the humped zebu (Bos indicus) and the humpless type, both regarded as descendants of B. primigenius. Sheep were identified with Oris rignai (domesticus). The goats of Harappa were regarded as derived from Capra aegagrus and their probable cradle of domestication is sought within the Indus valley.

Pycraft, F. Z. S.

Popular discussion of some general aspects involved in the origin of domestic animals. Examples are taken mainly from domestic birds.

Ralph, Elizabeth K.

The C¹⁴ determinations for certain key levels in Belt cave, northern Iran (see Coon, 1951, 1952) are: (1) Earliest pre-pottery Neolithic, with sheep and goats supposedly domesticated (7790±330 years ago); (2) Mesolithic, which contained bones of a large breed of domestic dog (11,480±550 years ago).—C.A.R.

Randall-MacIver, D., and Mace, A. C.

Amratian graves from the prehistoric site of El Amrah (north of Luxor, Egypt) yielded several clay figurines of cattle (pp. 16-17, 41; pl. ix), some of which were mounted four abreast on a single base and one of which showed a remarkably large udder. These figurines lack the kind of evidence (halters, ropes, stalls, mangers, fencing, etc.) that would indicate domestication, but it would appear that the people who made these rough grave-goods knew the models as household animals. Figurines of pigs were rare; remains of goats are mentioned.—C.A.R.

Randhawa, M. S.
1946. Role of domesticated animals in Indian history. Sci. & Cult., Calcutta, vol. XII, no. 1, pp. 5-14, 4 figs.

A popular account of the domestic stock of ancient India. The first domesticated breeds, kept by the Negritos and by the Proto-Australoid population, comprised dog, elephant and buffalo; with the invasion of Aryan-speaking tribes (around 1600 B.C.) the elephant-buffalo culture was replaced by a horse-cow culture, though the buffalo was retained in certain parts throughout later times.

Independent domestication centers are suggested for the Bactrian camel (central Asia) and the dromedary (northern Africa). Sheep and goat were probably first domesticated in the mountains of Turkestan.
Rathjens, Carl


In his report on three archaeological surveys in southern Arabia, the author deals with the first occurrence of cattle, sheep, ass, horse, camel, and dog on the Arabian peninsula. The domestication of the ass is seen as one of the most ancient achievements. It was introduced from eastern Africa, where its domestication originated. Also introduced very early were ox and sheep, the former by sea and the latter by the land route from the north. The domestic horse is regarded as a much later introduction.

The domestication of the one-humped camel started prior to the third millennium B.C. in northeastern Africa, where it later disappeared. It was probably introduced from Mesopotamia into Arabia before the beginning of the second millennium B.C.

Reinhardt, Ludwig


A comprehensive compilation of archaeological and ethnological data on all animals ever domesticated or tamed in the Old and the New World. Mammals, birds, and fishes as well as “domestic” invertebrates are treated, and their evolution from the dawn of civilization throughout history is outlined. The text is illustrated by many reproductions of archaeological and zoological nature.

Reitsma, G. G.


All sheep bones encountered in the “terpen” (mounds of refuge in the pre-historic swamps of Holland) originate from one identical breed, the “Terp” sheep, which is still extant as the primitive “Drentsch Heide” sheep. It is not plausible to regard the “Terp” sheep as the ancestor of the “Frisian Milk” sheep.

The encountered skulls and skull fragments of Ovis aries palustris have all belonged to females. O. a. studeri never existed; the skeletal remnants ascribed to that breed are from male specimens of O. a. palustris. The so-called Bronze sheep never existed as an autonomous breed, but is merely a non-horned variety of the female O. a. palustris. The “Drentsch Heide” sheep is, through the “Terp” sheep, the lineal descendant of O. a. palustris.—D.H.


In the “terpen,” as well as near Swiss lake-dwellings, remains have been found of wild boars and domesticated pigs. The wild form is Sus scrofa, the European wild pig. The domestic pig is derived directly from S. scrofa; the names “S. verrucosus,” “S. vittatus,” and “S. mediterraneus” are not to be considered, since these are also derived from S. scrofa.

The remains of domesticated pigs found in Swiss lake-dwellings show no essential differences; such variability as is present is due to individual variation,
differences in the degree of domestication, and differences in absolute size. Thus Sus scrofa palustris Rüt. may be designated S. s. domesticus palustris. The small deviations that have been noted between this latter and the Dutch mound-hog, sometimes called S. s. domesticus tumularum, are exclusively the results of differences in the degree of domestication and in differences of environment. Both represent one domesticated form of Sus scrofa.—D.H.

Reverdin, Louis


A short summary of the animal remains from two layers (III and IV) of the Neolithic site at Saint-Aubin (Switzerland). Domestic animals constituted about 70 per cent of the total. Cattle (Bos brachyceros) occupied first place in both levels, followed by the dog in level IV, by the pig (Sus palustris) in level III. Fewer remains belonged to the domestic sheep (4.9 per cent) and to the goat (Capra hircus) (4.4-6.6 per cent).


Mandibles of ca. 54 dogs—a part of the faunal remains from the deepest stratum at Saint-Aubin (cf. Reverdin, 1921)—are studied. The animals were apparently slaughtered and eaten. Most of the mandibles are in the variation range of the peat-dog Canis familiaris palustris; some, however, deviate significantly in their jaw indices, which fact leads to the assumption that more than one form of dogs were represented among the Neolithic fauna of Switzerland.


A brief summary of the frequency of domestic species out of the total faunal remains from Saint-Aubin (cf. Reverdin, 1921) and Cortaillod, in which the relative decrease of domestic animals during the transition from the early to the middle (—late) Neolithic is shown. In Saint-Aubin domestic animals (ox, pig, sheep, goat, dog) constituted 67.8 per cent of the total fauna in layer IV, and only 55.6 per cent in layer III. At Cortaillod the corresponding percentages were 56.8 in layer IV and 54.5 per cent in layer III. The decrease affected especially sheep and goat (from 20.2 per cent to 11.2 per cent in Saint-Aubin) and dogs (in both sites from 11.6 to 3.7 per cent).


A study of the animal remains from the Neolithic kitchen middens at Er Yoh (off the coast of Morbihan, France), the results of which are compared briefly with the fauna of the Neolithic sites from Lake Neuchâtel. Most frequent remains among the domestic stock of Er Yoh were those of the turbary sheep (about 62 per cent) and small cattle of brachyceros type (28.5 per cent); a few remains were of a larger type of cattle and of the turbary pig (Sus scrofa palustris). The few equid remains are considered to belong to a wild horse.
Revilliod, P.


The finds of La Tène—a settlement from the Iron Age near Geneva—are described (cf. Schwarz, F., 1918). Among 400 bones and bone fragments there were 148 of cattle, 149 of pig, 69 of sheep, 7 of goat and 26 of dog. All the cattle remains belonged to *Bos taurus brachyceros*. Pigs were represented by *Sus palustris* and sheep showed affinities to the larger specimens of the Neolithic *Ovis palustris*. The single skull of a dog seemed to be related to *Canis inosstravzewi*, though in size it resembled *C. intermedius*.

Revilliod, P., and Dottrens, E.


A critical examination of the cattle remains from Saint-Aubin (cf. Reverdin, 1921, 1928; Dottrens, 1946) and a discussion of the remains of large cattle from the lake-dwellings, identified as a domestic race of the aurochs *Bos taurus primigenius*. A biometrical study revealed that sexes of the small, Neolithic *brachyceros* race were much less distinct than they are in domesticated cattle today, while dimorphism in sexual size is marked in the wild urus.

The great majority of the remains from Saint-Aubin (stratum IV) belonged to the domestic *Bos taurus brachyceros* and the few *primigenius* bones are those of wild cattle, either males or females; no reason is seen to admit the existence of a domesticated race of the urus in the early Neolithic of this site.

Reynolds, Sidney II.


Although the bulk of this monograph is devoted to a study of the distribution and osteology of *Bos primigenius*, consideration is also given to *B. longifrons*, the Celtic Shorthorn. This latter is clearly the domestic ox of the British Neolithic. It is unknown from the British Pleistocene, and was introduced as a domestic animal to Ireland (which never had native cattle). The ancestry and place of domestication of *B. longifrons* are unknown; some think it was merely a domesticated and smaller variant of *B. primigenius*, but others think it a distinct wild species that became domesticated, whereas *B. primigenius* was never domesticated.

In a historical review it is shown that “urus” (not “aurochs”) is the correct common name for *B. primigenius*.—C.A.R.

Rice, Victor


Chapters 2 and 3, section I, deal briefly and in a popular way with the evolution of man and the domestic animals.
Richter, Curt P.


The Norway rat was domesticated for experimental purposes, and changes during the course of its domestication are analyzed. These domestic modifications seem to rest upon changes in the endocrine system and are associated with a hypofunction of the adrenals and a hyperfunction of the gonads.

It is suggested that a special selection mechanism may operate under the protected and controlled environmental conditions found under domestication.

Ridgeway, William

1905. The origin and influence of the thoroughbred horse. xi+538 pp., 143 figs. Cambridge Univ. Press (London).

A wealth of data on horse breeding in prehistoric and ancient historical times is brought together (pp. 82–241). On the basis of these historical and of biological-morphological evidences as well, the author favors (pp. 425–477) a North African origin for a bay domestic horse, called Equus caballus libycus. This variety developed during a long succession of time in Libya and came to Egypt prior to 1500 B.C., about the same time that the North Eurasian (originally dun or white) horse was brought into Mesopotamia. By 1000 B.C. the Libyan horse spread into the Near East, and by blending with the Eurasian stock gave rise to all the improved breeds of the world.

Riedel, Alfredo


A study of the neo-eneolithic faunal remains from the Pocala cavern (near Trieste, Italy), in which typical domestic forms known from the Swiss lake-dwellings were represented.

Described are: Canis familiaris palustris, Sus scrofa palustris, Capra hircus, Ovis aries palustris, and Bos taurus brachyceros.


A study of the fossil remains of prehistoric domestic animals found in Italy shows that most of the species—dog, pig, goat, sheep, and ox—belong to the turbarry types, similar to the forms of the Swiss lake-dwellings. The significance of a statistical analysis in treating sub-fossil material is discussed briefly.

Ritzoffy, Nikola

1932. Prinos poznavanju Mangulice. [Study of the Mangalitza pig.] Vet. Arhiv, knjiga 11, nr. 8–9, pp. 342–412, 21 figs., numerous tables in text, 2 tables append. (Ger. summ.)

A short review of previous investigations on the origin of South Slavian breeds of swine is followed by a detailed cranio-lateral study of the Mangalitza pig, which
is kept in Croatia and Slavonia in addition to the primitive Siska pig. The cranio-
logical measurements and indices lead to the view that the Mangalitza breed origin-
ated from a cross between the European wild boar *Sus scrofa scrofa* and the Medi-
terranean boar *S. mediterranus*.

1933. Die Rolle der Inzucht in der turopoljer Schweinerasse. Z. Tierz. Zücht-

The pig breed from Turopolje in Croatia is derived directly from *S. meditter-
ranus* Ulmansky and as a result of inbreeding for centuries it retains ancient
primitive characters.

Robinson, A. E.


A collection of records, archaeological and ethnological, on the occurrence of
the Bactrian camel and the dromedary in prehistoric and historic times. The
author holds that the ancestor of both forms still lived in predynastic periods on
the frontiers of Egypt, retreating later into Asia, where it speciated and where it
became domesticated by 1200 B.C.

Rostafinski, Jan

1933. Proba systemtyki malych bosidow europy. [Systematics of the small
summ.)

A skull, found at Krzeszowice (Poland), is described and designated as a new
race, named *Bos colliceros* Rostafinski, which in size and several characters occu-
pies a somewhat intermediate position between *Bos frontosus* and *B. brachyceros*.
In contrast with the latter the horn-cores of *B. colliceros* are keeled.

Roy, C. R.

1946. Unicorn in the seals of Mohenjo-Daro and its relation to the religion
of the Indus valley civilization. Sci. & Cult., Calcutta, vol. XI, no. 8,
pp. 408–411.

A discussion of the representations of the “unicorn,” found in several sites of
the ancient Indus valley cultures (Mohenjo-Daro, Harappa, etc.). The author
doubts the identification of the “unicorn” with the urus, and takes it rather to
represent the wild ass. The wild ass is considered a native of the Indus valley
from time immemorial, the domestic form being the result of an autochthonous
breeding in association with cult-ceremonies.

Rüger, Jakob

1942. Die Tierreste aus der spätbronszeitlichen Siedlung Crestaulta nach den

A brief description of the bone material from Crestaulta (Graubunden, Switzer-
land), a dwelling place from the Middle Bronze, the culture of which was main-
tained probably up to the Late Bronze. Domestic mammals constituted the great
majority of the faunal remains. The most frequent species were the sheep, fol-
lowed by cattle and goat. Much fewer remains were those of the domestic pig.
Bones of dog and horse(?) were scarce.
Rumjancev, B. F.


The disputed przewalski ancestry for domestic horses is investigated by an extensive morphological comparison of the przewalski horse, the Mongolian domestic horse, and the tarpan. It is assumed that the przewalski horse—showing a marked resemblance to the half-ass group—played no part in the evolution of the domestic horse. The Mongolian horses are considered, together with the undersized breeds of northeastern Europe (Clepper, Finnish, Vyatka horses), to be a special “northern group” of domestic horses, which originated independently from the “southern group” (represented by Equus caballus pumpeilly from Anau; cf. Dürst, 1908) and the “eastern group,” the heavy cart-horses of western Europe.

Sankalia, H. D., and Karve, I.


Animal remains were recognized—associated with Microlithic artifacts—at the prehistoric site at Langhnaj (Gujarat, India). According to preliminary studies they comprised bones of sheep or goat, a large form of cattle, and pig, horse and dog.

Sasaki, Kiyoshuna


The affinities of some races of cattle were tested by means of the precipitine reaction. Two races of European cattle—Holstein-Friesian (of the Bos taurus primigenius group) and Aberdeen-Angus (derived by Areander from the hypothetical Bos taurus akeratos)—could be distinguished by absorption tests. Holstein-Friesian, improved Japanese native, and their hybrids could not be distinguished mutually.

The blood serum of the South Korean cattle could be distinguished from that of the Holstein-Friesian, but not from that of the Formosan zebu (Bos zebu indicus planus), so that the South Korean cattle can be considered a strain from the Indian zebu. The Formosan zebu, however, is more closely related to the Holstein-Friesian than the Formosan water-buffalo.

Sauer, Carl O.


A review of “what man has done with the plants and animals at his disposal.” In chap. 2 the cradle of earliest domestication is found in southeastern Asia, where the origin of animals of the household (dog, pig) was associated with vegetative planting—in contrast to herd-animals (cattle, sheep, goat), whose origin has been related to seed farming, practiced first in southwestern Asia. Chap. 3 (pp. 40-61) describes a similar process in the New World, represented by the tropical northwestern South American (dog and Muscovy duck) and Andean cultures (llama, alpaca, guinea pig), respectively.
The author accepts the view that sedentary fishing peoples became the first domesticators, that cult associations were the main cause of keeping herd-animals, and that the long and tedious work of domestication was accomplished before the Neolithic opened.

The origin of a series of herd-animals (Equidae and Bovidae) is outlined (pp. 91–95). Four maps show the hearths of domestication and the routes of dispersal of the domestic breeds.

Schafer, E. H.


A compilation of all Chinese records mentioning the camel, from the very beginning up to the Mongol dynasty (A.D. 1369). The first appearance of the camel as a domesticated animal in the historic Chinese sources is from the northern border countries by the end of the Chou period (the end of the fourth century B.C.).

Schmidt, Karl P.


A popular guide to the important domestic animals, their origin and their wild ancestors. Dog, cat, cattle, sheep, goat, horse, ass and pig are treated. The story of the domestic mammals is illustrated by twelve colored plates and many text figures.

Schmidt, W.


An examination and discussion of data and evidences concerning early herd-animals and their origin. Domestication of animals is seen as a gradual result of a primitive hunting civilization ("Urkultur"), nomadism associated with herd-animals being a necessary transitional stage. Man came in earliest touch with herd-animals in the steppe lands of central Asia, where the cradles of ancient domestication are sought.

Reindeer supplied the material for the earliest domestication, practiced by Samojedic Sojots by Mesolithic (or even late Paleolithic) times, followed by horses, the taming of which was started in the fifth millennium B.C. among Turk tribes in Iran. Domestic sheep and goats are secondary to horse or camel, the latter itself originally a mere follower of horse-breeding. Cattle-breeding arose also as a secondary element, but its geographical origin remains obscure.

Schultze, Robert


A morphological investigation of the Soay sheep, kept in a semi-domesticated stage on the Hebrides near the west coast of Scotland (cf. Ewart, 1913). Since skulls and horns exhibit the same characters as those of the wild European mouflon, the straight derivation from Ovis musimon is taken to be certain.
Schwangart, F.


Felis silvestris and F. ocreata are seen as progenitors of the domestic cat, and the main characters of both species are worked out. The suggestion of a third ancestor, Ototobus manual, is contested.


The northern wild cat, Felis silvestris, is taken to be a secondary ancestor for the domestic cat besides the African F. ocreata.

Schwarz, Ernst


Two types of true horses, which roamed in western and southern Europe during the early Pleistocene, are distinguished: Equus caballus and E. stenonis (distinguished by the degree of complexity in the enamel pattern). Both forms became displaced from Europe during the glacial periods. The latter migrated to Africa and could not come back to Europe, which got separated from North Africa (where E. stenonis persisted until the Neolithic). Several times in inter-glacial and post-glacial periods Equus caballus returned to Europe from central and eastern Asia.

The domestic “Oriental” breeds (Arabian, Barb) are traced back to Equus stenonis. E. caballus gave rise to the heavy “cold-blooded” horses and to the small eastern breeds as well.


A comprehensive study of the fossil equid material from glacial and post-glacial times, collected in museums of central Europe. The European wild horses are divided into three groups: A small form (Equus caballus caballus, comprising E. robustus, the tarpan, and the Przewalski horse), an intermediate group (E. c. plicidens, often the undomesticated attendant of Paleolithic man), and a large type (E. c. robustus=E. robustus, E. mosbachensis, E. abeli). The latter forms did not survive the last glacial period and had no part in the origin of the domestic horses, which in their primitive forms were all of small stature.

