

1 C. D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258
 Glenn S. McRoberts - S.B.N. 144852
 2 Clinton Monfort - S.B.N. 255609
 MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, PC
 3 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200
 Long Beach, CA 90802
 4 Telephone: 562-216-4444
 Facsimile: 562-216-4445
 5 Email: cmichel@michellawyers.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7

8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11

ESPANOLA JACKSON, PAUL COLVIN,)	CASE NO.: CV-09-2143-RS
THOMAS BOYER, LARRY BARSETTI,)	
12 DAVID GOLDEN, NOEMI MARGARET)	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:
ROBINSON, NATIONAL RIFLE)	ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR
13 ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC. SAN)	LEAVE TO FILE STATEMENT OF
FRANCISCO VETERAN POLICE)	RECENT DECISION
14 OFFICERS ASSOCIATION,)	

15

Plaintiffs

16

vs.

17

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 18 FRANCISCO, MAYOR EDWIN LEE, in
 his official capacity; ACTING POLICE
 19 CHIEF JEFF GODOWN, in his official
 capacity, and Does 1-10,

20

Defendants.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, the parties to this action, through their respective
2 attorneys of record, do hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d)(2), parties are permitted to file a
4 Statement of Recent Decision to alert the Court of a relevant judicial opinion published after the
5 filing of opposition and reply papers, but prior to the hearing date;

6 WHEREAS, a hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction was held
7 on May 5, 2011, and a decision has yet to be rendered on that motion;

8 WHEREAS, the Seventh Circuit decision in *Ezell v. City of Chicago*, Case No. 10-3525,
9 was published on July 6, 2011, and it addresses the issue of individual standing in the Second
10 Amendment context;

11 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Plaintiffs be granted administrative relief for leave to
12 file a Statement of Recent Decision. Defendants further request the parties be given the
13 opportunity to address the *Ezell* decision in the event the Court intends to rely on it in rendering
14 its decision on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

15 Date: July 8, 2011 MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, PC

17 /S/
18 _____
C. D. Michel
Attorney for Plaintiffs

19 Date: July 8, 2011 DENNIS J. HERRERA
20 City Attorney
WAYNE SNODGRASS
21 SHERRI SOKELAND KAISER
Deputy City Attorneys

23 /S/
24 _____
Sherri Sokeland Kaiser
Attorneys for Defendants

25 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Date: 7/12/11

27 
28 _____
The Honorable Richard Seeberg
United States District Court Judge