Domestication was either started independently in two centers, one in eastern Europe and a second in central Asia, or in a large area comprising both the centers.


The genus Capra, considered to include all the goats, ibexes and turs, is divided into a northern (Capra ibex) and a southern (C. hircus) group. The author sees in C. hircus aegagrus the most primitive type, which gave rise to the majority of domestic goats.
The origin of the screw-horned breeds and their relation to *C. prisca* (cf. Adametz, 1915) is discussed in detail, the latter being regarded as an already domestic goat.

Schwarz, F.


Description and short summary of faunal remains from early excavations of the Iron Age site at La Tène near Geneva (for further excavations cf. Revilliod, 1926). Domestic animals listed include horses of the Oriental type, *brachyceros* cattle (with possible incross of *Bos brachycerus*), turbary pig (cross with the European wild boar may have occurred), two forms of sheep (*Ovis aries palustris* and a hornless type), a big-horned race of goat and the large *palustris* type of dog. None of these animals is considered a result of local domestication from indigenous wild forms.

Schweinfurth, G.


Study and interpretation of the animal representations at Aswan, which date from prehistoric times on. Among the domestic animals are cattle, represented only by the longhorned form in most ancient times, and much later by a short-horned type, which was, however, never domesticated. Dogs are mainly of a greyhound type, but pariah forms can be identified. The wild ibex is depicted frequently, but there are only few and unclear representations of a domestic goat. Camel images exist from the ancient dynasties. The pig is always shown as being hunted, which fact seems to prove its wild status.

Scott, J. P.


On the basis of archaeological evidence and behavioral studies, it is assumed that the dog was domesticated from the wolf, *Canis lupus*, in Asia or northern Europe some time between 6000 and 8000 B.C. The jackal, *C. aureus*, is not considered to be a possible ancestor of the dog.

Dogs and wolves have identical basic traits of behavior, but as the result of artificial selection there is a great deal of variability in behavior in different breeds of dogs. The only new character, however, is with regard to the tail carriage, which varies from sickle-shaped to curled in the dog, but in the wolf is almost straight when relaxed.

Wolves are scavengers as well as hunters, and could easily assume a commensal relationship with primitive man. The peaceful and socially cooperative home life of wolves would contribute to the ease of domestication once wolf pups were taken into the human home, where they would come to regard man as part of the social unit. Selection for docility and against wildness, with concomitant changes in the hormone production of the adrenal glands (cf. Richter, 1952), would inevitably follow.—C.A.R.
Sewell, R. B., and Guhr, B. S.


An account of the animal remains from the excavations of 1922-27 at Mohenjo-Daro in the Indus valley. The considerable amount of bones from the humped cattle—most of them belonging to calves—seems to indicate that large herds of this animal were maintained. The pig was present in large numbers in this area from the very earliest time, although its status of domestication cannot be taken as certain. The pig is identified with Sus cristatus. A fragment of an equid skull shows similarity to the "horse of Anau" (cf. Dürst, 1908).

Shaw, W. B.


The site is that of Gilf Kebir, a high plateau in the Libyan desert. The cattle portrayed, tentatively identified as Bos africanus, are thought to belong to Old Kingdom and pre-dynastic times. Some wear halters, so were undoubtedly domesticated. Prominent udders would indicate the importance of milk.

A center of animal domestication is suggested for the Abyssinian plateau.—C.A.R.

Sickenberg, Otto


Description of a skull fragment of a wild male goat from the Pleistocene, found near Schleinbach (Lower Austria). The horns show the characters of Capra prisca, and are similar in shape and twisting to some domestic breeds (e.g., Pinzgauer), which were considered previously of the aegagrus type. The ancestry of C. prisca for all European breeds is emphasized.

Simpson, George G.


A short and popular account of the domestic horse and its history from earliest times.


A discussion of the derivation of the domestic horse; a monophyletic origin is suggested (pp. 24-33). A brief survey of early records on horse-breeding is given, and various opinions on the origin of the most ancient breeds (Barb, Arabian) are reviewed briefly (pp. 34-41).

Sirelius, U. T.


The author finds the very beginnings of reindeer domestication in the use of tame reindeer as decoys while hunting wild reindeer; the use of the animal as a
beast of burden came next and the keeping of larger herds came later. Based upon a find of a drag-sledge in the moors of Saasingård (Finland) from the Finnish Stone Age, it is assumed that the reindeer was already in use as a draft animal at that time.

Slawkowski, Wilhelm


In a brief historical review the Hittite Empire is seen as the cradle of origin of certain domestic animals. It is suggested that the first domestication of horses, shorthorned breeds of cattle, and fleecy sheep took place in Asia Minor at the beginning of the second millennium B.C.


Evidence is brought together to prove that the domestic animals of ancient Crete—cattle, goat, sheep, pig, horse—were all imported, mainly from Egypt and Asia Minor but also from Syria and Mesopotamia. The sources are animal representations and ancient literary records.

Slijper, E. J.


This book is intended for the intelligent layman; scientific terms, unsolved problems, and conflicting opinions are avoided as far as possible. The first few chapters deal with the history of the earth and its fauna, the vertebrates in particular. One chapter is then devoted to each of the domestic animals: horse, cattle, goat and sheep, pig, dog, and cat. There are further chapters on the behavior of animals (particularly domestic animals), on the prehistory of the Netherlands, and on evolution. The book is well-edited and profusely illustrated, and has extensive bibliographies.—D.H.

Smith, Sidney


A discussion (pp. 213 ff.) of the rise to power of the Mitanni kings and their nobles in what is now northern Syria; the date is ca. 1750 B.C. The Mitanni were the first to introduce the domestic horse onto the historical scene, although it had undoubtedly had a long prior history of domestication to the north (cf. Hrozny, 1931). Linguistic evidence indicates a previous and common Irano-Sanskrit experience with horse-domestication, and the building of a technical vocabulary. —C.A.R.

Solanet, Emilio


A richly illustrated paper on the South American criollo horse, its origin and history. The author emphasizes the derivation of the criollo breed from the Spanish horse only.
Sommerfeld, Kurt


A morphological comparison of banteng, zebu and Java-Madurese cattle. The last constitutes the most widespread breed in the Dutch East Indies and is a hybrid offspring of the others. The banteng is derived directly from the indigenous *Bos javanicus*, which was domesticated on Bali.

Sowerby, Arthur


Popular account of the domestic stock of the ancient Chinese from the Shang dynasty (1776 B.C.) on, based mainly upon animal pictures on the “Oracle bones” and other Chinese artifacts, especially bas reliefs and tomb figures. Special discussion is devoted to horse, cattle (three distinct breeds already recognized in the Han period), and sheep, all of which are considered introduced forms, and to the pig of ancient China, which, in contrast to other breeds, is derived from the native wild boar.

Staffe, Adolf


A pig skull, found in the tomb of Hetep Heres (6th dynasty, 2400 B.C.), is described and considered to belong to a domestic form. In a brief discussion, the East Asian (*vittatus*) origin for the Egyptian domestic pig is contested. The latter is seen as a descendant of *Sus mediterraneus*.


A study of the origin of the important African domestic breeds in the light of the rock paintings from eastern and western North Africa. The author accepts the view that domestication originated on a religious basis and sees in the representations primarily an illustration of sacrificial animals.

Both the *primigenius* and the *brachyceros* types of cattle are found from the prehistoric periods on; zebu representations are lacking. Two types of horses, the Oriental and the stouter Occidental, are distinguished, the latter dominating in earlier periods; an ancient domestication center for horses in northwest Africa is suggested. Sheep and goat are almost absent, a fact explained by their association with nomadic life, a settled culture being a basic condition for the practice of rock painting. One of the most frequently depicted domestic animals is the one-humped camel, which fact leads to the suggestion that the dromedary may have been a widely used beast of burden and a riding animal in North Africa in ancient times (from the third millennium B.C.).


The domestication of the camel in Africa in prehistoric times is questioned by the author, who postulates an invasion of camel-breeding tribes from southern
Arabia (via Bab el Mandeb) into eastern Africa during the third or second millennium B.C.


Skull fragments from a Neolithic site at Tartaren (Lerida), from the Central Spanish grottos culture, are identified with a typical *brachyeceros* type. The *brachyeceros* breed is regarded as the earliest stock of domestic cattle kept in Iberia, long before the appearance of *primigenius* derivatives.

Stegmann von Pritzwald, F. P.


Archaeological and zoological records concerning the origin and domestication of farm animals are summarized and examined in terms of their significance for studies in human history and civilization. The book deals with most of the domesticated ungulates and also with the elephant and the rabbit; numerous illustrations.

Steinbacher, G.


On the basis of distinctive behavior, two groups of South American tylopods are distinguished: Alpaca and vicugna on the one side, llama and guanaco on the other. The domestic alpaca is derived from *Vicugna vicugna*.

Stekelis, M.


Among the finds of a Neolithic culture (8000 B.C.) near Sha’ar ha-Golan in the Jordan Valley, remains of calves, goats, sheep and dogs were found (p. 16), which are considered evidence that pastoralism was known by that time.

Studer, Th.


A comprehensive investigation of the crania of subfossil and recent dogs, from which it is concluded that all the domestic breeds of today can be traced back to three main prehistoric forms, represented by *Canis familiaris palustris*, *C. f. inostranzewi* and *C. f. leieneri* Studer, the latter being the ancestor of the deerhounds.

Views on the status of the pariah dogs and the dingo are reviewed briefly. A dingo-like ancestor (resembling *Canis teggeranus* of Java) is suggested for the southern breeds of domestic dogs (pariahs, greyhounds, Tibet mastiffs), while the Palearctic breeds are thought to be derived from a small canid (*Canis ferus* Bourg) or from its cross with the wolf. An extensive 19th century bibliography on dog origin is appended.

A skull and other skeletal remains of a dog, which were found associated with Mousterian artifacts near Bologoe (Russia), are compared with remains of other fossil canids and with the dingo. The dog of Bologoe, which resembles the dingo in shape and size, is named Canis poutiatini and regarded as a diluvial type, the first to become tamed by man; it is thought to have given rise to C. matris optimae (ancestor of the shepherd dogs) as well as to C. intermedium (progenitor of the hunting dogs) from the Bronze Age. By crossing with the wolf the breeds of large domestic dogs (mastiffs, deerhounds) originated, while a dwarfed form of the wild hound (C. mikii) gave rise to the Neolithic C. familiaris palustris.


Description of a dog skull from the Hallstatt period, found near Berchtesgaden. The skull is taken to represent the first example of a prehistoric mastiff type and shows close affinities to Canis inostranzewi.

Swanton, John R.


On the basis of information derived from members of a Spanish expedition to South Carolina in 1521, and from an Indian taken back to the West Indies by that expedition, Pietro Martire de Anghiera, in his De Orbe Novo, reported that the Indians of the region had domestic deer. These deer, it was said, were milked, and cheese was made of the milk.

Although many other parts of this early account are shown to be accurate, Swanton denies this history of domestic deer without offering any reason for his opinion; presumably the lack of any confirmatory evidence from later periods leads him to his conclusion.—C.A.R.

Szalay, A. B.


Various views on the origin of domestic cattle (whether mono-, di-, or polyphyletic) are reviewed and examined in the light of historical evidence. The author holds that the primitive domestic environment—essentially not distinct from the wild habitat—could not have caused great morphological changes (such as dwarfing) in the types of cattle, and concludes that at least five different species have been tamed in Africa and Asia, three of which (Bos primigenius, B. namadicus and B. brachyceros) are known. Zebus are regarded as derived from two or three independent groups, not related to the other European bovids.
Teilhard de Chardin, P., and Young, C. C.


A systematic study was made of the mammalian bones recovered at Anyang (northern Honan), the old capital of the semi-historic Shang culture (ca. 1400–1100 B.C.). Remains of the dog, a “curious” pig and a massive water-buffalo were abundant. Less frequently found were bones of sheep, goat, ox, elephant and tapir.

The pig is considered to be a highly specialized breed of southern origin (called Sus villatus var. frontalis var. nov.); the ox is taken to be a domesticated race of the urus; and the primitive-looking buffalo (Bubalus mephistopheles Hopw.) is regarded as the descendant of a Pleistocene Chinese buffalo, raised by man. The elephant was apparently imported from southern regions.

Tackenberg, Kurt


Summary of the Russian excavations of the Neolithic Tripolje civilization between the Sereth and Dnieper rivers. Among the skeletal material the remains of cattle, the domestic status of which was pointed out by numerous representations and figurines of bovids, were by far the most numerous; in two sites they amounted to 67 per cent of all the animal bones. On the average (summary of five localities) cattle constituted 37 per cent of the remains, pigs 23 per cent, sheep and goats 15 per cent, and dogs 5 per cent. The remainder belonged to wild animals. In the latest period a marked decrease of pig bones became obvious, while—besides oxen—sheep and goats became more numerous. This process seemed to be associated with a decline in grain culture and an increase in pastoral economy, which is explained by the bioecumlc changes during the Neolithic.

Teodoreanu, N.


Two skulls of goats, found together with a skull of Ovis aries at Kronstadt (=Brasov, central Rumania), are described. In the circumference of the horncores and the nature of their twisting, the skulls are similar to that of Capra prisca, which is seen as ancestral for all the breeds of domestic goats now distributed over central Europe, Hungary and the Balkan Peninsula. These goats also have horns twisted from outside inward.


Two skulls—one of a horse, the second belonging to a dog—both from the Bronze Age, were found near St. Georghe-Bedeháza (Transylvania). The dog is identified as a variety of Canis poulitiani, and consequently named C. p. var. transilvanicus. The horse skull proved to be distinct, especially in its dental characters, from all other Quaternary horses described, and is termed Equus transilvanicus.

An investigation of the crania of cattle from Dobruja (Rumania) and a discussion of their origin. The skulls examined showed no affinity to that of *Bos taurus primigenius*, but exhibited the typical characters of the *brachyceros* type. It is suggested that the *brachyceros* cattle were brought in prehistoric times from the southern Balkan to the Danubian region by Gothic and Thracian tribes.

**Thevenin, René**


In a popular pamphlet, views on the origin of domestication and the rise of the domestic breeds are summarized. The first four chapters (pp. 5–24) are concerned with questions of general nature—definitions, motives, place and time of earliest domestication. Chap. 5 deals with the “companions and auxiliaries” of man (dog, cat, horse, ass, camel, llama, and reindeer). In chap. 6 are discussed the origins of those animals (cattle, sheep, goat, pig, and rabbit), reared for alimentary and industrial purposes.

**Thilenius, G.**


In a survey of animal representations from predynastic and early dynastic Egypt, an attempt is made to trace the ancestry of the domestic sheep kept in North Africa during prehistoric and ancient historic time. It is concluded that the wild Barbary sheep (*Ammotragus tragelochephalus*), an autochthonous breed, was domesticated in the Neolithic period and constituted the first domestic sheep of the Egyptians. By the end of the Old Empire the fleecy sheep had been introduced from Babylonia and the autochthonous breed gradually became displaced.

**Uhden, Richard**


Brief account of camel representations in Egypt and Libya to show that camels were known and kept in Egypt during all the dynastic periods.

**Ulmansky, S.**


Identification of remains of wild and domestic pigs found in the kitchen middens in a lake-dwelling in the moors of Laibach (Austria). The wild boar exhibits an intermediate (“independent”) form between the *scrofa* and the *tubattus* types, and is termed *Sus mediterraneus*. The domestic pig is seen as a direct derivative from this wild form and shows close affinities to the turbary pig from the Swiss lake-dwellings.
Van Buren, Elizabeth Douglas


An account of animals reproduced in Mesopotamian art from the earliest times to the fall of the Assyrian empire. The various species are treated in their systematic sequence, and archaeological as well as zoological aspects are discussed. The domestic animals dealt with include dog, horse, ass, mule, camel, goat, sheep, cattle and pig.

Van Giffen, A. E.


Comprehensive treatise on the faunal remains from the kitchen middens of the "terpen" (the artificial proto-historic hills in the lowlands along the Dutch and Frisian shore). The first section gives a topographical and chronological description of the terpen. The second section deals in detail with the wild fauna; domestic animals are mentioned only briefly (see, however, Van Giffen, 1929).

Most frequent among the domestic mammals were cattle, followed by sheep, dog, horse, pig (in this sequence); remains of goat were rare. On the basis of a statistical study it is shown that primigenius and brachyceros cattle cannot be derived from two distinct ancestors.


Statistical studies of three large populations of subfossil dogs from the Mesolithic Danish kitchen middens, the Neolithic and Bronze Age Swiss lake-dwellings and the Frisian and Groningen terpen (late Iron Age; cf. Van Giffen, 1914); for comparison recent dogs and related wild canids (wolf, jackal) were added. It is shown that the terp-dogs, which appeared to betray much wolf blood, displayed smaller divergencies than recent dogs, but more than the lake-dwelling and kitchen midden specimens.

The earliest known European dogs (from the kitchen middens) have nothing in common with the analogous Asiatic forms (represented by the Anau material; cf. Dürst, 1908), which are derived from the Indian wolf Canis pallipes. The origin of the kitchen midden dogs is obscure, but they were not derived from C. palustris of the Swiss lake-dwellings. The terp-dogs, on the other hand, are taken to be the probable progenitors for the Cimbric-Megalithic and the recent Arctic dogs.

Vaufrey, R.


Short description of the skeletal remains found at Sialk (west of the city of Kashan, Iran), the lowest layers of which are estimated to belong approximately to the fifth millennium B.C.
The domestic animals from Sialk I (transition from the Neolithic to the Aeneolithic) included sheep, goat and cattle. From Sialk II on (already with copper artifacts), pig, dog and horse also appear. The sheep belongs to the Oris eignici group, the goat is of the Capra aegagrus type and the horse is identified with Equus caballus pumpehi.


In a discussion of the fauna associated with Natufian (Mesolithic) culture at the site of El-Khiam in eastern Palestine, the goat is listed as domestic, a small ox as probably domestic, and the pig as perhaps domestic. The goat, Capra hircus, is represented by three horn-cores (resembling those of C. aegagrus) and a variety of limb-bones, Bos sp. by a fragment of mandible with two milk teeth, and Sus sp. by a single phalanx.—C.A.R.

Vetulani, Th.


A critical examination of the skull material of fossil equids leads the author to set up a new subspecies of the Russian tarpan called Equus gmelini Ant. ssp. silvatica, or the “forest tarpan.” This form is regarded as the part of the steppe tarpan population that remained when most of the group retreated into southern Russia because of climatic changes in post-glacial times.

An analysis of the skull of a Polish country horse revealed characters of both tarpan types and also additional features peculiar to the Przewalski horse.


The two races of Anatolian goats—the common Anatolian goat (kil-keci) and the Angora goat (tíftik-keci)—are described and investigated as to their origin and relationship to the Anatolian wild goat, Capra aegagrus. It is shown that the latter form cannot be considered as having any part in the ancestry of the Anatolian domestic breeds, which are regarded as two races of the Capra przesica type; the “kil-keci” race resembles the fossil skulls from Zloczow (cf. Adametz, 1915), and the Angora goat is related to the fossil goats from Zlota (cf. Adametz, 1928) and from Schleibach (cf. Sickenberg, 1930).

Vittor, D. R.


An account of the wild bovids still existing in Cochin-China (southern Indochina). A detailed description of the recent domestic stock of this area is followed by a short discussion of the origin of the latter.

Except for some introduced cattle, the main dairy stock kept in Cochin-China is derived directly or indirectly from Bos indicus. Domestic gaur and banteng are descendants of the wild Bibos gaurus and Bibos sondaicus respectively.
Vogel, R.


An extensive osteological treatment of the faunal remains from the Neolithic lake-dwellings around Lake Constance, especially from the site at Sipplingen excavated by H. Reinerth in 1929-30. The domestic stock is composed of dog, cattle, sheep, goat and pig. The great speciation of the dog—the only animal not used for food purposes—seems to point to its very early domestication. The majority of the remains fall into the Canis familiaris palustris group, but those are often accompanied by a larger type (C. f. intermedius) and a dwarf form, identified with C. f. spaletti.

The small sheep (Ovis aries palustris) possessed horns in both sexes, which fact seems to exclude a mouflon ancestry but points toward an origin from the non-European O. rignei. A few remains were those of the domestic goat (Capra hircus, a screw-horned type), but the great number of bones from domestic cattle indicated their economic importance. The skeletal material is in part primigenius, in part brachyeceros, and sometimes of mixed character.

The domestic animal found by far most frequently was the pig, the rearing of which was probably favored in prehistoric times by the then dominant oak forest. The pig is of the serofa type and, showing no affinities to the Oriental Sus vittatus, is brought by the author in direct relationship to the European wild boar, S. serofa ferus.

In the Bronze Age levels a few remains of a domestic horse appeared.

Wagner, K.


The osteological racial peculiarities of recent domestic dogs are examined in detail and compared. No conclusions are reached regarding the origin of the domestic dog, but archaeological findings and their earlier treatments are evaluated by means of the new comparative material.

Walz, Reinhard


A compilation of archaeological material on the early history of the one-humped camel (Camelus dromedarius) in the Orient. On the basis of the records collected, the author assumes that the domestication of the dromedary probably originated in central Arabia in the last half of the second millennium B.C.


Data on the ancient history of the two-humped camel (Camelus bactrianus) are given, and historical as well as zoological aspects are examined. The evidence—results of archaeological excavations and early records from the areas under
consideration (China, western Turkestan, Iran, Mesopotamia)—seem to point to a central Asian origin of the domestic Bactrian camel.

Watson, D. M. S.


A study of the animal remains found during the excavations at Skara Brae, a Neolithic dwelling place in Orkney (Scotland). Among the numerous cattle bones three groups were distinguished, taken to be representative of bulls, cows and bullocks of a single breed, not corresponding with longifrons or with primigenius cattle from other Neolithic, Bronze or Iron Age sites. Abundant also were bones of a slender-limbed sheep, resembling the sheep of Soay (cf. Ewart, 1913). Very rare remains of pigs (uncertain whether domesticated) seemed to indicate lack of oak or beech woods in Skara Brae times.

The three characteristic features of this faunal assemblage, the abundance of sheep, the scarcity of pigs and the complete lack of dogs, are all features contrary to those of Neolithic camps in England.

Weidenreich, Franz


To determine the factors that caused the specific phenomena of domestication (and of human civilization as well), the nature of domestication and the parallelism between the changes produced by it are analyzed in different domestic animals. The author shows that the specific domestic adaptations were originally pathological (brachygnathy, dwarf forms) and were caused by the injurious factors of the changed environment, which led eventually to a racial fixation of body reactions to adjust this injury.

Werth, E.

1939. Grundsätzliches zum Problem der Haustierwerdung. Naturwissenschaften, Bd. 27, Heft 17, pp. 271–274.

From the chronological succession of important stages in human civilization conclusions are drawn as to the history of domestic mammals. Domestic breeds are completely lacking in the Paleolithic, but dogs and artiodactyls (bovids and pigs) were kept from the Mesolithic on. It is only in the Neolithic that the horse—first in Asia, later in Europe—was added to the domestic stock.


An account of the origin and dispersal of the common pack and draft animals. Bovids are regarded as the most ancient transport animals, appearing in the late Paleolithic and dominant throughout early history from the Caspian to southeastern India and also in northeastern Asia. Their center of origin is found in India. Cattle were replaced by horses in central Asia (considered the area where horse-taming originated) and northeastern Europe, while Ethiopia is seen as the original home of the domestic donkey.
Two other transport animals—yak (Tibet) and llama (Peru)—were only of local significance. The use of reindeer rose probably by association with the domestication of the horse, and the northeast Asian dog-sledge was an outgrowth of the Mongolian plough-culture.

**Wettstein, Ernst**


Description of animal remains in a lake-dwelling, recovered from the bottom of Lake Zurich. Most of the bones belonged to domestic species. Most frequently represented were cattle, closely followed by pigs; fewer remains were those of sheep (or goat) and dogs, with few bones of the horse. Among cattle three races could be distinguished (primigenius, brachyceros, and an intermediate type, resembling Bos trochoceros). Sheep were chiefly of the “copper” type (though hornless forms were represented). The goat was Capra hircus. The pigs of Alpenquai exhibited the characters of the palustris form, the equid remains were closest to Equus caballus celticus, and all the dogs were identified with Canis familiaris inostranzewi.

**Whitehead, G. Kenneth**

1953. The ancient white cattle of Britain and their descendants. 174 pp., 48 pls. Faber and Faber, Ltd. (London).

The theory that the wild white cattle of Britain are direct descendants of Bos primigenius is rejected, as this latter species was probably extinct in England and southern Scotland by 1000 B.C. Instead, it is thought that longhomed white cattle were brought from Italy by the Romans, who may have kept and bred them for sacrificial purposes. With the collapse of Roman power and the turmoil of the Germanic invasions, these herds became feral in the forests, where they were subsequently driven into enclosed parks, beginning in the thirteenth century. These cattle are unknown in Ireland.

The white color is genetically dominant. Only the Chillingham herd produces 100% white calves; it is the only herd that remains pure-bred and is representative of the wild white forest cattle of the post-Roman, pre-Norman period.

The domestic polled white cattle (“British Whites”), which are today a commercial breed, may be derived from the Fjällras cattle of Scandinavia, brought to England by the Vikings.—C.A.R.

**Wilkund, K. P.**


Reviewing archaeological and paleontological evidence on the early sledge cultures, the author finds that reindeer-breeding arose independently among Chukchi and Koryak (Lapps), but in the Tungusian and Soyotian areas it was a result of cultural influence from Turko-Mongolian horse-breeding.

**Wilckens, Martin**

A summary of information on the morphology, origin, and history of the domestic animals. The introduction contains a classification of the domesticated species and deals with their geographical distribution. An attempt is made to cover all the animals ever considered to be domestic—among them various birds, fishes and insects.

Major attention is directed to domestic mammals, which are treated according to their orders: odd-toed ungulates (horse, ass, mule), even-toed ungulates (pig, camel, llama, goat, sheep, cattle), rodents (rabbit) and carnivores (cat, dog). An outline of the zoological characters of each group is followed by a discussion on its origin and taming, and finally by an account of its domestic breeds.

Wilson, James


No British cattle are directly descended from Bos primigenius, which was extinct—at least south of the Highlands—by the Bronze Age. B. longifrons were small black domestic Neolithic cattle, introduced from the mainland. The famous wild horned white cattle of Britain are descended from domestic white Italian cattle, which became feral after the Roman withdrawal. English medieval horned red cattle were introduced by the Angles and Saxons, and the small dun polled cattle of many coastal areas of Britain and Ireland were brought by the Norsemen. None of these cattle are economically important in the 20th century breeds, which are descended from Dutch importations, beginning in the 17th century.—C.A.R.

Winge, Herluf


An account of mammal remains from prehistoric Danish sites, listed in systematic, geographical and chronological sequence. Among the faunal remains from the early Stone Age appear domestic dog and cattle; by the later Stone Age pig, sheep and horse occur, and in the Bronze Age, in addition to the former, the domestic goat.


Brief account of animal remains discovered at seven sites from Danish Bronze Age settlements. The domestic species identified comprised dog, ox, sheep and pig in all the sites; in five sites also was found the horse, among whose remains the author distinguishes members of the Oriental as well as of the Occidental race.

Winkler, Hans

The early inhabitants of the desert valleys east and west of the Upper Nile are studied in the light of their rock-drawings. Vol. I deals with the eastern desert between Quena and Aswan, vol. II with the western parts—the regions of Kharga, Dakhla and Uwenat. The author distinguishes two cultures that occurred in the desert valleys in predynastic times. The most ancient population consisted of hunters who kept the dog as their only domesticated animal. Those were followed by mountain dwellers (believed to be Hamites), who had cattle and some of whom became herdsmen in isolated pasture oases.

**Wissler, Clark**


In a popular survey of domestication, its sources and the possible motives that caused it are discussed. The author considers it conceivable that not man’s activity but the behavior patterns of the animal to become domesticated may have been the first causes of domestication. Dog and pig are regarded as the first animals domesticated, next in order cattle and reindeer were tamed, then sheep followed by goat, still later ass followed by horse, and finally camel and elephant.

**Woolley, C. Leonard**


A report on the animal remains discovered at Ur (Mesopotamia) is given by R. I. Pocock (pp. 409–410). Material from cattle, pigs and sheep is described briefly. No definite remains of goats and no trace of bones from horses or asses were detected.

**Yetts, Perceval**


The author gives a short summary of archaeological and ethnological evidences of horse-breeding in most ancient China. Until the second century B.C. the only horse kept by the Chinese was a domesticated variety of the small, indigenous steppe horse, a breed which was shared with the nomadic neighbors. About 126 B.C. superior breeds were introduced from the “countries of the West” (probably Bactria).

**Zeuner, F. E.**


The three commonly accepted species of Old World small cats—*Felis silvestris* Schreber, of the forests of Europe and Asia Minor; *F. manul* Pall., the steppe cat of central Asia; and *F. constantina* Forst., the yellow cat of Africa and southern Asia—are hardly more than geographical and ecological subspecies of a single species. The majority of specimens cannot be distinguished osteologically, nor can the domestic cat usually be separated from these wild cats except by characters of the pelage. The cat is psychologically the least domestic of animals, and it has undergone the least anatomical change. The cat was first domesticated in Egypt—some claim as early as the Fifth Dynasty—but clear evidence of do-
mestic status is lacking until the New Kingdom (16th century B.C.). The Romans carried domestic cats throughout much of Europe, where they have persisted and interbred with *F. silvestris.*—C.A.R.


Domestication arose as a natural symbiosis between two species of social animals, the less intelligent of which became dependent upon the more intelligent. In the primary stages of domestication, there was no concept of purpose involved, as man could not foresee, several generations ahead, the values to be derived. Later, as with reindeer domestication and attempts during Old Kingdom times in Egypt to domesticate gazelles, antelopes, and hyenas, purposive planning is apparent.

Social animals that could become scavengers if the opportunity were presented are the ones most likely to have become domesticated. The dog might best be derived from one of the smaller southern wolves, which tend to scavenge more and hunt less than do the larger northern ones. With the establishment of agriculture, there arose opportunities for symbiotic relations with the ungulates. Pet-keeping and use of pets as decoys to capture other individuals can perhaps explain the earliest phases of domestication in ungulates, but the species involved have to be psychologically adapted to breeding in captivity, must be physiologically adapted to surviving under difficult conditions (including almost complete neglect), and must then be so bred that they will become practically insensitive to the opportunity for personal freedom.

All domestic animals except the reindeer have become adapted to man's behavior; with regard to the latter, however, man's culture has become adapted to the behavior of the deer.

Much of the article is concerned with the effects of artificial selection upon different domestic mammals.—C.A.R.


Animal bones from the pre-pottery Neolithic of Jericho, Palestine, are identified as wild cattle (*Bos primigenius*), gazelles, antelopes, wild pigs, domestic goats, and domestic dogs. Neither sheep nor ibex has been identified. The goats are of the *Capra aegagrus* type, with straight and upright horns. One male and two female horn-cores were recovered; comparison of these with a large series of cores from known wild and domestic straight-horned and screw-horned goats indicates that the horns of the females are useless in attempting to determine domestication. The male core is much thinner and with lateral, medial, and posterior surfaces more rounded than in any wild male *C. aegagrus*, and is correspondingly ovoid-shaped, instead of being irregularly angular. This cross section of the Jericho male horn-core more closely resembles that of domestic goats than that of the wild *C. aegagrus*, and so it is very possible that the goat of pre-pottery Jericho was domesticated.

The Neolithic goat with straight horns was replaced by goats with twisted horns early in the Bronze Age, throughout the areas of the Fertile Crescent and the eastern Mediterranean.—C.A.R.
Zurowski, Josef


Among finds of Neolithic sites from Zlota (Sandomierz, Poland) animal bones belonging to cattle (hornless and shorthorned breeds), pig, dog, sheep, and horse were identified.
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Bos sp.
Vaufrey, 1951

Bos acutifrons
Ewart, 1912

Bos africanus
Breuil and el Dine, 1928
Lortet and Gaillard, 1903-09
Morse, 1912
Newbold, 1928
Shaw, 1936

Bos brachycephalus
Gerbes, 1951
Morse, 1912
F. Schwarz, 1918

Bos brachyceros
Antonius, 1944
Breuil and el Dine, 1928
Chlebaroff, 1929-30
Curwen, 1938
Degerbol, 1939
Dürst, 1900
Epstein, 1933
Gaillard, 1934
Gromova, 1927
Herre, 1949
Hilzheimer, 1927

Jackson, 1932
Keller, 1902
Kolesnik, 1936
Kuhn, 1935
Lydekker, 1912b
Mohapli, 1914
Mond and Myers, 1934
Newbold, 1928
Noack, 1909
Patterson, 1937
Pira, 1926
Reverdin, 1921, 1930-31
Rostafinski, 1933
F. Schwarz, 1918
Staffe, 1939, 1943
Szalay, 1930
Van Giffen, 1914
Vogel, 1933
Wettstein, 1924

Bos brachyceros arnici
Amschler, 1939a, 1939b

Bos brachyceros europaeus
Antonius, 1919

Bos bubalis
Merkens, 1929

Bos colliecreros
Rostafinski, 1933

Bos frontosus
Degerbol, 1939
Hilzheimer, 1927
Morse, 1912
Rostafinski, 1933

Bos indicus
Keller, 1902
Kolesnik, 1936
Lydekker, 1912b
Prashad, 1936
Vittor, 1933

Bos longifrons
Antonius, 1919
Bate, 1938
Bryner, 1938
Child, 1940
Clark, 1952
Dawkins and Jackson, 1917
Degerbol, 1939
Ewart, 1912
Hilzheimer, 1927
Jackson, 1932
Koby, 1954
Leister, 1943
Mond and Myers, 1934
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Morse, 1912
Reynolds, 1939
Watson, 1931
Wilson, 1909

*Bos mindorensis*
 von Führer-Haimendorf, 1932

*Bos namadicus*
 Adamez, 1936
Anderson, 1943
Antonius, 1944
Bisschop, 1937
Chleboroff, 1929–30
Dürst, 1900, 1908
Epstein, 1933
Friederichs, 1933
Hermanns, 1952
Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
Mackay, 1938
Melnik, 1927
Menghin, 1931
Morse, 1942
Szalay, 1930

*Bos opisthonomus*
 Bisschop, 1937

*Bos primigenius*
 Adamez, 1920
Antonius, 1919, 1944
Bate, 1942
Bogaevsky, 1937
Bryner, 1932
Cardas, 1926
Clark, 1952
Curwen, 1938
Degerbøl, 1939
Epstein, 1933
Ewart, 1912
Friederichs, 1933
Gaillard, 1934
Gerbes, 1926
Gromova, 1927
Herre, 1949
Hilzheimer, 1927
Keller, 1902
Koby, 1954
Female of
Color of
Kolesnik, 1936
Kuhn, 1935
Lengerken, 1953, 1955
Mackay, 1938
Melnik, 1928
Mohapi, 1914
Morse, 1912
Pia, 1941
Prashad, 1936
Reynolds, 1939
Staïff, 1939, 1943
Szalay, 1930
Van Giffen, 1914
Vogel, 1933
Watson, 1931
Wettstein, 1924

Whitehead, 1953
Wilson, 1909
Zeuier, 1955

*Bos primigenius ferus*
 Pira, 1926

*Bos primigenius hahnii*
 Adamez, 1925

*Bos sondaicus*
 Keller, 1902
Merkens, 1929
Sommerfeld, 1927

*Bos taurus*
 Keller, 1902
Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
Merkens, 1929

*Bos taurus akeratos*
 Hilzheimer, 1927
Sasaki, 1934

*Bos taurus brachygecros*
 Amschler, 1939b
Hescheler, 1920
Hescheler and Rüger, 1942
Madsen, 1900
Revilliod, 1926
Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947
Riedel, 1948
Teodoreanu, 1929

*Bos taurus domesticus*
 Bronholm and Rasmussen, 1931
Dottrens, 1946

*Bos taurus primigenius*
 Hilzheimer, 1941
Lydekker, 1912b
Madsen, 1900
Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947
Sasaki, 1934
Teodoreanu, 1929

*Bos turocerecos*
 Degerbøl, 1939
Morse, 1951
Wettstein, 1924

*Bos turo-mongolicus*
 Kolesnik, 1936

*Bos urus primigenius*
 Melnyk, 1927

*Bos zebu primigenius planus*
 Sasaki, 1934

*Bubalus*
 Lloyd, 1940

*Bubalus arnii*
 Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45

*Bubalus indicus macroceros*
 Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45

*Bubalus mephistophelis*
 Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936

*Camelus bactrianus*
 Walz, 1954

*Camelus dromedarius*
 Mikesell, 1955
Walz, 1951
Canis
  Gejvali, 1937-38
Canis sp.
  Bate, 1938
Canis aureus
  Keller, 1902
  Lorenz, 1955
  Scott, 1954
Canis dingo
  Dürst, 1945
  Jones, 1921
Canis familiaris
  Liang, 1934
Canis familiaris dingo
  Jones, 1921
Canis familiaris inosranzewi
  Amschler, 1939c, 1949
  Degerbol, 1927, 1933b
  Gandert, 1930
  Noack, 1909
  Patterson, 1937
  Studer, 1906\n  Wettstein, 1924
Canis familiaris intermedius
  Vogel, 1933
Canis familiaris leineri
  Hue, 1906a
  Studer, 1901
Canis familiaris matris optimaе
  Amschler, 1949
  Dürst, 1908
  Hue, 1906a
  Madsen, 1900
Canis familiaris palustris
  Amschler, 1949
  Bylin-Althin, 1946
  Clark, 1952
  Degerbol, 1933b
  Gandert, 1930
  Hue, 1906a,b
  Madsen, 1900
  Pequart, 1937
  Reverdin, 1927-28
  Riedel, 1948
  Studer, 1901, 1906
  Vogel, 1933
Canis familiaris palustris ladogensis
  Patterson, 1937
Canis familiaris palustris swardborgensis
  Degerbol, 1933b, 1939
Canis familiaris spapetti
  Vogel, 1933
Canis ferus
  Studer, 1901
Canis ingae
  Noack, 1915a
Canis inosranzewi
  Brinkmann, 1923-24
  Revilliod, 1926
  Studer, 1906
Canis intermedius (or intermediate)
  Allen, 1920
Gehl, 1930
Revilliod, 1926
Studer, 1906
Canis le mirei
  Hue, 1906a
Canis lupaster
  Brinkmann, 1921
  Lorenz, 1955
  Scott, 1954
Canis lupus
  Brinkmann, 1921
  Lorenz, 1955
  Scott, 1954
Canis matris optimaе
  Bate, 1937
  Studer, 1906
Canis miki
  Studer, 1906
Canis niger
  Keller, 1902
Canis pallepeе
  Brinkmann, 1921
  Gehl, 1930
  Noack, 1915b
  Prashad, 1936
  Van Giffen, 1914
Canis pallipes domesticus
  Noack, 1915b
Canis palustris
  Allen, 1920
  Brinkmann, 1923-24
  Gehl, 1930
  Hescheler, 1920
  Hescheler and Rüger, 1942
  Kuhn, 1935
  Noack, 1915b
  Pira, 1926
  F. Schwarz, 1918
  Van Giffen, 1929
Canis palustris ladogensis
  Brinkmann, 1923-24
  Degerbol, 1927
  Gehl, 1930
Canis pouflatini
  Baas, 1938
  Dürst, 1908
  Studer, 1906
Canis pouflatini transilvanicus
  Teodoreanu, 1926
Canis sinensis
  Keller, 1902
Canis tenggeranus
  Studer, 1901
Canis tenggeranus harappensis
  Prashad, 1936
Capra
  Gejvali, 1937-38
  Lawrence, 1951
  E. Schwarz, 1935
Capra aegagrus
  Adametz, 1915, 1928
  Amschler, 1929a, 1931
  Antonius, 1944
  Curwen, 1938
Capra falconeri
Adametz, 1932
Amschler, 1931
Dalimier, 1954
Hilzheimer, 1926, 1933
Koch, 1937
Philiptschenko, 1928

Capra falconeri jerdoni
Adametz, 1920

Capra girgentana
Amschler, 1937
Crawford, 1938

Capra hircus
Degerbol, 1939
Dürst, 1908
Hescheler and Rüger, 1942
Hilzheimer, 1933
Koch, 1937
Reverdin, 1921
Riedel, 1948
E. Schwarz, 1935
Vaufrey, 1951
Vogel, 1933
Wettstein, 1924

Capra hircus aegagrus
Dalimier, 1954
E. Schwarz, 1935

Capra ibex
E. Schwarz, 1935

Capra priscus
Adametz, 1915, 1928, 1932, 1941
Amschler, 1931, 1949
Antonius, 1944
Batu, 1939
Cardas, 1926
Crawford, 1938
Hilzheimer, 1926, 1933, 1941
Lebel, 1939
Patterson, 1937
Pia, 1942a
Pilgrim, 1947
E. Schwarz, 1935
Sickenberg, 1930
Teodoreanu, 1924
Vetulani, 1934

Equus
Mallowan, 1947

Equus abeli
Antonius, 1935b
E. Schwarz, 1928

Equus agilis
Dawkins and Jackson, 1917

Equus asiaticus africanus
Antonius, 1937

Equus caballus
Amschler, 1936
E. Schwarz, 1922, 1928

Equus caballus caballus
E. Schwarz, 1928

Equus caballus celticus
Ewart, 1904
Wettstein, 1924

Equus caballus fossilis
Dürst, 1908

Equus caballus fossilis germanicus
Nitsche, 1928

Equus caballus libycus
Ewart, 1907a, 1909
Ridgeway, 1905

Equus caballus nehringi
Dürst, 1908

Equus caballus orientalis
Amschler, 1949

Equus caballus plicidens
E. Schwarz, 1928

Equus caballus przewalskii
Bourdelle, 1932
Lydekker, 1912a

Equus caballus pumpellii
Dürst, 1908
Rumjancev, 1936
Vaufrey, 1939

Equus caballus robustus
Brinkmann, 1920
Dürst, 1908

Equus caballus typicus
Bole, 1910
Ewart, 1904
Lydekker, 1912a

Equus equifinus
Hilzheimer, 1935

Equus ferus
Antonius, 1922

Equus gemelini
Antonius, 1918, 1937
Hilzheimer, 1935

Equus gemelini silvatica
Vetulani, 1928

Equus gracilis libycus
Ewart, 1909

Equus hemionus
Bate, 1938, 1942
Bole, 1910
Hilzheimer, 1935

Equus hemionus hemippus
Antonius, 1937

Equus libycus
Chubb, 1913

Equus mosbachensis
Antonius, 1935b
E. Schwarz, 1928

Equus onager hemippus
Hilzheimer, 1941
Equus onager indicus
   Hermanns, 1952
Equus orientalis
   Antonius, 1922
Equus przewalskii
   Andreeva, 1933
   Antonius, 1918, 1937
   Bishop, 1939
   Bourdelle, 1938
   Ewart, 1904, 1907a
   Herre, 1939
   Kwaschnin, 1928
   Noack, 1909
   Rumjancev, 1936
Equus recticollis
   Cardoso, 1912
Equus robustus
   Antonius, 1922
   Ewart, 1907a, 1909
   E. Schwarz, 1928
Equus silvestris
   Antonius, 1937
Equus sivalensis
   Ewart, 1909, 1912
Equus scutonis
   Boule, 1910
   Ewart, 1912
   Lydekker, 1912a
Equus translaticanus
   Teodoreanu, 1926
Felis chaus
   Langton, 1940
   Morrison-Scott, 1952
Felis constantina
   Zeuner, 1950
Felis libyca bubastis
   Morrison-Scott, 1952
Felis manulata
   Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Felis manul
   Zeuner, 1950
Felis microbus
   Noack, 1909
Felis ocreata (or ocreata)
   Langton, 1910
   Lorenz, 1955
   Schwangart, 1928, 1931
Felis silvestris
   Hooijer, 1947
   Lorenz, 1955
   Schwangart, 1928, 1931
   Zeuner, 1950
Felis silvestris libyca
   Haltenorth, 1953
Hippidium
   Cardoso, 1912
Hircus mambricus
   Bate, 1938
   Dürst and Gaillard, 1902
   Gaillard, 1934
   Peet, 1914
Hircus reversus
   Gaillard, 1934
Ibex nubians
   Gaillard, 1912
Leptobos
   Bryner, 1932
   Llama guaniae
   Herre, 1952
Lupus occidentalis
   Keller, 1902
Muscula putorius exerimannii
   Ashton and Thompson, 1955
Muscula putorius furo
   Ashton and Thompson, 1955
Muscula putorius putorius
   Ashton and Thompson, 1955
Odocoileus
   Carruther, 1919
   Gejvali, 1937–38
   Lawrence, 1951
Ornictologus cuniculus
   Nachtsheim, 1929
Oryx
   Gaillard, 1912
Ootobos manul
   Schwangart, 1928
Ovis
   Curruthers, 1949
   Gejvali, 1937–38
   Lawrence, 1951
Ovis ammon
   Ewart, 1914
   Hilzheimer, 1936
   Pilgrim, 1947
Ovis ammon koslovi
   Philipstchenko, 1928
Ovis argali
   Cardas, 1926
Ovis aries
   Friederichs, 1933
Ovis aries catolica
   Lydekker, 1912c
Ovis aries longipes
   Lydekker, 1912c
Ovis aries musimon
   Amschler, 1949
   Lydekker, 1912c
Ovis aries palustris
   Adametz, 1937
   Bogaevsky, 1937
   Degerbol, 1939
   Dürst, 1904, 1908
   Ewart, 1913
   Kuhn, 1935
   Lydekker, 1912c
   Reitsma, 1932
   Riedel, 1918
F. Schwarz, 1918
Vogel, 1933

Ovis aries studeri
Dürst, 1904
Hescheler, 1920
Kuhn, 1932
Reitsma, 1932

Ovis canadensis
Lawrence, 1951

Ovis longipes
Dürst and Gaillard, 1902

Ovis longipes palaeoaegypticus
Dürst and Gaillard, 1902
Gaillard, 1934

Ovis musimon
Adametz, 1937
Antonius, 1944
Cardas, 1926
Pira, 1926
Schultze, 1934

Ovis orientalis
Carruthers, 1949
Ewart, 1913
Friederichs, 1933
Hilzheimer, 1926
Pilgrim, 1947

Ovis palaeoaegypticus
Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Peet, 1914

Ovis palustris
Revilliod, 1926

Ovis vignei
Adametz, 1937
Amschler, 1949
Antonius, 1944
Bishop, 1939
Carruthers, 1949
Ewart, 1913
Friederichs, 1933
Hilzheimer, 1926, 1936
Lydekker, 1912c
Pilgrim, 1947
Vaufrey, 1939
Vogel, 1933

Ovis vignei arkal
Adametz, 1927
Dürst, 1908
Menghin, 1931

Ovis vignei cycloeceros
Adametz, 1920

Ovis vignei domesticus
Prashad, 1936

Sus
Gejvali, 1937–38

Sus sp.
Bate, 1938
Hilzheimer, 1941
Vaufrey, 1951

Sus cristatus
Adlerberg, 1933
Prashad, 1936

Sus ferus europaeus
Cardas, 1926

Sus mediterraneus
Adlerberg, 1933
Belic, 1939
Hilzheimer, 1926
Reitsma, 1935
RitzofTy, 1932, 1933
Staffe, 1938
Ulmansky, 1914

Sus meridionalis
Belic, 1939

Sus orientalis
Adlerberg, 1933
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus orientalis continentalis
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus orientalis moupinensis
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus orientalis rudadeanus
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus palustris
Bogaevsky, 1937
Hescheler, 1920
Reverdin, 1921
Revilliod, 1926
Wettstein, 1924

Sus scrofa
Adlerberg, 1933
Amon, 1938
Belic, 1939
Hescheler, 1920
Reverdin, 1921
Revolod, 1926
Ulmansky, 1914

Sus scrofa attila
Amschler, 1939b
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus scrofa antiquus
Pira, 1909

Sus scrofa domesticus palustris
Reitsma, 1935

Sus scrofa domesticus tumulorum
Reitsma, 1935

Sus scrofa ferus
Amschler, 1949
Baümler, 1921
Clark, 1952
Nitsche, 1924
Vogel, 1933

Sus scrofa nigripes
Philipstchenko, 1933

Sus scrofa palustris
Clark, 1952
Degerbol, 1939
Gaillard, 1934
Havesson, 1933
Nitsche, 1924
Otto, 1901
Pira, 1909
Reitsma, 1935
Reverdin, 1930-31
Riedel, 1918
Sus scrofa scrofa
Kelm, 1938, 1939
Philipitschenko, 1933
Ritzofly, 1932
Sus scrofa vittatus
Kelm, 1939
Sus verrucosus
Amon, 1938
Reitsma, 1935
Sus vittatus
Adlerberg, 1933
Amon, 1938
Baümler, 1921
Belic, 1939
Bylin-Althin, 1946
Dürst, 1908
Hilzheimer, 1926
Otto, 1901
Philipitschenko, 1933
Reitsma, 1935
Staffe, 1938
Ulmansky, 1914
Vogel, 1933
Sus vittatus frontalis
Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936
Thos aureus
Matthey, 1954
Vicugna vicugna
Steinbacher, 1953
GENERAL INDEX

A, blood-group, sheep; Kaerckowski, 1928
Absence of:
  dog, Star Carr, England; Fraser and King, 1954
  northern Scotland, Neolithic; Watson, 1931
  horse, prehistoric northern Iraq; Braidwood, 1954
  primigene cattle, Celtic period, Switzerland; Gerbes, 1951
Adaptations to domestication; Weidenreich, 1925
Addax, Egypt; Gaillard, 1912
Adrenalin, role in socialization; Funkenstein, 1955
Aggressive animals; Funkenstein, 1955
Agricultural association with pigs; Newberry, 1928
Agricultural people and domestication; Linton, 1955
  and dromedary, Arabia; Mikesell, 1955
Agriculture:
  China; Bishop, 1933
  Denmark; Curwen, 1938
  preceding animal domestication; Bate, 1932
  world; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Allopatric races, pig; Kelm, 1939
Alpaca; Curwen and Hatt, 1953; Herre, 1952; Krieg, 1929; Steinbacher, 1953
Ammon, Ram of, Egypt; Pia, 1942b
Anatomy, general:
  cats; Zeuner, 1950
  cattle, East Indies; Sommerfeld, 1927
  horses; Rumjancev, 1936
  sheep, Soay; Schultzze, 1934
Anatomy, detailed:
  brachygnathy; Weidenreich, 1925
  brain-case, canids; Dahr, 1942
  craniometrical methods and indices, equids; Nitsche, 1924
  craniometry, cattle; Pia, 1941
  dentition; Herre, 1951
    dingo; Jones, 1921
    Equus transilvanicus; Teodoreanu, 1926
  horses; Boicoianu, 1932; E. Schwarz, 1922
  dwarfing, cattle; Szalay, 1930
  dwarfism; Weidenreich, 1925
  endocrine balance, domestic animals; Klatt, 1948
  pigs; Helm, 1938
  gonads, hyperfunction, rat; Richter, 1952
  horn-cores, goats; Bate, 1953; Teodoreanu, 1924; Zeuner, 1955
    keeled, Bos collieeros; Rostafinski, 1933
  hump, zebu, origin of; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942-45
  mandible; Herre, 1951
    dog; Hue, 1960b; Reverdin, 1927-28
  metapodials, of wild and domestic sheep; Andreeva, 1933
  osteology, animals in Switzerland; Vogel, 1933
  cattle; Degerbol, 1939; Hescheler and Rüger, 1942
  dogs; Dahr, 1937; Wagner, 1930
  goats; Hildebrand, 1955
  horse; Kwaschnin, 1931; Lundholm, 1949; Brinkmann, 1920; Cardoso, 1912
  Odocoileus; Hildebrand, 1955
  pig; Pia, 1909
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Anatomy (continued):

osteology:
  sheep: Hildebrand, 1955
  urus: Reynolds, 1939

osteometry, cattle: Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947

dogs: Haag, 1948
  equids: Bourdelle, 1932

pathological characters: Weidenreich, 1925

phalanges, cattle: Dottrens, 1946

precipitine reaction, cattle: Sasaki, 1934

serology, canids: Dürrst, 1945
  cattle: Merkens, 1929; Sasaki, 1934
  sheep: Kaerckowski, 1928

skeleton, cattle: Epstein, 1933

Don-Danube goat: Lebel, 1939
  goat: Sickenberg, 1930

growth, cattle: Hilzheimer, 1926

skulls, asses: Brinkmann, 1920

blood pressure, domestic animals: Hilzheimer, 1928

cats:
  Morison-Scott, 1952
  Noack, 1909
  Teodoreanu, 1929

developmental arrest; Hilzheimer, 1926

dingo:
  Jones, 1921

dogs:
  Lawrence, 1944; Degerbol, 1927; Noack, 1909; Studer, 1900

equids: Vethuani, 1928

growth, pig: Pia, 1942a

horse:
  Kwaschnin, 1928; Noack, 1909; Boicoianu, 1932; Nitsche, 1924
  pig: Otto, 1901; Philippchenko, 1933; Ritzoffy, 1932; Bäumler, 1921; Belic, 1939

variability, ferrets and polecats: Ashton and Thompson, 1955

statistical studies, cattle: Van Giffen, 1914

statistics, dogs: Van Giffen, 1929

teeth (see dentition)

Ancestry of cattle: Morse, 1912

Ancient domestic mammals; Keller, 1919

Anger, physiology of; Funkenstein, 1955

Animal behavior; Sljiper, 1948

Animal breeding, independent; Menghin, 1931

Animal representation: rock-drawings, figurines, paintings, seals, art, etc:

Africa, north, rock-drawings, horse and camel; Lhote, 1933
  northeast, representations of domesticants; Staffe, 1939

northeast, representations of camel; Uhden, 1929

northeast, rock-drawings of domesticants; Breuil and Kemal el Dine, 1928

Asia, southwestern, representations of domesticants; Friederichs, 1933

China; Sowerby, 1935

Crete; Slawkowski, 1940

Egypt, engravings, cattle; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1934

figurines, cattle; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902

figurines, sculptures, paintings, domesticants; Gaillard, 1912

representations of cats; Langton, 1940
  of dogs; Houbard, 1934
  of domesticants; Pia, 1941, 1942a, b; Schweinfurth, 1912
  of fauna; Boessneck, 1953
  of sheep; Thilenius, 1900

rock-drawings, dog and cattle; Winkler, 1938–39

Europe, representations of horse; Hermes, 1935–36
Animal representation (continued):
- France, cave-paintings, cattle; Koby, 1954
- representations of equids; Bourdelle, 1938; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946
- Indus Valley, seals, domesticants; Mackay, 1938
- Mesopotamia, images, domesticants; Heinrich, 1936
- representations of camel; Pohl, 1950–52
- of domesticants; Van Buren, 1939
- Near East, representations of cattle; Dürst, 1900
- Palaeoarctic, representations of dogs; Hilzheimer, 1932
- Sudan, rock-drawings, domesticants; Newbold, 1928
- Sumeria, sculpture of goat; Amschler, 1937
- Switzerland, representations of domesticants; Kramer, 1900
- Ukraine, figurines, cattle; Tackenberg, 1954
- figurines, domesticants; Melnyk, 1928
- representations of domesticants; Bogaeovsky, 1937
- Antelope, Beatrix, Egypt; Gaillard, 1912
- domestication of, Egypt; Zeuner, 1954
- Anti-A, sheep; Kaackowski, 1928
- Apis, steer of; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
- Archaeological sites (see Local place names)
- Arni buffalo; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45

Artifacts:
- Denmark; Mathiassen, 1944
- Mesopotamia, with water buffalo; Lloyd, 1910
- Artiodactyla, bones of, North America; Lawrence, 1951
- Aryans and origin of dog; Noack, 1915
- Assino-hemion, type of equid; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946
- Ass, domestic; Antonius, 1918; Bourdelle, 1932; Lydekker, 1912a
- Asia, central; Menghin, 1931
- China; Erkes, 1940
- Egypt; Hilzheimer, 1935
- Iraq; Lloyd and Safer, 1945
- Syria; Mallowan, 1947
- Ass, half-ass; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946
- Ass, wild:
  - Africa, northwestern; Lydekker, 1912a
  - Egypt; Gaillard, 1934
  - France; Boule, 1910; Bourdelle, 1938
  - Indus valley; Roy, 1946
  - Aurochs (see Ursus; also Reynolds, 1939)
- Autochthonous domestication, pig, Sweden; Pira, 1909

Baboons; Funkenstein, 1955
Banteng; Adametz, 1933; Ewart, 1912; Keller, 1902; Melnyk, 1927
- East Indies; Merrens, 1929; Sommerfeld, 1927
- Indo-China; Vittor, 1933
Battleg-ax; Childe, 1941
Battleg-ax people, Europe, eastern; Clark, 1941
Beatrix antelope, Egypt; Gaillard, 1912
Beaver, giant; Galbreath, 1947
Bees, Central America; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Behavior, dog and wolf; Scott, 1954
Bergen Museum, dogs in; Brinkmann, 1921
Bibliography of camel; Hilzheimer, 1913
- of cat; Hilzheimer, 1913
- of dog, 19th century; Studer, 1900
- of domestic animals, Near East; Dyson, 1953
- of fauna of ancient Egypt; Boessneck, 1953
- of horse; Lundholm, 1949
- of reindeer; Jettmar, 1952; Hatt, 1919
Bibovine group; Leister, 1943
Biocoenosis, natural; Hediger, 1938
Biogeographical conditions, glacial; Lundholm, 1949
Biological inferiority and domestication; Hediger, 1938
Birds, domestic; Reinhardt, 1912; Wilckens, 1905—
orign of; Pyeraft, 1938
Blood-groups, sheep; Kaackowski, 1928
Bones, identification; Lawrence, 1941
individual variation; Hildebrand, 1955
Bovidae, evolution; Pilgrim, 1947
Bovids, wild; Antonius, 1919
—volution of; Morse, 1912
Indo-China; Vittor, 1933
Bovinae, evolution; Kolesnik, 1936
inter-relationships among; Merkens, 1929
Breeds of domestic animals; Mason, 1951
Bridoon-bit; Hermes, 1936
Buffalo, African, in Egypt; Guillard, 1933
water; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942-45
China; Bishop, 1939
East Indies; Merkens, 1929
Formosa; Sasaki, 1931
Iraq; Lloyd, 1940
Philippines; von Führer-Haimendorf, 1932
Camel and/or dromedary; Forbes, 1955; Hilzheimer, 1913
Africa, north; Lhote, 1933; Staffe, 1939, 1940
northeast; Robinson, 1936
Arabia; Walz, 1951
Asia; Robinson, 1936
central; Menghin, 1931; Walz, 1954
China; Erkes, 1940; Schaefer, 1950
Egypt; Caton-Thompson, 1934; Free, 1954; George, 1950
Mesopotamia; Pohl, 1950-52
Near East; Albright, 1940; Mikesell, 1955
Palestine; Isserlin, 1950
Sahara; Newbold, 1928
Camel-hair, Egypt, early Dynastic; Caton-Thompson, 1934
Camelidae, South America; Herre, 1952; Latcham, 1924; Steinbacher, 1953
Canids, wild, North America; Haag, 1948
Captivity, effects on skull; Noack, 1907
Carabao (see Buffalo, water)
Caravans, desert travel by; Forbes, 1955
Carbon-14 determinations, Iran; Ralph, 1955
Cart-horse, Europe, west; Rumjancev, 1936
Cat; Haltenorth, 1953; Hilzheimer, 1913; Lorenz, 1955; Schwangart, 1928, 1931;
Zeuner, 1950
adrenal physiology; Funkenstein, 1955
Anatolia; Gejvali, 1938-39
Asia, central; Noack, 1909
Denmark; Curwen, 1938
Egypt; Brunton and Caton-Thompson, 1928; Langton, 1940; Morrison-Scott, 1932
Holland; Hooijer, 1947
Cattle-breeders, Ukraine; Hancar, 1951
Cattle, breeds and/or types; Lydekker, 1912b
Aberdeen-Angus; Ewart, 1912; Sasaki, 1934
Afrikaner; Epstein, 1933
Albanese; Chlebaroff, 1929-30
Bechuana; Epstein, 1933
brachycephalid; Adametz, 1925
brachyceros, Egypt; Bisschop 1937
brachyceros-type; Adametz, 1933
British white; Whitehead, 1953
Cadrow; Ewart, 1912
Cattle, breeds and/or types (continued):
Celtic shorthorn; Child, 1940; Ewart, 1912; Mond and Myers, 1934; Reynolds, 1939
Damara; Epstein, 1933
dun-pollled; Wilson, 1909
Fjällras; Whitehead, 1953
Friesian-Dutch; Merkens, 1929
Galloway; Ewart, 1912
Hamitic longhorn; Epstein, 1933
Holstein-Friesian; Sasaki, 1934
horned red; Wilson, 1909
Hottentot; Epstein, 1933
Hungarian Grey Steepe; Pia, 1941
identification of; Bronholm and Rasmussen, 1931
Ilyrian; Chlebaroff, 1929–30
Japanese native; Sasaki, 1934
Java-Madurese; Merkens, 1929; Sommerfeld, 1927
Jersey; Merkens, 1929
Kalmuck; Kolesnik, 1936; Nauck, 1909
Kirghiz; Kolesnik, 1936
Korean, south; Sasaki, 1934
longhorn, Hamitic; Bisschop, 1937; Epstein, 1933
long-horned; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1934; Curwen, 1938; Dürst, 1900;
Newbold, 1928; Schweinfurth, 1912; Pia, 1941
longhorned white; Whitehead, 1953; Wilson, 1909
Macedonian; Chlebaroff, 1929–30
Madurese; Sommerfeld, 1929
Mongol; Kolesnik, 1936
Montagne; Cardas, 1926
Montenegrin; Chlebaroff, 1929–30
oriental; Ewart, 1912
pollled; Auld, 1927
pollled white; Whitehead, 1953
Rhodope; Chlebaroff, 1929–30
Sanga; Bisschop, 1937
short horned; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1934; Curwen, 1938; Epstein, 1933;
Schweinfurth, 1912; Slawkowski, 1933; Merkens, 1929
Watusi; Epstein, 1933
wild white; Whitehead, 1953
Yakut; Kolesnik, 1936
Zulu; Epstein, 1933
Cattle, domestic and/or possibly domestic; Antonius, 1919; Dürst, 1900; Ewart, 1912; Hahn, 1909; Hilzheimer, 1927; Koby, 1954; Kolesnik, 1936; Leister, 1943; von Lengerken, 1953, 1955; Lydekker, 1912b; Morse, 1912; Pilgrim, 1947;
Sauer, 1952; Szalay, 1930
Africa; Bisschop, 1937
south; Epstein, 1933
Anatolia; Slawkowski, 1933
Balkans; Chlebaroff, 1929–30
British Isles; Reynolds, 1939; Whitehead, 1953; Wilson, 1909
Bukhara; Adamez, 1936
Denmark; Bronholm and Rasmussen, 1931; Mathiassen, 1944
East Indies; Merkens, 1929; Sommerfeld, 1927
Egypt; Adamez, 1920; Winkler, 1938–39
Egypt, southwest; Shaw, 1936
Eurasia; Bryner, 1932
Europe; Clark, 1947; Jackson, 1932
Europe, northern; Herre, 1949
France; Adamez, 1925
Holland; Van Giften, 1914
Indo-China; Vittor, 1933
Indus Valley; Sewell and Guhr, 1931
Iran; Amschler, 1939a, b
Cattle, domestic and/or possibly domestic (continued):
Iraq; Lloyd and Saber, 1915
Mesopotamia; Heinrich, 1936
Moravia; Mohapl, 1914
Pakistan; Mackay, 1938
Palestine; Vaufrey, 1951
Spain; Adametz, 1925; Staffe, 1943
Sudan; Newbold, 1928
Switzerland; Dottrens, 1946; Gerbes, 1951; Revilliod and Dottrens, 1917
Turkestan, western; Menghin, 1931
Ukraine; Melnyk, 1927

Cattle, wild:

Egypt; Gaillard, 1934
Eurasia; Bryner, 1932
France; Koby, 1954
Palestine; Bate, 1932, 1942

Chariot-horses; Mallowan, 1936

Cheese from deer milk, South Carolina; Swanton, 1940

Chicken (see Fowl, jungle)

Chromosome number, canids; Matthey, 1954

Chronology of domestication (see Sequence of domestication)

Civilization, general; Hehn, 1902
Africa, north; Lhote, 1953

Indo-European; Nehring, 1936

Civilization and cattle; von Lengerken, 1953
and domestication; Stegmann von Pritzwald, 1924; Weidenreich, 1925
Civilizations, independent; Menghin, 1931
Classification of animals, Sumeria; Oppenheimer and Hartmann, 1945
Climatic change, Sudan; Bate, 1953
and pig distribution; Amon, 1938
and tarpan distribution; Vetulani, 1928
Ukraine, Neolithic; Tackenberg, 1954

Color similarities, banteng and brachyceros cattle; Adametz, 1933
Commensalism, wolf and man; Scott, 1954

Convergent evolution; Cabrera, 1932
Co-operative social life, wolves; Scott, 1954
Co-variation, length and breadth of brain-case, canids; Dahr, 1942
Coyote; Dahr, 1937; Galbreath, 1947
Cross section of horn of goat; Koch, 1937
Cult-animal of Set, Egypt; Newberry, 1928
Cult-association, oxen; Hahn, 1909
Cultivated plants; Mangelsdorf, 1952
Cultivation of plants, Africa, and goats; Kroll, 1928
Cultural background, domestication; Keller, 1902

Joans, China; Bishop, 1939

Culture:

Amratian, Egypt, cattle figurines; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
domestic animals; Jackson, 1937
fauna; Peet, 1914
Anau, Turkestan, SSR, domestic animals; Menghin, 1931
Andronovo, northern Siberia, domestic animals; Jettmar, 1950
Badarian, Egypt, fauna; Branton and Caton-Thompson, 1928
comb-ceramic, northeastern Europe, domestic animals; Gendert, 1930
Eribele, Denmark, domestic animals; Madsen, 1900
Halafian, Syria, domestic animals; Mallowan, 1916
Karasuk, northern Siberia, domestic animals; Jettmar, 1950
Maglemosian, England, fauna; Fraser and King, 1954
Minussinsk, Siberia, origin of horse; Amschler, 1934
Mullerup, Denmark, domestic animals; Curwen, 1938
Natufian, Palestine, dog; Bate, 1937
fauna; Bate, 1932, 1937; Vaufrey, 1951
Protoeskimoid, arctic Siberia, dog; Flor, 1930
Protosamojed, arctic Siberia, reindeer; Flor, 1930
Culture (continued):
Shang, northern China, fauna; Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936
Tripolje, Ukraine, domestic animals; Bogoevsky, 1937; Hancar, 1951
fauna; Gromova, 1927; Tackenberg, 1954
Uruk, Iraq, water buffalo; Lloyd, 1940
Yarmukian, Palestine, domestic animals; Stekelis, 1950
Culture, swine-breeding, southern Asia and Europe; Menghin, 1931
adapted to reindeer behavior; Zeuner, 1954

Dairy purposes and origin of domestication; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Dawn of domestication, Palestine; Josien, 1955
Deer, domestic, South Carolina; Swanton, 1940
Defective mutations, dogs; Krieg, 1929
Degree of domestication, pigs; Reitsma, 1935
Desert travel by animals; Forbes, 1955
Desert valleys, culture of, Egypt; Winkler, 1938–39
Dingo (see Dog, breeds, dingo).
Diphylectic ancestry, horse; Chubb, 1913
Diphylectic origin of cattle, Europe; Merkens, 1929; Morse, 1912
of dog; Noack, 1907
of goats; Keller, 1902
of horses; Keller, 1902
of pig; Belic, 1939
Dispersal, routes of; Sauer, 1952
Docility, selection for; Richter, 1952; Scott, 1954
Dog, breeds and/or types; Ash, 1927; Hilzheimer, 1932
African, ancient; Kroll, 1928
Aguara; Hummerlink, 1928
alco; Hummerlink, 1928
Arctic; Van Giffen, 1929
basket maker; Lawrence, 1944
borzoi; Brinkmann, 1921
Brazilian roe-dog; Hummerlink, 1928
Cimbric-Megalithic; Van Giffen, 1929
deerhound; Brinkmann, 1923–24; Studer, 1901, 1906
dingo; Baas, 1938; Dahr, 1937, 1942; Durst, 1943; Etheridge, 1916; Jones, 1921;
Noack, 1907; Studer, 1901
Russia; Studer, 1906
Egyptian; Houbard, 1934
Eskimo; Allen, 1920
Finnish; Brinkmann, 1923–24
gray deerhound; Brinkmann, 1923–24
Great Dane-Newfoundland-St. Bernard; Hooijer, 1947
greyhound; Brinkmann, 1921; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09; Studer, 1901
Africa; Kroll, 1928
Egypt; Schweinfurth, 1912
Indian; Prakash, 1936
North African; Petters, 1934
husky; Haag, 1948
Kaffir; Petters, 1934
Kalmuck; Noack, 1909
mastiff, Pakistan; Mackay, 1938
mastiffs; Studer, 1906, 1907
Mexican pug; Hummerlink, 1928
“pilusris-svardborgensis;” Degerbol, 1927
pariah; Amschler, 1939b; Durst, 1945; Noack, 1907; Peet, 1914; Studer, 1901
Egypt; Schweinfurth, 1912
peat-dog; Brinkmann, 1923–24
Sweden; Pira, 1926
Switzerland; Reverdin, 1927–28
pug, Mexican; Hummerlink, 1928
roe-dog, Brazilian; Hummerlink, 1928

Dog, breeds and/or types (continued):

shepherd; Noack, 1915b; Studer, 1906
short-nosed; Lawrence, 1944

Tibetan mastiffs; Studer, 1901
turbary; Brinkmann, 1923–24; Gandert, 1930

Dog, domestic and/or possibly domestic; Ash, 1927; Cabrera, 1932; Hilzheimer, 1932; Linton, 1955; Lorenz, 1955; Matthey, 1954; Scott, 1954; Studer, 1901; Wagner, 1930

Africa, north; Noack, 1907
China; Bishop, 1933, 1939; Liang, 1934
Denmark; Degerbol, 1927, 1933; Johansen, 1919
Egypt; Adametz, 1920; Hilzheimer, 1908; Winkler, 1938–39
England; Fraser and King, 1954
Eurasia; Dahr, 1942
Eurasia, southern; Menghin, 1931
Europe; Dahr, 1937; Hue, 1906a; Van Giffen, 1929

northeastern; Gandert, 1930
Finland; Luho, 1948
France; Hue, 1906b; Pequart, 1937
Germany; Baa, 1938; Gehl, 1930

Holland; Hootje, 1947
Illinois; Galbreath, 1947
Iran; Bate, 1937
North America; Allen, 1920; Haag, 1948
Palestine; Bate, 1932, 1937, 1942; Zeuner, 1955
Russia; Studer, 1906
Scandinavia; Brinkmann, 1921, 1923–24

Siberia; Flor, 1930
South America; Krieg, 1929
Sudan; Bate, 1949, 1953
Switzerland; Brinkmann, 1923–24

West Indies; Hummerlink, 1928

Dog head, mummified, Peru; Noack, 1915a

Dog, wild, Australia (see Dingo)

Dogs, semi-domesticated, Grand Chaco; Krieg, 1929

used as food; Gandert, 1930; Lawrence, 1944; Reverdin, 1927–28

Domestic animals, surveys of:


Regional:

Africa, east; Kroll, 1928

north; Staffe, 1939
south; Kroll, 1928

Anatolia; Gejvali, 1937–38; Patterson, 1937
Arabia; Rathjens, 1955
Asia, central; Hermanns, 1949

southwest; Friederichs, 1933; von Fürer-Haimendorf, 1955

Austria; Amschler, 1939c

British Isles; Childe, 1940; Dawkins and Jackson, 1917
Central America; Lateham, 1924

China; Sowerby, 1935

north; Anderson, 1943; Bylin-Althin, 1946; Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936

Crete; Slawkowski, 1940

Denmark; Curwen, 1938; Degerbol, 1939; Madsen, 1900; Winge, 1904, 1919
Domestic animals, surveys of (continued):

Regional:
- Egypt: Adametz, 1920; Boessneck, 1953; Brunton and Caton-Thompson, 1934; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1948; Gaillard, 1912, 1934; Jackson, 1937; Kuschel, 1911; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09; Menghin, 1933; Menghin and Amar, 1932; Peet, 1914; Schweinfurth, 1912
- Eurasia: Meissner, 1926; Nehring, 1936
- Europe: Clark, 1952
- France: Reverdin, 1930–31; Revilliod, 1926
- Holland: Van Giffen, 1914, 1929
- India: Hermanns, 1952; Randhawa, 1946; Sankalia and Karve, 1949
- Indus Valley: Sewell and Guhr, 1931
- Iran: Amschler, 1939a, b; Coon, 1951, 1952; Vaufrey, 1939
- Iraq: Braidwood, 1952, 1954; Braidwood and Braidwood, 1950
- Italy: Riedel, 1948, 1951
- Libyan Desert: Breuil and el Dine, 1928
- Mesopotamia: Hilzheimer, 1941; Oppenheim and Hartmann, 1945; Van Buren, 1939; Woolley, 1934
- Near East: Dyson, 1953
- Norway: Brøgger, 1940
- Palestine: Josien, 1955; Stekelis, 1950
- Poland: Zuroski, 1930
- Roumania: Cardas, 1926
- Scotland: Watson, 1931
- Siberia, northern: Jettmar, 1950
- South America: Latcham, 1924
- Sweden: Pira, 1926
- Switzerland: Hescheler, 1920; Hescheler and Rüger, 1939, 1940, 1942; Kramer, 1900; Kuhn, 1932, 1935; Pittard and Reverdin, 1921; Reverdin, 1921, 1928; Rüger, 1942; F. Schwarz, 1932; Vogel, 1933
- Syria: Mallowan, 1946, 1947
- Turkestan, southwestern: Dürst, 1908
- Ukraine: Bogaevsky, 1937; Gromova, 1927; Hancar, 1951; Melnyk, 1928; Tackenberg, 1954

Domestication, dawn of, Palestine; Josien, 1955
definition of; Dobzhansky, 1955
determination of, by goat horn-cores; Zeuner, 1955

Domestication, effects of; Antonius, 1922; Feige, 1928; Herre, 1951, 1955; Hilzheimer, 1926; Klatt, 1927; Kronacher, 1928; Lundholm, 1949; Weidenreich, 1925; Zeuner, 1954
dog, behavior; Scott, 1954
goat; Dalmiter, 1934
pig; Pira, 1909
cranial; Bäumler, 1921

Domestication, mode of origin; Keller, 1919, 1922; Klatt, 1927; Kronacher, 1928; Lundholm, 1949; Weidenreich, 1925
agricultural people; Linton, 1955
behavior of domesticable animals; Wissler, 1945
decoy-use in hunting; Sirelius, 1916–20; Zeuner, 1954
economic use of young; Clark, 1948
hunters; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
hunting tribes, importance of; Clark, 1948
nomadism, importance of; W. Schmidt, 1951
pet-keeping; Linton, 1955; Zeuner, 1954
psychological factors; Hediger, 1938
reindeer, herding of; Linton, 1955
influence of; Jettmar, 1952
on horse-breeding; Werth, 1940; Wiklund, 1918
religious; Meissner, 1926; Roy, 1916; Sauer, 1952; Staffe, 1939
with cattle; Hahn, 1909
scavenging, in dog; Haag, 1948
Domestication (continued):
selection, artificial, after taming; Nachtsheim, 1938
social factors, man and animals; Zeuner, 1954
symbiosis, natural; Zeuner, 1954
taming, followed by selection; Nachtsheim, 1938
Domestication, origins of; Meissner, 1926
Domestication, site of; Feige, 1927, 1928
Geographical:
Abyssinia; Shaw, 1936
Anatolia; Shawkowski, 1933
Asia, southeastern; Linton, 1955; Sauer, 1952
Asia, southwestern; von Führer-Haimendorf, 1955; Linton, 1955; Sauer, 1952
Egypt; Linton, 1955
Orient; Hehn, 1902
South America; Sauer, 1952
sub-Arctic; Koppers, 1932
Turkestan, western; Hermanns, 1949
Domestication of various animals:
alpaca, Peru; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
ass, Africa, eastern; Rathjens, 1955
Ethiopia; Werth, 1940
Mediterranean area, eastern; Lydekker, 1912a
banteng, water, India; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942-45
camel, central; Forbes, 1955; Randhawa, 1946; Walz, 1954
Mongolia; Linton, 1955
cat, Africa, north; Noack, 1909
Egypt; Zeuner, 1950
cattle, central; Sauer, 1952
Asia, southwestern; Kolesnik, 1936
Bactria and periphery; Hermanns, 1952
Europe, north; Herre, 1949
India; Dürst, 1900; Werth, 1940; Kolesnik, 1936
dog, Egypt; Hilzheimer, 1908
Russia; Studer, 1906
Siberia, northern; Flor, 1930
domesticants, earliest, Near East; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
dromedary, Africa, north; Randhawa, 1946
Africa, northeastern; Rathjens, 1955
Arabia; Albright, 1950; Forbes, 1955; Mikesell, 1955; Walz, 1951
fowl, jungle, central; Linton, 1955
goose, central; Linton, 1955
“herd animals,” central; Sauer, 1952
South America; Sauer, 1952
horse, Africa; Ridgeway, 1905; Sommerfeld, 1927
Asia; Flor, 1930; Linton, 1955; Werth, 1940; Jackson, 1932
China; Erkes, 1940
Eurasia, north; von Führer-Haimendorf, 1955
Europe, eastern; Clark, 1941
Iran; Hehn, 1902
Oriental, Africa, north; Chubb, 1913
Russia, southern; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Scandinavia; Jackson, 1932
sub-Arctic; Koppers, 1932
“household animals” central; Sauer, 1952
llama, Peru; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
pig, central; Linton, 1955
pig, Tschuwasian breed, Tien-Shan; Havesson, 1933
rabbit, Spain; Nachtsheim, 1929
reindeer, central; Laufer, 1917
Siberia, northern; Flor, 1930
sub-Arctic; Koppers, 1932
Domestication of various animals (continued):

sheep, Africa, north; Thilenius, 1906
Asia, central; Philiptschenko, 1928
Turkestan; Randhawa, 1946
turkey, Central America; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Donkey (see Ass, domestic)
Dorcas gazelle, Egypt; Gailard, 1912
Draft animal; Menghin, 1931; Werth, 1940
dog used as; Luho, 1948
reindeer used as; Sirelius, 1916-20
Draft horses, European; Chubb, 1913
northeastern Syria; Mallowan, 1936
Drag-sledge, use with reindeer, Finland; Sirelius, 1916-20
Drift, genetic; Mangelsdorf, 1952
Dromedary (see Camel)
Dromedary, wild, extinction of; Mikesell, 1955
North Africa; Mikesell, 1955
Dry environment and domestication; Linton, 1955
Dwarf dog; Brinkmann, 1923-24
Switzerland; Vogel, 1933
Dwarf goats; Dalmier, 1954
Egypt; Bate, 1953; Pla, 1942a
Sudan; Bate, 1949, 1953
Dwarf pig; Clark, 1952
Dwarfed horses, Bohemia; Nitsche, 1924
Dwarfed hound, Neolithic; Studer, 1906
Dwarfining in cattle; Szalay, 1930

Ecologic factors, pigmentation; Feige, 1927
Ecological subspecies, cats, wild; Zeuner, 1950
Economic areas, domestication; Feige, 1928
Elephant, China, north; Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936
Elephant-buffalo culture, India; Randhawa, 1946
Environment, arid, and domestication; Linton, 1955; Klatt, 1948
differences in, and variation in animals; Reitsma, 1935
effect of; Weidenreich, 1925
original, of domestic animals; Feige, 1927
of primitive domestication; Szalay, 1930
Equid, wild, Europe; Boule, 1910
Palestine; Bate, 1942
Equids, distribution; Antonius, 1937
Ethnological data, horse; Hancar, 1952
Ethnology and domestication; von Furer-Haimendorf, 1955
of cattle; Hahn, 1909
Evolution; Slijper, 1941
of Bovidae; Morse, 1912; Pilgrim, 1947
of Bosvinae; Kolesnik, 1936
convergent; Cabrera, 1932
of domestic animals; Kronacher, 1928; Reinhardt, 1912; Rice, 1942
under domestication; Mangelsdorf, 1952
of man; Rice, 1942
natural; Mangelsdorf, 1952
parallel; Herre, 1952
Evolutionary factors in domestication; Klatt, 1927, 1948
Extermination of urus; Lydekker, 1912b
Extinction of wild dromedary; Mikesell, 1955

Farmers, Ukraine; Hancar, 1951
Farming, Europe; Clark, 1952
mixed, Syria; Mallowan, 1946
Fauna, wild, China; Liang, 1934
Fear, physiology of; Funkenstein, 1955
Feral canids; Noack, 1907
Feral cattle, British forests; Whitehead, 1953
  British Isles; Wilson, 1909
Feral dog (dingo), Australia; Jones, 1921
Feral horse, Russia; Kwaschnin, 1931
Feral pigs of Set, Egypt; Newberry, 1928
Ferret, domestic; Ashton and Thompson, 1955
Figurines, cattle, Egypt; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
  domestic animals, Indus Valley; Mackay, 1938; Melnyk, 1928
  horse; Mallowan, 1936
  pigs, Egypt; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
First known appearance, dating, etc.:
  cat, northern Europe; Hooijer, 1947
  dog, Denmark; Degerbol, 1927
  Germany; Baas, 1938
  large type, northern Europe; Hooijer, 1947
  Iran; Ralph, 1955
  domestic animals, Denmark; Curwen, 1938
  dromedary, Assyria; Mikesell, 1955
  goat, Iran; Ralph, 1955
  horse, southwestern Asia; Smith, 1928
  sheep, Iran; Ralph, 1955
Fish, domestic; Reinhard, 1912; Wilckens, 1905
Fishing peoples as first domesticators; Sauer, 1952
Flight for survival; Funkenstein, 1955
Flora, Europe; Clark, 1952
Food, dogs used as; Gandert, 1930; Lawrence, 1944; Reverdin, 1927-28
  gifts, mortuary; Jettmar, 1950
Forest, Europe; Clark, 1947
Forest tarpan, Russia; Vetulani, 1928
Fort, Roman, Scotland; Ewart, 1907a
Fowl, jungle (poultry, chickens); Adametz, 1925
  Asia, southeastern; Linton, 1955
  China; Bishop, 1939
  Denmark; Curwen, 1938
Freedom, avoidance of; Zeuner, 1954
Garden tilling; Coon, 1954
Gaur; Ewart, 1912
  Indo-China; Vittor, 1933
Gayal, India; Hermanns, 1952
Gazelle, dorcas; Gaillard, 1912
Gazelles, domestication of, Egypt; Zeuner, 1954
Genealogical table, horses, Ur; Amschler, 1935
Geographic distribution of Bovinae; Kolesnik, 1936
  of domestic animals; Feige, 1927, 1928
  of wild equids; Antonius, 1937
Genetic characters, independent occurrence of; Nachtsheim, 1936
Genetic dominant, white, in cattle; Whitehead, 1953
Genetic drift; Mangelsdorf, 1952
Genetics, polled cattle; Auld, 1927
  rabbit; Nachtsheim, 1929, 1936
Germanic invasions and British cattle; Whitehead, 1953
Ghosts, chickens guard against; Linton, 1955
Giant beaver; Galbreath, 1947
Goats, breeds and/or types:
  Anatolian; Vetulani, 1934
  Angora; Adametz, 1941; Batu, 1939
  Angora, Anatolia; Vetulani, 1934
  Asia, southwest; Zeuner, 1955
  big-horned; Kramer, 1900
  Don-Danube; Lebel, 1939
  Girgentinian goat; Adametz, 1932, 1941
Goats, breeds and/or types (continued):
Kirghiz; Hilzheimer, 1926; Philiptschenko, 1928
Mambr; Gaillard, 1934; Mallowan, 1946, 1947
Pinzgauer; Sickenberg, 1930
screw-horned (see spiral-horned)
spiral-horned; Antonius, 1944; Bate, 1940; Koch, 1937; Mallowan, 1946, 1947;
E. Schwarz, 1935
straight-horned, Asia, southwest; Zeuner, 1955
twisted-horned (see spiral-horned)

Goats, domestic and/or possibly domestic; Admetz, 1928; Dalimier, 1954; Hilzheimer, 1933; E. Schwarz, 1935
Altai; Amschler, 1931
Anatolia; Vetulani, 1934
Asia; Batu, 1939
Caucasus; Amschler, 1929a
Egypt; Admetz, 1920; Pia, 1942a; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
Europe; Admetz, 1915
Europe, central and southeastern; Teodoreanu, 1924
Iraq; Lloyd and Safer, 1945
Kashmir; Dalimier, 1954
Mesopotamia; Heinrich, 1936
Palestine; Vaufrey, 1951; Zeuner, 1934
Poland; Admetz, 1915
Sicily; Admetz, 1932
Sudan; Bate, 1949, 1953
Sumeria; Amschler, 1937
Tibet; Dalimier, 1954
Turkestan, western; Menghin, 1931
Goats, wild; E. Schwarz, 1935
bezoar; Amschler, 1929a; Bate, 1942 (possibly ibex); Dalimier, 1954; Pilgrim, 1947; Vetulani, 1934
markhor; Dalimier, 1954; Koch, 1937; Philiptschenko, 1928; Pilgrim, 1947
Gods, offerings for; Meissner, 1926
Golden Fleece, history of Merino sheep; Burns and Moody, 1935
Goose; Clark, 1948
domestication of; Clark, 1948
Grain-culture, decline of, in Ukraine; Tackenberg, 1954
Grave-goods, figurines, cattle, Egypt; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
Graves, Amratian, animal figurines; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Ground sloth; Galbreath, 1947
Group A and Group O, sheep; Kaerkowski, 1928
Guanaco; Herre, 1952; Steinbacher, 1953
Guinea-pig; Krieg, 1929; Latcham, 1924
Hair, rabbit, genetics of; Nachtsheim, 1929
Half-ass (see Onager)
Halters, cattle, Libyan Desert; Shaw, 1936
Hand-sledges; Luho, 1948
Hare (see Rabbit)
Har. Ra-Hubullu tablet, Mesopotamian animals; Oppenheim and Hartmann, 1945
Hatshepsüt, Queen; Chard, 1937
Herders, reindeer; Linton, 1955
Herdsmen, mountain, with cattle, Egypt; Winkler, 1938–39
Syria; Mallowan, 1946
Herdsmen-cultures, Tibet; Hermanns, 1949
Heredity, domestic animals; Herre, 1952
Heteronymous horns, goats; Dalimier, 1954
Heteronymous twist, horn of goat; Koch, 1937
History and domestication; Stegmann von Pritzwald, 1924
History of domestication, 19th century; Keller, 1902
GENERAL INDEX

Hoe-agriculture; Menghin, 1931
Homonymous twist, horn of goat; Koch, 1937
Horse-breeding, Bactria; Hanear, 1962
Europe; Hermes, 1935-36
literary evidence of; Hrozny, 1931
Horse, breeds and/or type; Lydekker, 1912a
Arabian; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Chard, 1937; Chubb, 1913; Ewart, 1907a;
Kwaschnin, 1928; E. Schwarz, 1922; Simpson, 1951
Barb; E. Schwarz, 1922; Simpson, 1935
Belgian; Boicoianu, 1932
Camargue; Bourdelle, 1938; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946
Celtic; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Ewart, 1904, 1907a
Clepper; Rumjancev, 1936
cold-blooded; Antonius, 1935a, b; E. Schwarz, 1922
criollo; Cardoso, 1912; Solanet, 1930
eastern; E. Schwarz, 1922; Lundholm, 1949; Rumjancev, 1936
Finnish; Rumjancev, 1936
forest type; Ewart, 1907a, b, 1912
Hutzel; Cardas, 1926
Indo-European; Antonius, 1935a
Kalmuck; Noack, 1909
Kladrub; Antonius, 1935b; Nitsche, 1924
Libyan; Ewart, 1907a; Ridgeway, 1905
Lithuanian; Kwaschnin, 1928, 1931
Lithuanian-Polish-Estonian group; Kwaschnin, 1931
Lofoten; Brinkmann, 1920
Moldavian; Cardas, 1926
Mongolian; Antonius, 1918
Nordic; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Lundholm, 1949
Norse; Ewart, 1904, 1907a
Norseman’s; Chubb, 1913
northern group; Rumjancev, 1936
Norwegian Lofoten; Brinkmann, 1920
Occidental; Antonius, 1918; Boicoianu, 1932; Hilzheimer, 1935; Kwaschnin, 1931;
Nitsche, 1924; Winge, 1919
Oriental; Antonius, 1935a; Chubb, 1913; Ewart, 1907a; Hilzheimer, 1935;
Kwaschnin, 1928, 1931; Schwarz, 1922; Winge, 1919
Plateau variety; Ewart, 1907a, b
Polish country horse; Vetulani, 1928
Przewalski; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Cardas, 1926; Chubb, 1913; Ewart, 1907a;
Hilzheimer, 1935; Kwaschnin, 1931; Lundholm, 1949; Rumjancev, 1936;
E. Schwarz, 1928; Vetulani, 1928
Shetland; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Chubb, 1913
Shmudic; Kwaschnin, 1928
Siwalik; Ewart, 1909, 1912
“southern group”; Rumjancev, 1936
Spanish; Antonius, 1935b; Solanet, 1930
steppe; Yetts, 1934
steppe variety; Ewart, 1907a, b, 1912
Vyatka; Rumjancev, 1936
western group; Lundholm, 1949
tarpan; Amschler, 1933; Antonius, 1918, 1935a; Cardas, 1926; Curwen and
Hatt, 1933; Dobzhansky, 1955; Ewart, 1907a; Herre, 1939; Hilzheimer, 1935;
Kwaschnin, 1928, 1931; Lundholm, 1919; Lydekker, 1912a; Nitsche, 1924;
Rumjancev, 1936; E. Schwarz, 1922; Vetulani, 1928
Horse-cow culture, India; Randhawa, 1946
Horse, domestic and/or possibly domestic; Bourdelle, 1932; Ewart, 1904, 1907a, b,
1909, 1912; Herre, 1939; Hilzheimer, 1935; Krüger, 1939; Lundholm, 1949;
Lydekker, 1912a; Sauer, 1952; E. Schwarz, 1922, 1928; Simpson, 1936, 1951
Africa, north; Lhote, 1953; Ridgeway, 1905
Anatolia; Clark, 1941; Gejvali, 1938–39; Hrozny, 1931; Slawkowski, 1933
Asia; Flor, 1930
Horse, domestic and/or possibly domestic (continued):

Asia, central; Amschler, 1933; Menghin, 1931
Asia, southwest; Smith, 1928
Asia Minor (see Anatolia)
Bactria; Hancar, 1952
China; Erkes, 1940; Yetts, 1934
Egypt; Adametz, 1920; Chard, 1937
Eurasia; Amschler, 1934, 1936; Antonius, 1918; Jackson, 1932; Rumjancev, 1936
Europe; Hermes, 1935–36; Munro, 1902
east; Kwaschnin, 1931
India, northwestern; Piggot, 1950
Iran; Amschler, 1935; Childe, 1940
Mesopotamia; Childe, 1940; Ridgeway, 1905
Seythia; Amschler, 1934
Sumeria; Amschler, 1935

Horse, harness, riding, etc.
bridle; Hermes, 1936
chariots; Antonius, 1944; Childe, 1941
chariot wheels; Mallowan, 1936
harness; Hermes, 1936; Mallowan, 1936
riding; Antonius, 1944

Horse, wild; Herre, 1939; Lundholm, 1949; Lydekker, 1912a; E. Schwarz, 1922, 1928; Simpson, 1951
China; Erkes, 1940
Egypt; Gaillard, 1934
Eurasia; Dobzhansky, 1955
Europe; Brinkmann, 1920; Chubb, 1913
France; Reverdin, 1930–31
Palestine; Bate, 1932
Pyrenees; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946

Hunters with dogs, Egypt; Schweinfurth, 1912

Hybridization; Mangelsdorf, 1952
banteng and zebu; Merkens, 1929
Bos primigenius and B. namadicus; Mackay, 1938
cattle; Melnyk, 1927
dogs; Ash, 1927
gauer and cow; Hermanns, 1952
turbary sheep and mouflon; Dürst, 1904
wolf and dog; Brinkmann, 1923–24

Hyena, domestication of, Egypt; Zeuner, 1954

Ibex; E. Schwarz, 1935; Schweinfurth, 1912
Egypt; Gaillard, 1912
Identification of bones, Artiodactyla; Lawrence, 1944
Indians (American) and domestic deer; Swanton, 1940
Indices, mandibles, dogs; Reverdin, 1927–28
Indo-Europeans and origin of dog; Noack, 1915b
Inferiority, biological, and domestication; Hediger, 1938
Insects, domestic; Wilckens, 1905

Introduction and/or dispersal of:
buffalo, water, to Persia; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
into Philippines; von Fürer-Haimendorf, 1932
cat into Europe; Haltenorth, 1953
cattle, Auvergne breed, to France and England; Adametz, 1925
brachyceros, into Egypt; Bisschop, 1937
longhorn, out of Egypt; Bisschop, 1937
taurus, to India; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
dingo into Australia; Baas, 1938; Etheridge, 1916; Jones, 1921
dog, greyhound, into eastern Africa; Kroll, 1928
dog into North America; Haag, 1948
dogs, Eurasia; Noack, 1915b
into Europe; Allen, 1920
Introduction and/or dispersal of (continued):
doys, into Finland; Luho, 1948
into North America; Allen, 1920
domestic animals, into Africa; Adametz, 1920
into British Isles; Dawkins and Jackson, 1917
into Europe; Hehn, 1902
into southern Arabia; Rathjens, 1955
dromedary, North Africa; Mikesell, 1955
into eastern Africa; Staffe, 1940
goat, Angora, into Anatolia; Batu, 1939
goats, Asia, central; Amschler, 1931
horse, into Anatolia; Clark, 1941
into Egypt; Ridgeway, 1905
into Near East; Ridgeway, 1905
to historical peoples; Smith, 1928
pack and draft animals, Asia; Werth, 1940
to Lappp; Laufer, 1917
sheep, fat-rumped, to Bukhara; Adametz, 1927
yak, Asia, central; Amschler, 1932
zebu, into northwestern Africa; Bisschop, 1937
into Africa; Epstein, 1933
Invaders, mounted; Hancar, 1952
Invertebrates, domestic; Reinhardt, 1912
Irano-Sanskrit vocabulary and horse domestication; Smith, 1928
Italian white cattle, British Isles; Wilson, 1909
Jackal; Dahr, 1937, 1942; Degerbol, 1933b; Dürst, 1945; Keller, 1902; Matthey, 1954; Noack, 1907; Scott, 1954; Van Giffen, 1929
Africa, north; Hilzheimer, 1908
Egypt; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Palestine; Bate, 1937
Jungle and domestication; Linton, 1955
Jungle fowl (see Fowl, jungle)
Keel of horn, goat; Koch, 1937
Kikkuli text; Hrozný, 1931
“Kish” goat; Crawford, 1938
København Museum, dogs in; Brinkmann, 1921
sub-fossil materials in; Degerbol, 1933b
Linguistic evidence and domestication; Nehring, 1936
Linguistics, comparative; Hehn, 1902
Lion; Funkenstein, 1955
Literary evidence of first horse-breeding; Hrozný, 1931
Llama; Curwen and Hatt, 1953; Herre, 1952; Hilzheimer, 1913; Krieg, 1929; Steinbacher, 1953; Werth, 1940
Local place names, archaeological sites, etc.:
Abydos, Egypt, fauna; Peet, 1914
Agrigento, Sicily, spiral-horned goat; Adametz, 1932, 1941
Alishar Hüyük, Anatolia, domestic animals; Patterson, 1937
Alnoppe, Sweden, pigs; Pira, 1909
Alpenquai, Switzerland, domestic animals; Wettstein, 1924
Altai Mts., Siberia, goats; Amschler, 1931
horses; Amschler, 1933
Anau, Turkmen SSR, dog; Bate, 1932; Dürst, 1908; Van Giffen, 1929
fauna; Dürst, 1908
horse; Amschler, 1936; Rumjancev, 1936
Aneon, Peru, mummified head of Canis ingae; Noack, 1915a
Anneröd, Sweden, pigs; Pira, 1909
Anyany, northern China, fauna; Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936
Local place names, archaeological sites, etc. (continued):

Armant, Egypt, cattle; Mond and Myers, 1934
  domestic animals; Jackson, 1937
Ashmore, Illinois, U.S.A., dog; Galbreath, 1947
Asmar (see Tell Asmar)
Assuan (see Aswan)
Aswan, Egypt, animal representations; Schweinfurth, 1912
  rock-drawings; Winkler, 1938–39
Athlit caves, Palestine, fauna; Bate, 1932
Badari, Egypt, shorthorned cattle; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1934b
Baldeggi, Switzerland, domestic animals; Hescheler and Rüger, 1940
Balilî, (valley), Syria, domestic animals; Mallowan, 1946
Banahilk, Iraq, fauna; Braidwood, 1954
Beer-Sheba, Palestine, domestic animals; Josien, 1955
Belt Cave, Iran, Carbon-14 determinations; Ralph, 1955
  fauna; Coon, 1951
Berdktesgaden, Germany, dog; Studer, 1907
Bern, Switzerland, cattle; Gerbes, 1951
Bäsk, central Asia, domestic animals; Noack, 1909
Bir Abou Matar (see Beer-Sheba)
Bir Es-Safadi (see Beer-Sheba)
Bludenz, Austria, fauna; Amschler, 1939c
Bogaz Kóy, Anatolia, Kikkuli text found; Hrozny, 1931
Bokarn (Lake), Sweden, horses; Lundholm, 1949
Bologoie, Russia, Canis pseudalutina; Studer, 1906
  dog; Gandert, 1930
Brak, Syria, domestic animals; Mallowan, 1947
Bukhara, Turkestan, fat-rumped sheep; Adamentz, 1927
Bundsø on Jylland, Denmark, fauna; Degerbøl, 1939
Chagar Bazar, Syria, horse; Mallowan, 1936
Chahlín (Lake), France, dog; Hue, 1906b
Ch'êng-tzê-yai, Shantung, China, fauna; Liang, 1934
Ch'i Chia P'ing, Kansu, China, domestic animals; Bylin-Althin, 1946
Clairvaux, France, dog; Hue, 1906a
Constance (Lake), Switzerland, domestic animals; Vogel, 1933
Cortailloz, Switzerland, domestic animals; Reverdin, 1928
Crestaaulta, Switzerland, domestic animals; Rüger, 1942
Dikhlî, oasis, Egypt, rock-drawings; Winkler, 1938–39
Djebel Ouenaat (see Ouenat)
Dobruja, Rumania, cattle; Teodorescu, 1929
Egolzwill, Switzerland, domestic animals; Hescheler and Rüger, 1939, 1942
El Amrah, Egypt, cattle figurines; Randall-Maclver and Mace, 1902
El Khan, Iraq, fauna; Braidwood, 1954
El-Khiâm, Palestine, fauna; Vaufrey, 1951
Ellebeck, Germany, dog; Gehl, 1930
El-Mughara (see Wadi El-Mughara)
El-Ormâ, Egypt, fauna; Debono, 1948
Engel Peninsula, Switzerland, cattle; Gerbes, 1951
Er Yoh, France, fauna; Reverdin, 1930–31
Errindlev, Denmark, dogs; Brinkmann, 1921
Esh Shaheinab (see Shaheinab)
Fayum, Egypt, camel hair; Caton-Thompson, 1934
  fauna; Caton-Thompson and Gardner, 1934
Frankfort, Germany, dog; Baas, 1938
Ganties-Montespan river, Pyrenees Mts., horses; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946
Gezer, Palestine, camel; Isserlin, 1950
Gill Kebir, Libyan Desert, animal representations; Shaw, 1936
Girgenti (see Agrigento)
Gizeh, Egypt, cats; Morrison-Scott, 1952
  mummified animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–1909
Glumorgan, Wales, horses; Jackson, 1932
Glastonbury, Great Britain, domestic animals; Dawkins and Jackson, 1917
Gottland, Sweden, pigs; Pira, 1909
Local place names, archaeological sites, etc. (continued):
Governador, New Mexico, U.S.A., dogs; Lawrence, 1944
Grai Resh, Iraq, water buffalo; Lloyd, 1940
Grimaldi, caves of, asses; Boule, 1910
Groningen, Holland, terpen dog; Van Giffen, 1929
Gujarat, India, domestic animals; Sankalia and Karve, 1949
Habur (valley), Syria, domestic animals; Mallowan, 1946
Halaf (see Tell Halaf)
Harappa, Indus Valley, domestic animals; Piggot, 1950; Prashad, 1936
unicorn; Roy, 1946
Hassuna (see Tell Hassuna)
Hebrides, Scotland, Soay sheep; Schultze, 1934
Heinola, Finland, hand-drawn sledges; Luho, 1948
Helwan, Egypt, dromedary; George, 1950
Hemaniah (see Badari)
Hildesheim, Germany, dogs; Noack, 1915b
Hutu Cave, Iran, fauna; Coon, 1951
Husum, Germany, dog; Gehl, 1930
Jarmo, Iraq, fauna; Braidwood, 1952; Braidwood and Braidwood, 1950
Jericho, Palestine, goats; Zeuner, 1955
Kashan, Iran, domestic animals; Vaufrey, 1939
Kharga (oasis), Egypt, rock-drawings; Winkler, 1938–39
Kiel, Germany, dog; Gehl, 1930
Kiev, Ukraine, fauna; Gromova, 1927
Kish, Mesopotamia, equids; Amschler, 1936
Girgentini goat; Amschler, 1937
Klausdorf, Germany, dog; Gehl, 1930
Klausenberg, Transylvania, Capra prisca; Adametz, 1941
Koko-Nor, Kyrgyzstan, yak; Amschler, 1932
Kom Ombo, Egypt, fauna; Gaillard, 1934
mummified animals; Lorret and Gaillard, 1903–09
Kom W (see Fayum)
Krzeszowice, Poland, Bos colliceros; Rostafinski, 1933
Kronstadt, Rumania, Capra prisca; Teodoreanu, 1924
Ladoga (Lake), Denmark, dog; Degerbol, 1933b
Laibach (moors), Austria, pigs; Ulmansky, 1914
“Lake Village” (see Glastonbury)
Langinaj, India, domestic animals; Sankalia and Karve, 1949
Lascaux cave, France, cattle; Koby, 1954
La Tène, France, domestic animals; Revilliod, 1926; F. Schwarz, 1918
Lerida, Spain, cattle; Staffe, 1943
Linderbeek, Holland, dog and cat; Hooijer, 1947
Lo Han T'ang, Kansu, China, domestic animals; Bylin-Althin, 1946
Lundby Bog, Denmark, dogs; Degerbol, 1933b
Ma'adi, Egypt, fauna; Menghin, 1933; Menghin and Amar, 1932
Maikop, Caucasus Mts., animal representations; Friederichs, 1933
Mefesh (see Tell Mefesh)
Megiddo, Palestine, camel; Isserlin, 1950
goat; Mallowan, 1947
Merdime-Benisalam, Egypt, fauna; Menghin, 1933
Minusinsk, northern Siberia, domestic animals; Jettmar, 1950
Minussinsk (Sajan), Siberia, horse; Amschler, 1936
M'Leaafat, Iraq, fauna; Braidwood, 1954
Mohenjo-Daro, Indus Valley, animal representations; Friederichs, 1933
domestic animals; Mackay, 1938; Sewell and Guhr, 1931
unicorn; Roy, 1946
Morbihan (see Er Yoh)
Mullerup, Denmark, dog; Degerbol, 1933b
Nauenberg, Capra prisca; Ademetz, 1941
Neuchatel (Lake), Switzerland, domestic animals; Pittard and Reverdin, 1921
Newstead, Scotland, horse; Ewart, 1907a
Obermellen, Switzerland, domestic animals; Kuhn, 1935
Olmutz, Moravia, cattle; Mohapl, 1914
Local place names, archaeological sites, etc. (continued):

Omsk, Siberia, Academy of; Amschler, 1931
Ouennat, Libyan desert, fauna; Breuil and Kemal el Dine, 1928
Poeta (cave), Italy, domestic animals; Riedel, 1948
Quena, Egypt, rock-drawings; Winkler, 1938–39
Rana Ghundai, Baluchistan, domestic animals; Piggot, 1950
Ringsjon, Sweden, pigs; Pira, 1909
Roda, Egypt, mummified animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Rantusulamia, Finland, hand-drawn sledges; Luho, 1948
Saaigaoei (moors), Finland, drag-sledge; Sirolius, 1916–20
Saint-Aubin, Switzerland, cattle; Dottrens, 1946; Revillion and Dottrens, 1917
dogs; Reverdin, 1927–28
domestic animals; Reverdin, 1921, 1928
St. Georghe-Bedehâza, Transylvania, dog and horse; Teodoreanu, 1926
Sakkara, Egypt, mummified animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Salers, France, cattle; Adametz, 1925
Sandomierz, Poland, domestic animals; Zurowski, 1930
Satripholm, Moor, Germany, cattle; Herre, 1949
Schafls, Switzerland, Capra prisca; Adametz, 1941
Schleinbach, Austria, goat; Sieckenberg, 1930; Vetulani, 1934
Seematte, Switzerland, domestic animals; Hescheler and Rürger, 1940, 1942
Sha'ar ha-Golan, Palestine, domestic animals; Stekelis, 1950
Shahinab, Sudan, fauna; Bate, 1953
Shah Tepe, Iran, fauna; Amschler, 1939a, b
Sialk, Iran, domestic animals; Vaufrey, 1939
Sinar (see Graj Resh)
Sipplingen, Switzerland, domestic animals; Vogel, 1933
Sjoholmen, Sweden, dog; Dahr, 1937
Skara Brae, Scotland, domestic animals; Watson, 1931
Star Carr, England, fauna; Fraser and King, 1954
Stora Förrvar (cave), Sweden, fauna; Pira, 1926
Stora Karlsö (island), Sweden, fauna: Pira, 1926
Strandegaard, Denmark, cattle; Bronholm and Rassmussen, 1931
Susa, Iran, horse; Amschler, 1936
Swärborg Moor, Denmark, dogs; Degerbøl, 1927, 1933b; Johansen, 1919
Taenek, Palestine, camel; Isserlin, 1950
Tall Chagar Bazar (see Chagar Bazar)
Tartaren, Spain, cattle; Staffe, 1943
Tell Asmar, Mesopotamia, domestic animals; Hilzheimer, 1934, 1941
Tell Halaf, Mesopotamia, animal representations; Friederichs, 1933
Tell Hassuna, Iraq, fauna; Lloyd and Safaer, 1945
Tell Mefesh, Syria, domestic animals; Mallowan, 1946
Tepe Sialk, Iran, equids; Childe, 1941 (see also Sialk)
Teplitz, Bohemia, horses; Nitsche, 1928
pigs; Nitsche, 1924
Téviec, France, dog; Pequart, 1937
Thebes, Egypt, mummified animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903–09
Toukh, Egypt, fauna; Gaillard, 1934
goats; Bate, 1953
Trelleborg, Denmark, cattle; Mathiassen, 1944
Tripolje, Ukraine, horses; Amschler, 1936
Troy, Anatolia, domestic animals; Gejvali, 1937–38, 1938–39
Tschuwasia, Kazan, pig; Havesson, 1933
Turopolje, Croatia, pig; Ritzoff, 1933
Umm-es-Sawan (see Fayum)
Ur, Mesopotamia, domestic animals; Woolley, 1934
Ur, Sumeria, animal representations; Friederichs, 1933
Girgentini goat; Amschler, 1932
horse genealogy; Amschler, 1935
spiral-horned goat; Adametz, 1932, 1941
Uwenat (oasis), Egypt, rock-drawings; Winkler, 1938–39
Vindonissa, Switzerland, domestic animals; Krämer, 1900
Wadi El-Mughara caves, Palestine, dog; Bate, 1937
Local place names, archaeological sites, etc. (continued):

Wauwyl (Lake), Switzerland, domestic animals; Hescheder and Rüger, 1939

fauna; Hescheder, 1920

Wellington caves, New South Wales, dingo; Etheridge, 1916

Windmill Hill, England, fauna; Childe, 1940

Wurtten, Holland, fauna; Van Giflen, 1914

Zloezow, Poland, *Capra priscia*; Adametz, 1915

goats; Vetulani, 1934

Zlota, Poland, *Capra priscia*; Adametz, 1928

domestic animals; Zurowski, 1930

Zufilcar Pass, cattle through to India; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942-45

Zürich, Switzerland, domestic animals; Wettstein, 1924

Lop ear, goat; Dalimier, 1954

Man, evolution of; Rice, 1942

Man's behavior adapted to reindeer behavior; Zeuner, 1954

Markhor horns; Hilzheimer, 1933

Mastodon, American; Galbreath, 1947

Mechanism of evolution and domestication; Klatt, 1927, 1948

Mendes, Ram of; Dürst and Gaillard, 1902

Migrations, dog; Noack, 1915b

Milking, cattle, Libyan Desert; Shaw, 1936

deer, South Carolina; Swanton, 1940

goats; Coon, 1951

Mitanni kings and introduction of horse; Smith, 1928

Mixed farming, Syria; Mallowan, 1946

Modifications of cranium, dog; Noack, 1907

Monophyletic ancestry, cattle; Van Giflen, 1914

origin, horse; Lundholm, 1949

Mortuary food-gifts; Jettmar, 1950

Mounds of refuge, Holland; Reitsma, 1932, 1935

Mounted invaders, radiation center of; Hancar, 1952

Mousterian artifacts with dog, Russia; Studer, 1906

Mule, Asia, southwest; Friederichs, 1933

China; Erkes, 1940

first occurrence; Amschler, 1933

Mummies, animals; Lortet and Gaillard, 1903-1909

dogs, South America; Cabrera, 1932

Mummified cats, Egypt; Morrison-Scott, 1952

Mummified head, dog, Peru; Noack, 1915a

Mutations; Mangelsdorf, 1952

defective, dogs; Krieg, 1929

deleterious in wild; Nachtsheim, 1938

in domestication, rabbit; Nachtsheim, 1929

selection of; Nachtsheim, 1938

Names of breeds; Mason, 1951

Natural area, domestication; Feige, 1928

Natural evolution; Mangelsdorf, 1952

Neolithic cattle, British Isles; Wilson, 1909

Neoteny, pig; Bäumler, 1921; Kelm, 1938

Nomadic pastoralism, Ukraine; Hancar, 1951

Nomadic, semi-, population; Josien, 1955

Nomadism, reindeer; Hatt, 1919

Nomads and domestication; von Fürer-Haimendorf, 1955

Nomenclature, domestic animals; Keller, 1902

Nor-adrenalin, role in socialization; Funkenstein, 1955

Nubian wild goat; Gaillard, 1912

O, blood-group, sheep; Kaerkowski, 1928

Oases, cattle in, Egypt; Winkler, 1938-39
Offerings for the gods; Meissner, 1926
Onager; Rumjancev, 1936
France: Boule, 1910; Bourdelle, 1938
Mesopotamia; Hilzheimer, 1934, 1935, 1941
Near East; Antonius, 1935a
Syria; Mallowan, 1947
Ontogenetic sequence, pig; Kelm, 1938
stages; Hilzheimer, 1928
Oracle bones, pictures on; Sowerby, 1935
Orbital plane, canids; Dahr, 1937
Origins of domestication; Meissner, 1926
Ovibovid, Illinois; Galbreath, 1947
Ox-buffalo, Egypt; Brunton and Caton-Thompson, 1928
Oxen (see Cattle)
Pack animal, reindeer used as; Sirelius, 1916–20
Pack animals; Werth, 1940
Pack horses, Europe, eastern; Clark, 1941
Parallel characters, domestic animals; Nachtshelm, 1936
under domestication; Weidenreich, 1925
Parallel evolution; Herre, 1952
Parallel modifications; Klatt, 1948
Pastoral culture; Flor, 1930
Pastoralism, Asia; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
and domestication; Page, 1939
increase of, in Ukraine; Tackenberg, 1954
lack of, in China; Bishop, 1933
not associated with pigs; Newberry, 1928
Ukraine; Hancar, 1951
Pasture oases, cattle in, Egypt; Winkler, 1938–39
Pathological characters, fixation of; Weidenreich, 1925
Pedigree chart, horse, Ur, Mesopotamia; Amschler, 1935
Pelages of cats, wild and domestic; Zeuner, 1950
Peoples:
Akkadians, camel; Forbes, 1955
Arawak, “alco” dogs; Hummerlink, 1928
Aryan tribes, southern Asia, horse; Antonius, 1918
Assyrians, cattle; Dürst, 1900
Camels; Forbes, 1955
Babylonians, cattle; Dürst, 1900
Bantu, domestic animals; Kroll, 1928
Bedouins, dromedary; Forbes, 1955
Chorwa, southwestern Asia, cattle; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
Chukchi, reindeer-breeding; Wiklund, 1918
Egyptians, origin of domestic animals; Adametz, 1920
cattle; Dürst, 1900
dromedary; Forbes, 1955
Hamites, dispersal, Africa; Adametz, 1920
Egypt, cattle-herders; Winkler, 1938–39
greyhound into eastern Africa; Kroll, 1928
Koryak (Lapps), reindeer-breeding; Wiklund, 1918
Lapps, acquiring of reindeer; Laufer, 1917
Mitanni, introduction of horse; Smith, 1928
Negritos, India, original domestic animals; Randhawa, 1946
Persians, dromedary into Egypt; Mikeseil, 1955
Proto-Altaian, central Asia, horse; Flor, 1930
Proto-Australoids, India, domestic animals; Randhawa, 1946
Romans, cats into Europe; Zeuner, 1950
Samoyeds, origin of reindeer domestication; Laufer, 1917
Sumerians, Girgentini goat; Adametz, 1941
onager; Hilzheimer, 1934, 1935, 1941
Peoples (continued):
- Sumerians, sheep and goat; Adametz, 1920
- Physiological adaptations to survival, domestication; Zeuner, 1954
- Physiological background of domestication; Nachtsheim, 1936
- Physiological factors in domestication; Herre, 1951
- Pig-breeding center, Egypt; Menghin and Amar, 1932
- Pigmentation of domestic animals; Feige, 1927, 1928
- Physiology:
  - absorption tests, cattle; Sasaki, 1934
  - adrenal production, wolf and dog; Scott, 1954
  - adrenals, hypofunction, rat; Richter, 1952
- Pigs, breeds and/or types:
  - Berkshire; Kelm, 1938
  - Dutch mound-hog; Reitsma, 1935
  - Mangalitza; Cardas, 1926; Ritzofly, 1932
  - Siska; Ritzofly, 1932
  - South Slavian; Ritzofly, 1932
  - Tschuwasian; Havesson, 1933
- Pigs, domestic and/or possibly domestic:
  - Austria; Ulmansky, 1914
  - Bohemia; Nitsche, 1924
  - China; Bishop, 1933, 1939
  - Egypt; Menghin, 1933; Menghin and Amar, 1932; Newberry, 1928; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902; Staffe, 1938
  - Eurasia; Adlerberg, 1933; Belic, 1939; Philipitschenko, 1933
  - southern; Menghin, 1931
  - Europe; Clark, 1947; Reitsma, 1935
    - central; Ritzofly, 1932, 1933
  - Holland; Reitsma, 1935
  - Indonesia; Adlerberg, 1933
  - Iran; Amschler, 1939b
  - Palestine; Vaufrey, 1931
  - Sweden; Pira, 1909
  - Switzerland; Otto, 1901
- Pigs, wild:
  - Eurasia; Adlerberg, 1933; Amon, 1938; Belic, 1939; Kelm, 1939; Philipitschenko, 1933
  - Europe; Reitsma, 1935
  - Palearctic; Kelm, 1938
  - Palestine; Bate, 1942
  - Sweden; Pira, 1909
- Pisang (see Goat, bezoar)
- Place names, local (see Local place names)
- Plants, cultivated; Mangelsdorf, 1952
- Plough-culture; Hahn, 1909
- Mongolian; Werth, 1940
- Polecat, Asiatic; Ashton and Thompson, 1955
- European; Ashton and Thompson, 1955
- Polled cattle, genetics; Auld, 1927
- Polyphyletic ancestry of cattle; Szalay, 1930
- Polyphyletic origin of horse; Ewart, 1904, 1909
- Pony, Mongolian; Lydekker, 1912a
- Shetland; Bourdelle and Trombe, 1946; Chubb, 1913
- Post-glacial climatic change and tarpan; Vetulani, 1928
- Poultry (see Fowl, jungle)
- Pre-adaptation for domestication; Hediger, 1938; Zeuner, 1954
- Precipitation tests, cattle; Merkens, 1929
- Protein, pig as major source, China; Bishop, 1933
- Purpose, lack of in origin of domestication; Zeuner, 1954
Purposive planning, domestication, Egypt; Zeuner, 1954

Queen Hatshepsut; Chard, 1937

Rabbit, Europe; Nachtsheim, 1929

Racial fixation of characters; Weidenreich, 1925

Radiation center, mounted invaders; Hancar, 1952

Rage, endocrine factors in; Funkenstein, 1955

Ram of Ammon, Egypt; Pia, 1942b

Ram of Mendes; Dürst and Gaillard, 1902

Rat, domestication of; Richter, 1952

Refuge mounds, Holland; Reitsma, 1932, 1935

Rhinoceroses; van der Heyde, 1952

Riding animals; Menghin, 1931

Routes of dispersal; Sauer, 1952

Saarigarvi-Tarvala sledge; Luho, 1948

Sacred cattle, Egypt; Mond and Myers, 1934

Sacrificial animals and domestication; Staffe, 1939

Sacrificial purpose for breeding cattle; Whitehead, 1953

Sahara Desert, transportation across; Newbold, 1928

and dromedary; Lhote, 1953; Mikesell, 1955

and horse; Lhote, 1953

Scavenger wolves as potential dogs; Zeuner, 1954

Scavengers and domestication of wolves; Scott, 1954

Sculpture, animal, Egypt; Pia, 1941

Seals, Sweden; Fira, 1926

domestic animals on, Indus Valley; Mackay, 1938

with horse pedigree, Ur; Amschler, 1935

Selection; Herre, 1951

artificial; Epstein, 1933; Herre, 1952; Klett, 1927, 1948; Mangelsdorf, 1952;

Nachtsheim, 1929, 1938; Richter, 1952; Scott, 1954

artificial, religious; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942-45

natural; Krieg, 1929

Selective breeding; Dalimier, 1954

Selective slaughtering, goats; Coon, 1951

Semi-domesticated dogs, Gran Chaco; Krieg, 1929

Semi-nomadic population, Palestine; Josien, 1955

Sequence of domestication; Werth, 1939; Wissler, 1945

Set, cult-animal of, Egypt; Newberry, 1928

Settled culture and rock-painting; Staffe, 1938

Sexual differentiation in cattle; Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947

Sheep, breeds and/or types; Lydekker, 1912c

bronze; Reitsma, 1932

Bukharian; Carruthers, 1949

copper; Antonius, 1944; Ewart, 1913; Dürst, 1904; Patterson, 1937

Drentsch Heide; Reitsma, 1932

English southdown; Kaerkowski, 1928

fat-rumped; Adametz, 1927; Ewart, 1914

fat-tailed; Philipschenko, 1928

fleecy; Slawkowski, 1933

Förvar; Pira, 1926

Frisian milk; Reitsma, 1932

goat-horned; Adametz, 1937; Pia, 1942b

Heidschnucke; Adametz, 1937

Hissar; Amschler, 1929b

long-tailed; Ewart, 1912

merino; Burns and Moody, 1935

Montagne; Cardas, 1926
Sheep, breeds and/or types (continued):

peat (see turbarv)
Polish; Kacrkowski, 1928
primitive; Amschler, 1929b
screw-horned (see spiral-horned)
Shetland; Ewart, 1913
Soay; Adametz, 1937; Ewart, 1913; Schultze, 1934
southdown, English: Kacrkowski, 1928
spiral-horned; Dürst and Gaillard, 1902; Ewart, 1912; Mallowan, 1917
terp; Reitsma, 1932

turbarv; Adametz, 1937; Dürst, 1904; Ewart, 1913; Madsen, 1900; Patterson, 1937; Pilgrim, 1947
twisted-horned (see spiral-horned)
Tzoureana; Cardas, 1926
Wallachian; Dürst and Gaillard, 1902
Zackel; Adametz, 1937

Sheep, domestic and/or possibly domestic; Burkhill, 1935; Burns and Moody, 1935;
Ewart, 1912, 1913, 1914; Fairservis, 1955; Hilzheimer, 1936; Lydekker, 1912c
Africa; north; Thilenius, 1900
Bukhara; Adametz, 1927
Egypt; Adametz, 1920
Eurasia; Adametz, 1937
Europe; Clark, 1947
Holland; Reitsma, 1932
Iran; Amschler, 1939a, b
Iraq; Lloyd and Safer, 1945
Mesopotamia; Adametz, 1927; Heinrich, 1936
Siberia, northern; Jettmar, 1950
Sudan; Bate, 1949, 1953
Sumeria; Adametz, 1920
Switzerland; Dürst, 1904
Tadzhikistan; Amschler, 1929b
Turkestan, western; Menghin, 1931

Sheep, wild; Carruthers, 1949; Heinrich, 1936; Hilzheimer, 1936; Lydekker, 1912c.
argali; Ewart, 1912, 1913, 1914; Philiptschenko, 1928
Barbary; Thilenius, 1900
mouflon; Adametz, 1937; Dürst, 1904; Ewart, 1912, 1913, 1914; Kacrkowski, 1928; Pira, 1926
urial; Adametz, 1937; Ewart, 1912, 1913, 1914

Sites, archaeological (see Local place names)
Skin, rabbit, genetics of; Nachtsheim, 1929

Sledge, dog; Werth, 1940
use with reindeer, Finland; Sirelius, 1916–20
Sledge-cultures, Eurasia, north; Wiklund, 1918
Sledge-driving; Luho, 1948
Sledges, Finland; Luho, 1948
Slender-limbed horses; Ewart, 1909
Small wild cattle, Eurasia; Koby, 1951
Social animals’ adaptations to domestication; Zeuner, 1954
Social animals and adrenal hormones; Funkenstein, 1955
Social life, wolves, cooperative; Scott, 1954
Speciation of camel, Asia; Robinson, 1936
of domestic animals; Mangelsdorf, 1952
Spiritual dogs, Sudan; Newbold, 1928
Statistical analyses of sub-fossil materials; Riedel, 1951
Statistical study, cattle ancestors; Van Giffen, 1914
Statistics; Dahr, 1942
of cat skulls; Morrison-Scott, 1952
large series; Hildebrand, 1955
Steer of Apis; Lorret and Gaillard, 1903–09
Steppe tarpan, Russia; Vetulani, 1928
Stock-farmer, Africa; Kroll, 1928
Stock-farming, Egypt; Menghin, 1933
Stunted pig; Belic, 1939
Subspecies, ecological, of cats; Zeuner, 1950
Survival by flight; Funkenstein, 1955
Swine (see Pigs)
Swine-breeding culture; Menghin, 1931
Tail carriage, dog; Scott, 1954
Tamed horse, Paleolithic; Munro, 1902
Tamed reindeer; Hatt, 1919
Taming, domestication not following; Nachtsheim, 1938
Tasmanian devil; Etheridge, 1916
Tasmanian wolf; Etheridge, 1916
Tapir, China, north; Teilhard de Chardin and Young, 1936
Taurine cattle; Leister, 1943
Taxocline, pig; Kelm, 1939
Teeth, Wellington Caves, New South Wales; Etheridge, 1916
Terpen, dogs from, Holland; Van Giffen, 1929
fauna of, Holland; Van Giffen, 1914
pig from, Holland; Reitsma, 1935
sheep from, Holland; Reitsma, 1932
Tillage, Ukraine; Hancar, 1951
Transition stage, pig, wild to domestic; Pira, 1909
Transport purposes and origin of domestication; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Transportation, Sahara, pre-Christian; Newbold, 1928
Triphyletic origin of sheep; Keller, 1902
Turkey, Central America; Curwen and Hatt, 1953
Tylopoda, South America (see Camelidae, South America)
Udder of cow, figurine; Randall-MacIver and Mace, 1902
Udders, cattle, Libyan Desert; Shaw, 1936
Ungulates, domestic; Feige, 1928
Unicorns, Indus valley: Roy, 1946
Urus; Clark, 1952; Hilzheimer, 1927; von Lengerken, 1953, 1955; Lydekker, 1912a
Africa; Bisschop, 1937
Asia; Chlebaroff, 1929–30; Melnyk, 1927
British Isles; Childe, 1940; Whitehead, 1953; Wilson, 1909
Denmark; Degerbol, 1933b
Europe; Hescheler and Riiger, 1942; Herre, 1949
France; Koby, 1954
Indus Valley; Roy, 1946
Iran; Coon, 1952
Switzerland; Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947
Ukraine; Gromova, 1927
Variability, skulls, polecats and ferrets; Ashton and Thompson, 1955
Variation, cattle; Melnyk, 1927
in behavior, dogs; Scott, 1954
individual, bones; Hildebrand, 1955
individual, pigs; Reitsma, 1935
range of, in dog mandibles; Reverdin, 1927–28
sexual, cattle; Revilliod and Dottrens, 1947
Varieties (see Breeds)
Vegetative planting and domestication; Sauer, 1952
Vicugna; Herre, 1952; Steinbacher, 1953
Viking invasions and British cattle; Whitehead, 1953
Water buffalo (see Buffalo, water)
White, genetic dominant in cattle; Whitehead, 1953
Wild animals, taming of; Meissner, 1926
Wildness, selection against; Richter, 1952; Scott, 1954
Wolf; Antonius, 1944; Baas, 1938; Brinkmann, 1923–24; Dahr, 1937, 1942; Degerbol, 1933b; Dürst, 1945; Hilzheimer, 1908, 1932; Keller, 1902; Matthey, 1954; Noack, 1907; Van Giffen, 1929
England; Fraser and King, 1954
Eurasia; Scott, 1954
Europe, north; Gehl, 1930
Indian; Brinkmann, 1921; Noack, 1915b; Prashad, 1936
Wool; Fairservis, 1955
Tadzhikistan; Amschler, 1929b
Yak, Asia, central; Amschler, 1932
history of; Amschler, 1932
Tibet; Werth, 1940
Zebu; Epstein, 1933; Ewart, 1912; Szalay, 1930
Africa; Antonius, 1919; Bisschop, 1937
Asia; Kolesnik, 1936
East Indies; Merkens, 1929; Sommerfeld, 1927
Formosa; Sasaki, 1934
India; Koppers and Jungblut, 1942–45
northwestern; Prashad, 1936
Indo-China; Vittor, 1933
Indus Valley; Sewell and Guhr, 1931
Zoogeography (see Geographic distribution)